

UNITED STATES GOV

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: May 7, 1962

FROM : C. A. Evans

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONNANO
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Tolson	_____
Belmont	_____
Mohr	_____
Callahan	_____
Conrad	_____
DeLoach	_____
Evans	_____
Malone	_____
Rosen	_____
Sullivan	_____
Tavel	_____
Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holmes	_____
Gandy	_____

In line with our disruptive program regarding organized criminal activity, our Phoenix Office has requested authority to anonymously mail a copy of a birth certificate and a newspaper article pertaining to the birth of a child on January 22, 1962, to Helga Marriane Reynholz, the subject's paramour. William Salvatore Bonnano is alleged to be the child's father. The mailings would be directed to the Bonnano and Profaci families.

He uses the name Schmid.

William Salvatore Bonnano, the son of Joseph Bonnano who is a prominent underworld leader, is married to the niece of Joseph Profaci, leading New York City racketeer. Joseph Bonnano and Joseph Profaci have both been identified as members of the "commission."

William Salvatore Bonnano, 29 years old, presently resides in Tucson, Arizona, and has been involved in numerous unsuccessful business ventures.

The information regarding the birth of the child could cause a rift between the Profaci and Bonnano families, two of the most prominent underworld groups. This matter could be taken as a personal insult by Joseph Profaci in view of the close relationship existent in the Profaci family.

The Phoenix Office will be instructed as to the manner in which the newspaper article will be mailed with appropriate precautions taken to insure that the matter will in no way be identified with the Bureau. To preclude the possibility of linking the Bureau with the mailings, Phoenix is not being authorized to include copies of the birth certificate. Copies of the newspaper article will be sent to the subject's wife; Joseph Bonnano, the subject's father; and to Joseph Profaci, uncle of the subject's wife, with a provocative anonymous letter.

Attached for your information is a copy of the birth certificate and newspaper article mentioned above.

Enclosures

enc. attached to mail from Phoenix dated 4/15/62
JEK:mac
(6)

MAY 10 1962

62 MAY 11 1962

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

May 15, 1962

REC-48

Director, FBI (92-4494) - 82

ST
132

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Re Phoenix letter 5/11/62.

In view of the fact that additional copies of "The Phoenix Gazette" newspaper dated 1/22/62 are not available, it would not be appropriate to prepare unidentifiable copies of the article regarding the subject for anonymous mailing.

You should, however, mail the anonymous letters in accordance with instructions set forth by Bulet 5/9/62. However, the letters to Joseph Bonanno and Joseph Profaci should be amended to read as follows:

Joseph Bonanno - So Bill had a child by his German girl friend - A son acts just like his old man.

Joseph Profaci - Rosalie's husband had a child by his German girl friend - he's a rat like all Bonannos.

You must insure that all precautionary steps are taken, as outlined by Bulet 5/9/62.


2 - New York (92-1586)

NOTE: The Director approved anonymous mailings in Evans to Belmont memo dated 5/7/62, came caption. In view of the fact necessary copies of newspaper article were not available, the above action is being taken.

63-7616 - Disruption of Criminal Intelligence Program

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

JEK:mac
(7)



MAY 12 11 20 AM '62

RECEIVED-PAYNE

51 MAY 21 1962

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 63-7616-1

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 5/11/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)(P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO:PHOENIX

ReBulet to Phoenix dated 5/9/62.

For the information of the Bureau, there were no additional copies of "The Phoenix Gazette" newspaper dated 1/22/62, available at the time the copy with the birth announcement was obtained.

Inquiry at "The Phoenix Gazette" revealed that the only presently available copy of this issue is maintained in the Archives at the State Capital Building in Phoenix.

The Bureau is requested to consider preparing unidentifiable copies of this article, and furnishing these copies to Phoenix for mailing at Tucson.

② - Bureau
2 - New York (92-1586)
1 - Phoenix
RWR/mdc
(5)

REC-48

92-4494-82

EX-132
SPECIFIC

MAY 22 1962

MAY 14 10 14 AM '62

FBI

UNITED STATES

MENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: Phoenix

DATE: 5/18/62

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Director, dated 4/10/62.

On April 30 and May 8, 1962, contact was had with [redacted] Deputy Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, by SA [redacted]. On the latter date, [redacted] advised that the Appellee in the matter entitled SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee, CCA Number 17630, had been granted an extension of time until June 4, 1962, to submit his brief in this matter.

b6
b7C

The San Francisco office will continue to follow this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)
2 - San Francisco
cc: 66-3705-143
DVE/db
(6)

REC-71

92-4494-83

EX-124

MAY 21 1962

FBI

62 MAY 25 1962
349

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

May 17, 1962

EX-114 REC-8
Director, FBI (92-4494) - 84

JUNE

**WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR**

Re your FD-142 dated 5/11/62.

You are authorized to activate misur at the San-Nic Importing Company, 719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, which was installed at the time you conducted a survey.

Advise Bureau when source activated and symbol number assigned.

This authorization is for a 90-day period, effective at the time source activated. In the event you desire to continue this installation beyond the authorized period, submit your recommendations to reach the Bureau five days prior to the expiration of this authority.

Furnish the Bureau a weekly airtel summary of information furnished by this source.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by PX in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the 40 prime targets for early prosecutive action, who was allegedly a member of the "commission." The subject's wife is the niece of Joseph Profaci, leading New York City hoodlum.

PX Office reports it anticipates receiving intelligence information from misur as to subject's contacts, associates, and activities. The installations is in subject's office at an importing company. Subject's close associates, [redacted] and Joseph Baskin, also employed by this company, and office is probably used for discussion of their activities. Subject is considered an important figure by the PX office in view of his father and wife's relations. Interviews with the subject's business associates and regular contacts by PX have been unproductive. PX reports full security assured and is authorized to activate misur for a period of 90 days.

1 - Mr. J. D. Donohue

JEK:mac

(5)

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT
MAY 23 1962

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

b6
b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (Bufile: 92-4494) DATE: 5/11/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INSTALLATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka

Character of Case: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Field Office; PHOENIX

Symbol Number: [REDACTED]

Type of Surveillance: (~~TECHNICAL~~ Microphone)

~~CONF. INFT.~~

1. Name and address of subject:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
3517 East Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona

2. Location of technical operation:

719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona

3. Other technical surveillances on same subject:

None

4. Cost and manpower involved:

No cost or manpower in addition to that presently being utilized at technical plant in Phoenix Office

Transmission phone lines furnished gratis by Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Co.

5. Adequacy of security:

Full security.

① - Bureau (AM)
1 - Phoenix
RWR:vlc

Registered Mail
(2)

NOV 1961
REC'D
EX-114

REC-8

92-4494-84

16 MAY 14 1962

INT. SEC.

SPEC. MAIL RM.

EXP. PROC.

b2

6. Type of case involved:

Anti-Racketeering - hoodlum activity

7. Connection or status of subject in the case:

Subject is son of JOSEPH BONANNO, National Top Hoodlum, and is married to [REDACTED] a niece of National Top Hoodlum JOSEPH PROFACI.

b6
b7C

8. Specific information being sought:

Intelligence information as to contacts, associates, and activities of subject, and information which would indicate violation of Federal anti-racketeering statutes.

9. Reasons for believing the specific information will be obtained by the technical surveillance:

Installation is in subject's office at San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., where business of company is transacted. Close associates of subject, [REDACTED] and JOE L. BASKIN also employed by this company, and office is probably used for discussion of their activities.

b6
b7C

10. Importance of case and subject:

Subject considered important due to close relationship with National Top Hoodlums, and frequent contacts with known hoodlums.

11. Possibilities of obtaining desired information by other means
(Explain in detail):

Subject has been very close-mouthed in discussion of activities with his personal acquaintances. It is believed that information available from this installation could not be obtained from other sources, as interviews with his business associates have been completely unproductive.

12. Risks of detection involved:

No risk involved.

13. Probable length of technical surveillance:

Indefinite

14. Request made for technical surveillance by any outside agency
(name specific official, title and agency):

None known

15. Remarks:

A survey was made at the location during the early morning of 5/11/62, at which time an excellent opportunity occurred for immediate installation. Inasmuch as such an opportunity might not have been available again in the immediate future, a microphone "mate" was installed in the office of the subject.

The Bureau is requested to grant immediate authority for the monitoring of this installation.

[redacted] number is being furnished for this installation.

b2

16. Recommendation of Assistant Director:

William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by PX in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the 40 prime targets for early prosecutive action, who was allegedly a member of the "commission." The subject's wife is the niece of Joseph Profaci, leading New York City hoodlum.

PX Office reports it anticipates receiving intelligence information from misur as to subject's contacts, associates, and activities. The installation is in subject's office at an importing company. Subject's close associates, [redacted] and Joseph Baskin, also employed by this company, and office is probably used for discussion of their activities. Subject is considered an important figure by the PX Office in view of his father and wife's relations. Interviews with the subject's business associates and regular contacts by PX have been unproductive. PX reports full security assured and is authorized to activate misur for a period of 90 days.

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 5/22/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Bureau letters to Phoenix 5/9/62 and 5/15/62, and
New York airtel to Phoenix 5/15/62.

On 5/22/62, anonymous letters were mailed at Tucson,
Arizona, to JOSEPH PROFACI, 8863 15th Avenue, Brooklyn, New
York; JOSEPH BONANNO, 1847 East Elm, Tucson, Arizona; and
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, using
text suggested in re Bulets.

New York advise Bureau any tangible results on basis
of letter to PROFACI. Phoenix will report any tangible results
on basis of letters to BONANNOS.

b6
b7C

REC-7

C.C. Wick

92-4494-85

EX-115

3 - Bureau 2 - New York (92-1586)
3 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-235)
(1 - 92-28)

MAY 24 1962

RWR/skt
(8)

FBI

Approved: *E. J. Boyle*
62 MAY 31 1962 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 5/22/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

JUNE

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

ReBulet to Phoenix 4/24/62.

Misur activated as of May 22, 1962, and being
designated as Ariz.

CONF. INFT.

EX-105 REC-34

92-4494-86

5 MAY 24 1962

2 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

JBD:lds
(3)

MAY 23 1962

DO NOT
REC'D

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

5/23/62

airtel

To: SAC, Phoenix (67-8)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

From: Director, FBI (92-4494)

JUNE

SALVATORE V. BONANNO
AR

Reurlet 5/18/62 recommending letters of commendation for Special Agents of your office in connection with an installation of a misur.

Promptly furnish the Bureau specific details of the performance of each individual Special Agent you designate for a letter of commendation so that this matter may be properly evaluated at the Bureau.

Referenced letter should have been captioned "JUNE." Correct your copy of relet and score appropriate errors of form for responsible personnel.

Promptly advise Bureau when this source is activated and symbol number assigned.

NOTE: Relet recommends four SAs of PX Division for letters of commendation in connection with a misur installation, but does not set forth sufficient specific details of the action taken by each individual SA. PX instructed to furnish necessary details. PX also instructed relet should have been captioned "JUNE."

1 - Mr. Rufus Beaver (Room 4535)

JEK:mac
(5)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

EX-105

MAY 23 1962

COMM-FBI

25 MAY 25 1962

JUN 1 1962
MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

June 12, 1962

Director, FBI (92-4494) - *BB*

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.;

REGISTERED MAIL

AR

OO: Phoenix

Attached is the translation which you requested by ~~letter~~ airtel dated 5/28/62.

The contents thereof, where pertinent, must be reported under appropriate captions and afforded whatever investigative attention is necessary.

Disposition of the foreign language material submitted in this connection is set forth below:

Returned herewith.

1 - Mr. Evans (Attn.: Mr. McAndrews; sent direct with enclosure)

RAV:mjm

ENCLOSURE

51 JUN 13 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAILED 10
JUN 12 1962
COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

JUN 12 1962

[Handwritten signature]

SUMMARY FROM ITALIAN

The submitted material consists of an envelope and a valet service statement.

The envelope comes from stationery of the Hotel Excelsior of Rome, Italy. It is of a kind customarily used to preserve telephone messages for hotel guests. In other words, the slip of paper prepared upon receipt of a telephone call for a guest who is not in the house is inserted in this envelope which, in turn, is stamped by a time clock and placed in a pigeonhole corresponding to the guest's room. The clock stamp on the envelope indicates that a telephone call was received for Mr. Eonnano (sic) of Room 251/2 at 7:25 P.M. The month is indicated as February and the remainder of the stamp could not be restored.

The valet service statement is made out to Room No. 2.1 (possibly 251) and is dated August 23, 1956. The printed form of the statement is divided into two sections. The top section is reserved for ladies' garments and the bottom section is reserved for men's garments. According to an entry appearing on the top section of the statement, 4 dresses were pressed for a total charge of 3,200 Italian lire (approximately equivalent to \$5.30).

REV
73
SUMMARIZED BY:

June 11, 1962

mjm.

mjm

b6
b7C

B/
ENCLOSURE

92-4494-88

F B I

Date: 5/28/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

396459

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

Attention: FBI Laboratory

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.;
AR

OO: Phoenix

There are enclosed an envelope, addressed to BONANNO, and what appears to be a statement of some sort, from Hotel Excelsior, Rome, Italy, which were obtained from a trash cover on subject's home on 5/23/62.

The Laboratory is requested to attempt to restore and translate these items, following which they should be returned to Phoenix.

T-52747

ceo

5/31/62

COPY AND SPECIMENS RETAINED IN LAB.
FOR LAB ACTION AND REPORT

92-4494-88

EX - 102

REC- 23

MAY 31 1962

- 4 - Bureau (Encl. 2)
(1 - FBI Laboratory)
1 - Phoenix

RWR:JMK
(5)

COPIES DESTROYED
JUN 2 1972

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

Let to Sac, Phoenix
with Encls (4)
RAV: [Signature]
6-12-62

INDEX LAB FILES

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

negatives

HOTEL EXCELSIO

MA

AVVISO DI COMUNICAZIONE TELEFONICA

TELEPHONE MESSAGE

ORE MINUTI

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

FEB

SIN BONNANO

App.to N.

251/2

D-396459 JC Q1

FBI
LABORATORY

UNITED STATES

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 5/29/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Phoenix airtel to the Bureau dated 5/22/62.

Tangible results which might be attributed to the mailing of the anonymous letters described in reairtel were reported by [redacted] on 5/28/62, when subject talked with [redacted] and informed [redacted] that he has "Troubles" which involve her indirectly. He said he would talk with her later about these "Troubles".

b2
b6
b7C

- 2 - Bureau
- 2 - New York (92-1586)
- 3 - Phoenix
 - (1-92-172)
 - (1-92-172E)
 - (1-92-28)

RWR/clh
(7) SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 18 PM '62

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI

58 JUN 7 1962

EX-108

REC-31

92-4494-89

JUN 1 1962

[Handwritten signature/initials]

SF 92-131
HKM: cmr

Reference is made to the report of SA [redacted] [redacted] 4/16/62. at San Francisco, in the investigation entitled "[redacted]", aka; AR" in which additional investigation is requested concerning the possible interest of JOSEPH BONANNO in the trust fund established by Mrs. [redacted] and/or the GREENE CATTLE COMPANY of Prescott, Arizona.

b6
b7C

Investigation is continuing concerning this matter in an effort to determine whether or not [redacted] may have been the person mentioned as "ALONZO" in the telephone conversation had by Mr. [redacted] with [redacted], of Evanston, Illinois. Upon receipt of the results of interviews conducted by the Phoenix Office and the Chicago Office concerning this matter, consideration will be given to interviewing [redacted] as a part of the Bureau's program to interview subjects of Anti-Racketeering investigations currently being conducted.

If any of the information appearing in the enclosures is used in a report, you are requested to attribute to [redacted] characterized as "a confidential source who is familiar with the financial transactions of [redacted] JOSEPH BONANNO,"etc.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

F B I

Date: 5/29/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4484)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172E) JUNE
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Reurlet to Phoenix, 5/17/62.

Misur at San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., 719 East
Madison Street, Phoenix, Arizona, activated 5/22/62 and
assigned symbol number [redacted] Logs from this source
will be maintained in Phoenix file 92-172D, and communication
concerning this source will be maintained in Phoenix file
92-172E. ~~CONF. INFT.~~

Weekly airtel summaries of information furnished
by this source will be furnished on Fridays, commencing
June 1, 1962.

cc Bureau

③ - Bureau
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 66-428)

RWR/vlc
(5)

EX-105
REC-3

92-4494-90

MAY 31 1962

Approved: *EJ Boyle*

JUN 3

Special Agent in Charge

Sent *11:00*

M

DSC

INTL

SPEC. MAIL

F B I

Date: 6/1/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
ATTENTION: FBI Laboratory

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO - Phoenix

Rerep of SA ROY W. REGER dated 5/10/62 at Phoenix.

Mrs. [redacted]
Los Angeles, California, who is owner of the house presently
occupied by [redacted], subject's paramour, at [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, was interviewed at Phoenix, Arizona on
5/21/62.

She advised that both [redacted] and subject signed
the lease for this house, using the names of BILL and [redacted]
[redacted] and that she has this lease in her safe deposit box
at a Los Angeles bank. She said she intends to return to
her home this coming week end, and would willingly loan
this lease to the FBI for handwriting comparison. She sug-
gested that she be contacted sometime the following week
at her home, during the early morning, and she would obtain
this lease. She advised that she cannot recall her home
phone number, but said she is listed in the phone book.

CHICAGO. Discontinue efforts to locate [redacted]

- 4 - Bureau
1 - Chicago (92-765)
2 - Los Angeles (92-685)
2 - Phoenix
RWR:bat
(9)

REC-31

92-4494-91

JUN 4 1962

JUN 2 1962

LBI

8 JUN 11 1962

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

LOS ANGELES Contact [] obtain the lease signed by subject, and forward to the FBI Laboratory for comparison with subject's known handwriting on his fingerprint card. It is noted that Mrs. [] was interviewed in detail, and that complete details of this interview will be included in a subsequent report.

b6
b7C

FBI LABORATORY On receipt of the lease, the FBI Laboratory will compare the signature of BILL SCHMID with the known handwriting of subject from his fingerprintcard Phoenix PD # 407344, and advise Phoenix the results of this comparison.



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.**

To: **FBI, Los Angeles (92-685)**

Date: **June 27, 1962**

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR**

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

REC- 67
FBI File No. **92-4494 - 92**
Lab. No. **D-396759 JC**

Examination requested by: **Los Angeles**

Reference: **Airtel 6/8/62**

Examination requested: **Document**

Remarks:
///// **Specimen received; 6/11/62**

Q1 Lease dated 1/17/62, signed "Bill Schmid"

**Q2 Inventory list of personal property, second page
bearing signature "Bill Schmid"**

Remarks:

**No arrest records were located in the Identification
Division for persons named Bill Schmid or William Bonanno,
Phoenix, Arizona, PD#407344.**


**Specimens Q1 and Q2 are returned herewith to Los Angeles.
Photographs are retained for comparison with any additional
specimens which may be submitted.**

A Laboratory report is not being submitted at this time.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Enclosures (2) (Q1 and Q2)
1 - Phoenix (92-172)
WAC: CR (5)

JUN 29 1962



MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

JUN 29 1962

Card Index advised

NR on William

Bonanno, William

Salvatore Bonanno,

or Bill Schmid

or Phoenix PD II

407344

RECORDED

6/12/62

dl

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICELaboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ARFile # 92-4494 -92
Lab. # D-396759 JC

Examination requested by: FBI, Los Angeles (92-685)

Airtel 6/8/62

Examination requested: Document

Date received: 6/11/62

Result of Examination:

Examination by: *copy 6/25/62*
*Miller**Transmitted Form only:**" No arrest records were located in the
Identification Division for persons named Bill
Schmid or William Bonanno, Phoenix, Arizona
PD # 407344.**Specimens Q1 and Q2 are returned herewith
to Los Angeles. Photographs are retained for comparison
with any additional specimens which may be submitted.**A Laboratory Specimens submitted for examination
report is not being submitted at this time.*

- Q1 Lease dated 1/17/62, signed "Bill Schmid"
- Q2 Inventory list of personal property, second page
bearing signature "Bill Schmid"

RETURN EVID

1 - Phoenix (92-172)

*Transmitted
6-27-62
NLC:ca*

F B I

Date: 6/8/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL (REGISTERED)
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (92-685) (P)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

ATTENTION:
FBI LABORATORY

396759

Re Phoenix airtel to Bureau 6/1/62.

On 6/8/62 Mrs. [redacted]
[redacted], Los Angeles, telephone 735-7592, made
available a lease reflecting leasing of property at
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, executed
from the 17th day of January, 1962, signed by [redacted]
[redacted] aka [redacted] and BILL SCHMID.

In addition, Mrs. [redacted] furnished an inven-
tory list which also was signed by [redacted], aka
[redacted] and BILL SCHMID.

Mrs. [redacted] stated that these documents were
signed in her presence by [redacted], aka [redacted]
and by an individual whom she identifies as BILL BONANNO
using the name BILL SCHMID, supposed husband of [redacted].

Enclosed to the Bureau are the two above-
described documents.

The Phoenix Division has requested that the FBI
Laboratory compare the signatures of BILL SCHMID with the
known handwriting of WILLIAM BONANNO which appears on a

- 4 - Bureau (Enc. - 2) (RM)
1 - Phoenix (92-172) (AM) (info)
1 - Los Angeles

TLD/alh
(6)

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

Approved: _____

Sent _____

Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

E. G. Wick

b6
b7c

REC-105

JUN 11 1962

LA 92-685

fingerprint card under Phoenix PD Number 407344 and that the Phoenix Division be advised of the results of this comparison.

Following this comparison, the Bureau is requested to return to Los Angeles both the above-described letters *please* as well as the inventory appearing upon two pages of a document captioned "List of Personal Property at [redacted] [redacted]"

b6
b7C

RECORDED
6/12/62
dl

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka**
AR

File # **92-4494 - 92**
Lab. # **D-396759 JC**

Examination requested by: **FBI, Los Angeles (92-685)**

Airtel 6/8/62

Examination requested: **Document**

Date received: **6/11/62**

Result of Examination:

Examination by: **Collier**

Specimens submitted for examination

- Returned*
- Q1 Lease dated 1/17/62, signed "Bill Schmid"
 - Q2 Inventory list of personal property, second page bearing signature "Bill Schmid"

RETURN EVID
1 - Phoenix (92-172)

2 enclosures to Bureau to
go with LA airtel dated
6/8/62.

LA file 92-685
Bufile 92-4494



ENCLOSURE

92 - 4494 - 92

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

negatives

LIST OF PERSONAL PROPERTY AT

b6
b7c

LIVING ROOM:

Black "75 couch- with foam rubber mattress & two pillows
(2) black swedish modern chairs, two piece foam rubber cushions, large one 25" x 20", small one 17" x 10".
black 21" Admiral TV & outside ariel
Large lounging yellow plastic chair & footstool.
Large white lounging chair
Two wrought iron & marble tables (2), 40" x 18" and Round 18".
Wall mirror 50 x 32"
2 lamps, gold three way 38" tall, white three way 32" tall
Firescreen- two irons & firebasket
Upright ash tray 25" tall
Ceiling to floor & wall to wall green draperies, glass curtains on front window
2 throw pillows
2 Japanese pictures in mahoney pictures
30 the Inc, clopedia Americana & 2 large dictionaries.
4 piece wrought iron patio set
1 white lounge with green rubber pad
1 white chair & white footstool with green & green rubber pads
1 white round table.

BEDROOM, BLUE

wall to wall green carpet
wall to wall ceiling to floor blue draperies & cornice
four piece brown bedroom set;
able bed 80" x 54"
able dresser 35" x 62"
bedside chest 21" x 12"
Inset wall mirror 46" x 31"
Sealy box springs and mattress
Sep. mattress pad & sep. covers on same, 2 round pictures- 10" across
1 nylon round in blue bathroom

BEDROOM, PINK

wall to wall green carpet
wall to wall ceiling to floor pink chinty draperies & glass curtains to match.
1 brown bed, mattress & box springs
1 wall mirror 35" x 12"
1 scoop chair
1 black & white lounging chair
1-5 drawer chest
2 down pillows
1 double orange wall lamps
1 black telephone
2 small pictures
1 pink rubber wastebasket.

Q2 92-4494 JC

Lease

THIS INDENTURE, made this 17th day of January, 19 62
between [redacted], lessor..., and
[redacted], lessee....

WITNESSETH: That the said lessor..., in consideration of the covenants of the said lessee..., hereinafter set forth, do..... by these presents lease to the said lessee..... the following described property, to-wit:

Furnished Single residence known as and located at [redacted]
Phoenix, Arizona.

Lessee is to pay her own utilities.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the same to the said lessee....., from the ~~20th~~ 22nd day of January....., 19 62, to the ~~20th~~ 22nd day of January....., 19 63

And said lessee..., in consideration of the leasing the premises as above set forth, covenants and agrees with the lessor... to pay the said lessor..., as rent for the same, the sum of.....

One Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty and no/100 (\$1920.00) DOLLARS,

payable as follows, to-wit: Received herewith \$160.00, Another \$160 on January 30, 1962 which is to be the last months rent paid in advance, then on the 20th day of February and every successive 20th \$160.00 untill the lease is paid in full.

Lessor is to give lessee possession on or before Jan. 22, or to reimburse lessee for the delay at the rate of \$10.40 a day starting Jan. 23, 1962.

The said lessee... further covenant..s with the said lessors.., that at the expiration of time mentioned in this Lease, peaceable possession of the said premises shall be given to the lessor..., in as good condition as they now are, the usual wear, inevitable accidents and loss by fire excepted; and the said lessee... agree..s. not to let, underlet or assign the whole or any part of the said premises without written consent of said lessor....; and that upon the non-payment of the whole or any portion of the said rent at the time when the same is above promised to be paid, the said lessor... may, at their..... election, either distrain for said rent due, or declare this lease at an end, and recover possession as if the same was held by forcible detainer; the said lessee... hereby waiving any notice of such election or any demand for the possession of said premises.

The covenants herein shall extend to and be binding upon the heirs, executors and administrators of the parties to this Lease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said parties to these presents have hereunto set their hands the day and year first above written.

Signed and delivered in the presence of

[redacted]

x

AKA

[redacted]

[redacted]

[Signature]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

Q1 92-4494 JC

KITCHEN

G.E. 6' refrigerator
G.E. stove 36" x 21"
round black laquer table
2 white wrought iron chairs with yellow plastic cushions
1 brown folding chair
4 piece canister set
1 nylon curtains at window & kitchen door
1 white door blind, 1 large bamboo shade
1 presto pressure cooker
4 frying pans, 1 with cover
4 sauce pans
1 collander
1 sifter
1 cookie pan
2 pie tins
6 piece knife set
1 rubber dishpan
1 stainless steelware (set of 6)
2 sets of glasses (set of 8) (set of 5)
one 7 piece knife set
one set of brown mixing bowls (5)
3 baking dishes
1 orange squeezer
4 piece orange plastic dinnerware
2 platters
3 piece echo flint serving set
1 rubber dustpan
1 large Hoover vacuum with 10 piece Att. kit
1 large folding ironing board
2 pink & 1 army blankets
sheets
pillow slips
towels
dish towels
1 red kitchen wall clock (electric)

CAR PORT:

1 bendix washer
1 electric edger with heavy duty 100 ft. cord
1 gas can

The undersigned lessee hereby receipts of this personal property for her use during the terms of the lease and will return & leave this property on the premises in good condition or pay the lessor for the same.

[Redacted Signature Box]

PROA

Beth Schum

STATE OF ARIZONA,

County of *Mohave*

} ss.

On this the *17th* day of *January* 19*63*

the undersigned Notary Public, *personally appeared* known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person..... whose name..... subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged..... *She*..... executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and

(My Commission expires *March 15, 1963*)

b6
b7c

No.....

TRAP

Short Form

FORM

TO

Dated....., 19.....

STATE OF ARIZONA

County of..... } ss.

I hereby certify that the within instrument was filed and recorded at request of.....

Book
In Docket.....
on page.....

Witness my hand and official seal the day and year aforesaid.

County Recorder.

By
Deputy Recorder.

F B I

Date: 6/1/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4484)
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D) *92-4494*
 RE : WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka *FB 217 to 62-6260*
 AR *PX 6-12-62 per page*
 OO:PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix, 5/17/62, and Phoenix airtel to Bureau, 5/29/62.

During the past week, reported valuable information regarding a new employee at San-Nic Importing Company, Phoenix, Arizona; contemplated visit to Tucson by who is subject of an AR case in San Francisco; a visit to the office of this company by ANGELO MORENO, who is also subject of an AR investigation in San Francisco; verification of information that has sold out his interest in this company; and other information of value concerning the activities, contacts and contemplated travel by the subject.

b2
b6
b7C

REC-106

92-4494-93

③ - Bureau
 2 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)(1 - 66-428)
 RWR/mdc
 (5)

JUN 4 1962

WICK
 60 JUN 14 1962

Approved: _____
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

F B I

Date: 6/8/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During past week, informant advised that [REDACTED] left employment at San-Nic Importing Company in Phoenix. He advised that subject was in Los Angeles, California, on 6/4/62, and would return to Phoenix at noon that day.

Informant also advised that JOE PROFACI was very ill and desired /to see subject's wife [REDACTED] who left Phoenix by plane for New York on 6/7/62.

Informant furnished information concerning contacts between subject and his father JOE BONANNO in Tucson, and with CHARLES BATTAGLIA in Tucson, also describing property owned by BATTAGLIA in Pinal County, Arizona, which property BATTAGLIA is attempting to sell.

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-172)
(1 - 92-172D)

RWR:JMK

(5)

JUN 20 1962

REC-72

25 JUN 11 1962

EX-114

Approved: Wick
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Informant located JOE BONANNO, whose whereabouts had been unknown for several days, at the office of San-Nic on 6/5/62. Informant also reported valuable information concerning travel plans, contacts, and additional associates of the subject.

F B I

Date: 6/15/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-⁴⁴⁹⁴~~4484~~) *722-111 6/15/62*

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D) *OK*

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant advised that the San-Nic Importing Company of Phoenix, where subject is employed, is having difficulty locating old records of the company which are needed by an accountant to prepare a Statement of Condition for the company. Informant has advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, has refused to sign an agreement, (probably a purchase agreement), until after a statement is prepared. All persons connected with the company had a conference with the accountant, in an effort to straighten this matter out, but were unsuccessful.

Informant advised that subject contacted SAL PROFACI in New York City and asked about his, (informant's) wife, and also asked, "Where's Dad"? Informant indicated that he would call PROFACI a short while later at the AIVEOLI Funeral Parlor on 65th Street.

Informant was also successful in establishing the

3 - Bureau (AM)
1 - Phoenix

REC-34

RWR:mss
(4)

EX-114

9 JUN 18 1962

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

53 JUN 29 1962 Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 6/15/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

whereabouts of subject while a survey for a misur was being made at the home of subject's father in Tucson, Arizona. Informant has also furnished names of additional contacts and associates of subject, as well as information concerning property reportedly owned by CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA in Pinal County, Arizona.

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 6/18/62	Investigative Period 5/8/62 - 6/7/62
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: mss
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI RACKETEERING	

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 5/10/62, at Phoenix.

- P -

ENCLOSURES

TO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

Approved Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: ③ - Bureau (92-4494) (Enclosures - 2) 1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Info) 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info) 1 - San Diego (92-165) (Info) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172) (Info)	92-4494-96	REC-26
	15 JUN 20 1962	

1- Rpt 7/19/62
1-1541
A. R. [unclear]
[unclear]
[unclear]

Property of FBI — This report is loaned to you by the FBI and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

PX 92-172

LEADS

LAS VEGAS, LOS ANGELES, MILWAUKEE, NEW YORK,
SAN DIEGO, & SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONS: (INFORMATION)

One copy each of this report is being sent to the Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Milwaukee, New York, San Diego, and San Francisco Divisions, inasmuch as report contains information concerning associates and contacts of subject located in these Divisions.

Leads for other offices have been set out by airtel or teletype and are not being repeated in this report. Results of investigation conducted by other offices will be included in a subsequent report.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report subject's activities and contacts.

INFORMANTS

[redacted] is a highly confidential source contacted by SA ROY W. REGER on 5/11/62.

PX T-30 is [redacted]

PX T-31 is [redacted]
[redacted]

PX T-32 is [redacted]
[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

Information from the files of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, was obtained from [redacted] Assistant Vice President and Manager of this bank.

- B -

COVER PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE

On 5/8/62, Mr. [] Arizona Service Company, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that insofar as he has been able to determine, there are no trash pickups being made at San-Nic Importing Company, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona. He said he will continue to follow this matter, and immediately advise if he is successful in obtaining the trash contract at this address.

b6
b7C

On 5/9/62, Mr. [] suggested contact with Inspector [] City of Phoenix Street Department; in an effort to force San-Nic Importing Company to contract for trash hauling.

On 5/9/62, Inspector [] City of Phoenix, advised that he would make inquiry at San-Nic Importing Company and attempt to determine who is hauling their trash.

On 5/9/62, mail covers were placed at Box 9344 and 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona. No information of value has been received from these covers to date.

On 5/22/62, anonymous letters, handprinted with pencil on commercially purchased stationery, were prepared and mailed at Tucson, Arizona, to the following individuals, using the following text:

JOSEPH BONANNO, 1847 E. Elm, Tucson, Arizona - "So Bill had a child by his German girl friend - A son acts just like his old man".

JOSEPH PROFACI, 8863 15th Avenue, Brooklyn, New York - "Rosalie's husband had a child by his German girl friend - he's a rat like all Bonannos".

ROSALIE BONANNO, 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona - "Can't your family control the snake you married? The boy is called William Salvatore".

- C* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER

Office: PHOENIX

Date: 6/18/62

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject continues to reside at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, and employed at San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, Phoenix, the stock of which company was recently purchased by JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father. Company reported to be in financial trouble. Subject's wife recently left subject and started to New York, but after arriving at Chicago was persuaded by relatives to return to subject. Subject has made trips to Tucson, Arizona, San Francisco, California, and Ontario, California, during the past month. Subject was visited in Phoenix by ANGELO MARINO of San Jose, California. Subject continues association with paramour, [redacted] at Phoenix, and reportedly signed lease for house where [redacted] residing, using name of BILL SCHMID. JOE BASKIN, close associate of subject, interviewed and furnished no information of value. Subject granted extension of time to submit brief on suit vs. Phoenix Police Department. Arizona Supreme Court dismissed appeal of subject's conviction for bogus check, and subject has paid check and all court costs amounting to \$2,248.00.

b6
b7C

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. NEIGHBORHOOD ACTIVITY
- II. EMPLOYMENT - SAN-NIC IMPORTING COMPANY, INC.,
719 E. MADISON, PHOENIX, ARIZONA
- III. TRAVEL
- IV. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS AND DEBTS
- V. ASSOCIATES
 - A. aka.
 - B. JOE BASKIN
 - C. OTHER ASSOCIATES
- VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VS. PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT
- VII. APPEAL ON CONVICTION FOR BOGUS CHECK

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

DETAILS:

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

I. NEIGHBORHOOD ACTIVITY

On May 8, 1962, PX T-26 advised that he has had no recent contact with BILL BONANNO. He stated, however, that [redacted] an associate of BONANNO's, made numerous recent unsuccessful attempts to contact BONANNO, in an effort to get BONANNO to make delinquent payments on the Pontiac which [redacted] traded to BONANNO. BONANNO declined to return [redacted] calls, but on May 4, 1962, paid off the entire amount due on this car at General Motors Acceptance Corporation in Phoenix.

PX T-26 said that [redacted] had left numerous personal articles in this car, which he asked JOE BASKIN to obtain for him. BASKIN reportedly promised to do so several times, but has failed to do so. Informant described BONANNO as a complete "Deadbeat," who can not be trusted in anything.

Informant further said that within the past month, BILL's wife, [redacted] decided to separate from BILL, and left home with their children to return to her relatives in New York City. She got as far as Chicago, Illinois, where she ran out of money, and telephoned her relatives for money to continue her trip. They reportedly persuaded her to return to BILL, and wired her enough money for the return trip to Phoenix.

On May 8, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject returned to his home in his Pontiac about 7:00 P.M. this date. She also observed JOE BONANNO's car at subject's house at the time, and said this car had not been there more than half an hour.

On the morning of May 9, 1962, SA ROY W. REGER observed JOE BONANNO's car in the carport of subject's home.

On the afternoon of May 9, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] advised that JOE BONANNO's car was still parked at subject's house. She said she had not, however, seen JOE at the house.

On the evening of May 10, 1962, it was determined by personal observation that JOE BONANNO's car was no longer parked at subject's home.

On May 9, 1962, PX T-27 advised JOE BASKIN is receiving

PX 92-172

some of his mail at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, which is the residence of subject. Informant further advised that subject has received bills for service, in 1961, from Travelodge, San Diego, California, and from Ramada Inn, Phoenix, Arizona. These bills were sent to subject at 1847 E. Elm Street, Tucson, Arizona.

On May 14, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject's father, JOE BONANNO, was observed arriving at subject's home, in his Chevrolet, on the evening of May 13, 1962. During this same evening, several other cars, believed to belong to [redacted] were also observed at subject's home. JOE was believed to have left subject's home sometime during the night of May 13, 1962.

b6
b7C

On May 21, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that four cars were at subject's home on the evening of this date. Two of the cars were subject's cars, one was the car of [redacted], and one was a car being used by JOE BASKIN.

On May 23, 1962, PX T-27 advised that subject received a statement from the offices of Drs. [redacted] Missouri Avenue and 12th Street, Phoenix, Arizona. Informant advised that subject also had what appears to be a statement, mostly illegible, from Hotel Excelsior, Rome, Italy. This was forwarded to the FBI Laboratory for restoration and translation.

On May 29, 1962, Dr. [redacted] 12th Street and Missouri Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he is a Pediatrician, and has treated subject's children at his office. He said subject was referred to him by [redacted] who has been known by Dr. [redacted] as a patient and friend for many years. Dr. [redacted] said that he has never met subject, and that subject's wife always brings the children to the office.

b6
b7C

On May 29, 1962, PX T-27 advised that subject's wife had a portrait made at OLAN MILLS Studio in Phoenix recently.

II. EMPLOYMENT - SAN-NIC IMPORTING COMPANY,
INC., 719 E. MADISON, PHOENIX, ARIZONA

On May 11, 1962, PX T-29 advised that the San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, has three telephones in their office, with numbers 254-6281, 254-6282, and 254-3692. Informant stated that the following persons are on the payroll of this company:

[redacted]
S. V. BONANNO

[redacted]
J. L. BASKIN

and

[redacted], a nephew of [redacted]

Informant stated that this company has recently received numerous statements for past due bills, including statements from the California Cheese Company, San Jose, California, and the Grande Cheese Company in Wisconsin. Informant advised that this company is dealing mainly in Italian food products, which they wholesale to various stores, restaurants, and institutions in the Phoenix area.

Informant said that on April 9, 1962, [redacted] Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona, and statutory agent for this company, wrote a letter to JOE BONANNO at Tucson, Arizona, with which he enclosed a check for \$416.64, which he said was the unused portion of \$6,000.00 transmitted by BONANNO to [redacted]. In this letter, [redacted] said that in the event further creditors agree to settle, he would advise BONANNO.

On May 29, 1962, PX T-32 advised that the San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, Phoenix, Arizona, was formed several years ago by the [redacted] and [redacted] families, with [redacted] operating the business. He said that [redacted], who married a [redacted] girl, also had a small interest in this business, but is no longer connected with the business, and has been employed by the United States Post Office in Phoenix for several years.

He stated that the [redacted] family lost about \$20,000.00

PX 92-172

RWR:jph

when the Arizona Savings and Loan Association went into receivership and that they were also the main creditor in the Romulus Restaurant bankruptcy. As a result of this, and difficulty they were having in collecting outstanding obligations, mainly among various Pizza restaurants in Phoenix, the San-Nic Importing Company found itself in a poor financial condition.

Informant said that in view of the employment of WILLIAM BONANNO at the Romulus Restaurant, his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, felt some obligation to the [redacted] inasmuch as the bankruptcy of the Romulus was one of the main factors leading to the financial difficulties of San-Nic. BONANNO therefore arranged to purchase the entire stock of the San-Nic Importing Company from the [redacted] and [redacted], and presently has complete ownership of this company.

b6
b7C

Informant advised that [redacted] intends to resign from this company in the near future, following which it will be operated entirely by the BONANNOS.

Informant described WILLIAM BONANNO as a "Completely worthless individual," and was of the opinion that he will not be successful in the operation of this company, which job was given to him by his father, JOE BONANNO. He described JOE BONANNO as a "Gentleman," insofar as his dealings with him have been.

PX T-30 advised that subject continues employment with the San-Nic Importing Company, Inc., 719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, and frequently discusses business problems of this company with his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at Tucson, Arizona. Subject has also had business contacts concerning this company with (FNU) [redacted] of Las Vegas, Nevada; The Grande Cheese Company, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin; and [redacted] at New York City, who has some interest in the company.

b6
b7C

Informant advised that on May 23, and 24, 1962, ANGELO MARINO of the California Cheese Company, San Jose, California, visited subject at the office of San-Nic and

PX 92-172

was accompanied on this trip by [] (LNU), staying at the Mountain Shadows Inn.

Informant stated that San-Nic hired a new female employee, [] (phonetic), who worked for only a few days and quit without notice because she became dissatisfied with her job.

Informant advised that the subject made a trip to California in connection with his business, returning to Phoenix by Bonanza Airlines on June 4, 1962.

Informant indicated that difficulty is being encountered in preparation of a financial statement of the company and that subject has been in contact with [] a Tucson attorney, concerning this difficulty.

Informant advised that subject talked with SALVATORE PROFACI at New York City, concerning the illness of JOE PROFACI, and arranged to have his wife, [] go to New York City to see her uncle, JOE.

Informant advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, was at the office of San-Nic on June 5, 1962, discussing business problems of the company and both subject and his father talked with [] at Ontario, California.

Informant stated that subject is continuing his association with [], contacts her frequently by telephone, and arranges to see her at periodic intervals.

Informant also advised that subject appeared undesirous of talking with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA of Tucson, Arizona, and that BATTAGLIA found it necessary to request JOE BASKIN to intercede with subject concerning the sale of property in Pinal County, Arizona.

Informant stated that subject has also continued a close association with ANTHONY SCRIVANO concerning insurance and property transactions and appears very friendly with SCRIVANO.

PX 92-172

Informant also said that subject has been in contact with his brother-in-law, Dr. [REDACTED], in California, concerning the illness of [REDACTED] mother at Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

III. TRAVEL

On May 7, 1962, SA [] observed BILL BONANNO at the Tucson Airport, Tucson, Arizona, at 5:20 P.M. He was accompanied by his sister, [] and her husband, [], who departed from Tucson en route to San Francisco, California, on American Airlines Flight #667, at 5:40 P.M., using reservations previously made in the names of Mr. and Mrs. []. BONANNO's white Pontiac car was also observed by SA [] on the airport parking lot.

b6
b7C

On May 18, 1962, [], American Airlines, Adams Hotel, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that on May 17, 1962, BILL BONANNO came to the office and purchased a round trip, first class plane ticket to San Francisco, California. He made reservations from Phoenix on Flight #127, leaving Phoenix at 3:25 P.M., with return space open. He gave his local contact address as Post Office Box 13583, and telephone number ALpine 4-6281. This ticket was purchased with a check drawn on the San-Nic Importing Company, and signed by J. L. BASKIN.

It is noted that Post Office Box 13583 is the mailing address of San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona.

On June 4, 1962, at 12:12 P.M., SAS [] and ROY W. REGER observed subject leaving a Bonanza plane at the Sky Harbor Airport, Phoenix, Arizona, which had just arrived from Los Angeles, California. He was met at the airport by his wife, with their two children, and left the airport in his Falcon car.

On June 6, 1962, [], Reservations Manager, Bonanza Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject arrived in Phoenix, Arizona, at 12:12 P.M., June 4, 1962, on Bonanza Flight #312. Records of Bonanza indicated that subject boarded this flight at Ontario, California, purchasing a one-way ticket, and furnishing a local contact address of Travelodge, Ontario, California.

b6
b7C

On June 6, 1962, Mr. [], Trans World Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he had made a reservation for Mrs. WILLIAM BONANNO, and one four year old child, to leave Phoenix at 8:05 A.M., June 7, 1962, via Trans World Airlines (TWA) Flight #146, arriving at New York City 4:30 P.M., EDT. The local contact was listed as the San-Nic Importing Company, and no arrangements were made for return.

PX 92-172

On June 7, 1962, Mr. [REDACTED] TWA, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that Mrs. BONANNO paid cash for a one-way ticket to New York at the airport, and left Phoenix on Flight #146, after cancelling the reservation for her four year old son.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

IV. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS AND DEBTS

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 5/16/62

Records of the Southern Arizona Bank, 313 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that on May 15, 1962, a check in the amount of \$2,000.00 was deposited to the account of San-Nic Importing Co., Incorporated. This check was undated, drawn on the Southern Arizona Bank, Campbell Avenue Office, Tucson, Arizona, payable to San-Nic Importing Co., and signed by JOE BONANNO. Above the signature is a handwritten notation "As Loan". The check was endorsed with a rubber stamp endorsement of San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., and above this endorsement is a handwritten notation, "Loan".

The above information can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Assistant Vice President and Manager of this bank.

b6
b7C

On 5/15/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/clh Date dictated 5/15/62

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 6/4/62

Records of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that on May 28, 1962, [REDACTED], attorney for American Credit Bureau, Phoenix, Arizona, contacted the manager of this bank with JOE BASKIN, concerning a garnishment on the bank account of San-Nic Importing Company in the amount of \$429.60 by the American Credit Bureau. The records indicate that this garnishment was released on May 28, 1962 on payment of this amount by BASKIN.

b6
b7C

The above information can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED] Assistant Vice President and Manager, Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona.

On 5/28/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/clh Date dictated 5/28/62

Date June 7, 1962

Records of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that on June 1, 1962, JOE BASKIN cashed one of the \$500.00 cashier's checks previously obtained by him, at the bank, on May 2, 1962. Records further indicated that on June 4, 1962, BASKIN deposited the other two \$500.00 cashier's checks, previously obtained at the bank on May 2, 1962, to the account of San-Nic Importing Company.

b6
b7C

The above information can be produced only on the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Assistant Vice President and Manager of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona.

On 6/6/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER : mss Date dictated 6/6/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

V. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted] aka. [redacted]

On May 10, 1962, [redacted] St. Joseph's Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona, advised from records that [redacted] was a maternity patient at this hospital from January 22, 1962, until January 25, 1962. The admission card revealed her address as [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, telephone ALpine 2-5250, and her husband as WILLIAM SCHMID, same address, self-employed as SCHMID Brokerage. The charge for hospital services amounted to \$143.40, which was paid, in cash, by WILLIAM SCHMID, on January 25, 1962. *mms*

b6
b7C

On May 10, 1962, PX T-23 advised that Phoenix telephone number ALpine 2-5250 was listed to [redacted] Phoenix, on January 22, 1962. This telephone was disconnected on January 24, 1962, and the final bill was sent to [redacted] at [redacted] Chicago 32, Illinois.

On May 11, 1962, Lieutenant [redacted] Phoenix Police Department, advised that during the early morning hours on this date, the Falcon car belonging to BILL BONANNO was observed at the residence of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona. He said that BILL was observed leaving this residence, and was unable to start this car. He thereafter borrowed [redacted] Falcon, and drove off, returning a short while later with an unknown individual in another car, who pushed BILL's car and got it started. BILL thereafter returned to his home about 2:00 A.M.

b6
b7C

On May 14, 1962, PX T-31 advised that the Tucson telephone numbers previously called from the telephone of [redacted] in Phoenix, are listed as follows:

624-0337 - Non-published telephone of CHARLES BATTAGLIA, 1938 W. Lester, Tucson, Arizona - listed in the name of CEE ESTES;

296-2366 - El Tanque Lanes, 6646 E. Tanque Verde Road, Tucson - responsible persons are [redacted]

On the afternoon of May 15, 1962, SA ROY W. REGER observed both [redacted] Falcon and subject's Falcon parked in the carport at [redacted] residence. [redacted] was observed leaving the residence in subject's car, and returning to the residence a

PX 92-172

short while later. Within a few minutes after her return, subject was observed leaving her residence and driving off in his car. He drove East on Cypress Street to Central Avenue, South on Central Avenue, and East on Palm Lane. The surveillance was then discontinued.

On May 31, 1962, PX T-23 advised that the following toll calls were charged to the telephone of [redacted] Phoenix telephone number 254-7845, during the past month: (It is noted that this is the telephone of [redacted] subject's paramour)

April 23, 1962 - To Tucson, Arizona, #297-1457, [redacted] talked 20 minutes;

April 24, 1962 - To San Manuel, Arizona, #385-4475, talked seven minutes;

April 26, 1962 - To Tucson, Arizona, #623-6805, talked ten minutes.

On May 31, 1962, Dr. [redacted], 926 E. McDowell Road, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he was the Obstetrician for [redacted], who used the name of [redacted]. His records indicated that she gave the name of her husband as WILLIAM SCHMID, a real estate broker for himself. Dr. [redacted] said he never met [redacted] husband, and she never discussed her husband with him.

b6
b7C

Date 6/7/62

Mrs. [] Los Angeles, California, advised that she is the owner of property located at [] Phoenix, Arizona, and formerly resided at this location. She said that following the death of her husband, she decided to rent this property and listed it with Arizona Homes Realty. On January 21, 1962, they notified her they had a very nice young couple whom they had investigated who would rent the property for \$160.00 per month. Later that same day, a representative of the realty company came to the house, accompanied by a woman who identified herself as [] [] was also accompanied by a man she called "Little Joe," who she said was a friend of hers. Mrs. [] identified a photograph of JOE BASKIN as being "Little Joe."

b6
b7C

[] informed Mrs. [] that her husband, BILL, was in New York City, where his sister had been injured in an auto accident, and stated that BILL worked for National Finance Company. [] said she was expecting a baby momentarily, and stated that she had one other child, five years old, who was with her mother, place not stated. [] agreed to lease the property and signed a lease, using the name of []. She said that she would have her husband sign the lease at a later date, when he returned to Phoenix, and Mrs. [] told her she would return the lease for his signature.

Mrs. [] said she proceeded to move out of the house and returned a few days later, but [] was not at home. She said she was looking around the house, noticed various papers with the name of [] on them, and became curious as to the real identity of her new tenants. She said she called all the Phoenix hospitals in an attempt to locate [] and finally determined that [] was a patient at St. Joseph's Hospital. She said she went to this hospital and visited [] room. In the room at the time were JOE BASKIN and a man whom [] introduced as BILL, her husband. Mrs. [] identified a photograph of subject as being identical with this man. She said that BILL was very amorous with [] while she was there. She asked BILL to sign the lease, which he did, using the name of BILL SCHMID. She then asked [] to sign with her correct name, and

b6
b7C

On 5/31/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/mdc Date dictated 6/1/62

[] signed again using the name of []. Mrs. [] stated that she has this lease in her safe deposit box at a bank in Los Angeles.

While she was at the hospital, she talked briefly with subject and he said they had just moved to Phoenix from Tucson. He also said he had been in New York City and worked for National Finance Company. He stated that he and his wife had one other child, five years of age, who was with his wife's mother.

Mrs. [] stated that she then moved to Los Angeles, b6
and has been receiving rental payments almost every month b7C
since, either by bank money order, or postal money order, from []. She stated, however, that they are one month delinquent on the rent, which is due on the 24th of the month, and that she went to see [] today to straighten this out. There was another woman present in the house, with a small child, who were not identified by [] told her that her husband was out of town, but was fine, and that they liked the house and want to continue to live there. Mrs. [] told [] that she would have to pay the rent promptly or move, and [] agreed to do this in the future.

Mrs. [] said she saw subject only on the one occasion at the hospital, and did not know his true identity until she later saw a picture of subject in a Phoenix newspaper at the time of his prosecution on a bad check charge.

She said she intends to permit [] to remain in the house as a tenant if the rent payments are made promptly in the future, and that she will willingly furnish any information concerning [] and subject which comes to her attention in the future.

B. JOE BASKIN

On May 13, 1962, PX T-26 advised that JOE BASKIN has moved from the Wrangler Motel, and his present address is not known. BASKIN reportedly informed another individual that he and subject were going to the San Francisco area, in subject's Pontiac, on May 13, 1962, for about one week.

On May 14, 1962, PX T-26 advised that subject and JOE BASKIN are still in Phoenix, and did not go to San Francisco. Subject reportedly visited his parents in Tucson on Mother's Day.

On May 18, 1962, PX T-23 advised that Phoenix telephone number [redacted] is a non-published telephone listed to [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On May 20, 1962, JOSEPH BASKIN, 1748 E. Brill, Phoenix, Arizona, was interviewed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY. BASKIN advised that he is very ill with a heart condition, and his physician has stated that he would have only a short time to live if he continues to work under stress. BASKIN felt that his condition is being aggravated by his association with Arizona hoodlums, and said that he is now trying to break away from them. He said that BILL BONANNO never confides in him concerning any hoodlum activities, or the whereabouts of any missing hoodlums. BASKIN stated that he hopes to resign his employment at San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, as soon as the company is able to locate someone to take his place.

C. OTHER ASSOCIATES

On May 29, 1962, [redacted], Manager, Desert Hills Motel, 2745 E. Van Buren, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the guest at Room 26 on the night of May 23, 1962, was [redacted], Pomona, California. The registration card indicates that she was driving a car with California License XCY-405.

On May 29, 1962, inquiry at the Sanda Hotel, 3320 E. Van Buren, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that they have no room 137.

b6
b7C

On May 29, 1962, Mrs. [redacted], Mountain Shadows Inn, Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that Room 328 was occupied from May 22, 1962, to May 26, 1962, by Mr. and Mrs. A. MARINO, 1451 Sunny Court, San Jose, California.

A. MARINO

PX 92-172

VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VS. PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT

On April 30, 1962 and May 8, 1962, SA [redacted] contacted [redacted] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California. On the latter date, [redacted] advised that the Appellee in the matter entitled, "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee, CCA Number 17630," had been granted an extension of time until June 4, 1962, to submit his brief in this matter.

b6
b7C

VII. APPEAL ON CONVICTION FOR BOGUS CHECK

On May 10, 1962, PHIL HAGGERTY, Assistant Attorney General, State of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject's attorney was granted an extension of time until May 28, 1962, to file a brief on the appeal of subject's conviction for bogus check.

HAGGERTY stated that on May 9, 1962, he filed a motion to dismiss the appeal, on the basis that sentence of probation does not constitute final judgment in the State of Arizona. HAGGERTY said it would probably be two or three weeks before a decision is reached on this motion.

He further advised that he had requested the Probation Office not to enforce the Court order requiring restitution, until the matter of subject's appeal is decided.

On May 31, 1962, [redacted], Adult Probation Officer, Maricopa County, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he had talked with subject's attorney, [redacted], on May 29, 1962. [redacted] indicated that subject would make restitution on the check and Court Costs of his trial, either some time this week, or next week. [redacted] further indicated that he will probably go no further on the appeal of subject's conviction. [redacted] said he would notify the FBI when restitution is made.

On June 7, 1962, [redacted] advised that he had received a call from subject's attorney, [redacted], on June 6, 1962, advising that they were dropping their appeal on the conviction. [redacted] later brought his personal check to [redacted] for \$2,248.00, in payment of the bad check and court costs. [redacted] said he intended to request Judge PATTERSON to grant subject permission to travel out of the State without notifying his probation officer, as he will probably be travelling in connection with his occupation. He further said that he would have subject contact [redacted] within the next few days. [redacted] said he will advise further developments, and notify the FBI in the event subject fails to meet the terms of his probation.

On June 6, 1962, PHIL HAGGERTY, Assistant Attorney General, State of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the Arizona State Supreme Court had dismissed the appeal of subject's conviction for bogus check.

PX 92-172

when the Arizona Savings and Loan Association went into receivership, and that they were also the main creditor in the Romulus Restaurant bankruptcy. As a result of this, and difficulty they were having in collecting outstanding obligations, mainly among various Pizza restaurants in Phoenix, the San-Nic Importing Company found itself in a poor financial condition.

Informant said that in view of the employment of WILLIAM BONANNO at the Romulus Restaurant, his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, felt some obligation to the [redacted] inasmuch as the bankruptcy of the Romulus was one of the main factors leading to the financial difficulties of San-Nic. BONANNO therefore arranged to purchase the entire stock of the San-Nic Importing Company from the [redacted], and presently has complete ownership of this company.

b6
b7C

Informant advised that [redacted] intends to resign from this company in the near future, following which it will be operated entirely by the BONANNOS.

Informant described WILLIAM BONANNO as a "Completely worthless individual," and was of the opinion that he will not be successful in the operation of this company, which job was given to him by his father, JOE BONANNO. He described JOE BONANNO as a "Gentleman," insofar as his dealings with him have been.

On May 22, 1962, PX T-30 advised that JOE BASKIN and [redacted] engaged in an argument at the office of San-Nic Importing Company, concerning business matters. During the day, JOE BASKIN also contacted some insurance company regarding the obtaining of a receipt for payment made to this company in January, 1962, and also contacted his lawyer concerning some papers the lawyer was supposed to obtain for him.

On May 23, 1962, Informant advised that [redacted] made a telephone call from the office to [redacted] (LNU), at Room 328, Mountain Shadows Inn, in Phoenix. Later, [redacted] received a telephone call from someone whom he referred to as [redacted] (LNU), and said he would meet her for dinner at the Desert Hills at 9:00 P.M. [redacted] later indicated that [redacted] was registered at Room 26, Desert Hills. Later during the day, an unknown man called the Sands Hotel and asked if there were any messages for Room 137. This person also called the Greyhound Bus Depot and asked when the next bus from Tucson was due.

b6
b7C

On May 24, 1962, Informant advised that subject was

PX 92-172

at the office with JOE BASKIN. An unknown woman made a telephone call concerning airline flights to Los Angeles, California, on Friday and Saturday. This same woman, who used the name of (FNU) [redacted], later called Western Airlines and made reservations on the flight leaving Phoenix at 10:05 A.M., Saturday, for herself and her granddaughter. Subject talked to his lawyer about attaching a truck belonging to someone who owed money to the company, and also called a Mr. CAMPANELLI in Las Vegas, Nevada, about buying some spaghetti. He told CAMPANELLI that Mr. MARINO of the California Cheese Company, had recommended CAMPANELLI. Informant said that ANGELO MARINO was at the office, and identified MARINO as a friend of [redacted] (phonetic). BILL later received a call from CAMPANELLI at Las Vegas, and informed CAMPANELLI that "They" have a license to handle wine, and would talk to the other boys about arrangements to handle this, as it might fit in with other plans they have.

b6
b7C

On May 25, 1962, JOE BASKIN talked with [redacted], who was attempting to locate subject. JOE told her he would have subject call her when he came to the office. BILL later came to the office, and discussed the obtaining of certified copies of documents pertaining to a shipment destroyed by fire on the President Jackson at New York City, with [redacted] (LNU), at the Guaranty Bank. A man, giving the name of ANGELO, made a collect call to ESsex 7-4015 at San Jose, California, and said that he would be home for dinner. This same man then called Room 328 at the Mountain Shadows Inn, and talked with a person he referred to as [redacted]. He told her he would be there as soon as he could.

b6
b7C

On May 26, 1962, [redacted] JOE BASKIN, and subject, were all at the office, and were arguing about being overcharged on a freight bill. Subject contacted [redacted] at home, and told [redacted] that he was sending a man to see [redacted]. This man was not identified. Later, subject again talked with [redacted] and told [redacted] that JOE BONANNO would get in touch with him about the matter.

On May 28, 1962, Informant advised that San-Nic Importing Company has a new employee, by the name of [redacted] (phonetic), who was sent to the company by some agency. Informant also advised that BILL BONANNO was discussing the possibility of trading in the car of JOE BONANNO in the near future, and was complaining about the Insurance Company asking that JOE pay the expenses for the return of his car. Informant also advised that subject was talking with Dr. [redacted] who said he was coming to Tucson next Friday on TWA Flight #206,

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

by himself. Informant said that subject contacted [redacted] and told her that he has "Troubles," which indirectly involve her. Informant said that JOE BASKIN talked with [redacted] (LNU) about sport cars which [redacted] recently obtained, and about the possibility of obtaining \$150,000.00 real quick for [redacted] to possibly establish a new finance company. JOE arranged to meet [redacted] tonight, after supper.

b6
b7C

On May 29, 1962, PX T-30 advised that [redacted] JOE BASKIN, and subject, were all at the office of San-Nic Importing Company during the day. [redacted] made a call to Oxnard, California, telephone number HU 3-2377, and asked for the sales manager of the company. He informed the sales manager that San-Nic is under completely new ownership and management, and that [redacted] is working only as a salesman for the company at present. He said the present owners retained the name of San-Nic, only because it is well known to restaurant trade in Phoenix.

Subject later placed a call to the Grande Cheese Company at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, and talked to [redacted] (LNU), about a shipment of cheese. He also inquired as to the whereabouts of an employee of the cheese company at Ontario, California.

Subject contacted the Westward Pontiac Company and asked them if his car was repaired, and would be in condition to drive to the Coast.

Subject talked with his father, JOE BONANNO for about 45 minutes, mostly in Sicilian. Subject remarked that he did not want to drive on Memorial Day.

Subject called an unknown person and asked what they were having for dinner. He indicated that he would see this person after he left the office. He also received a call from an unknown person, and said he would not be home this evening, but might get there about 10:00 or 11:00 P.M.

b6
b7C

During the day, BASKIN, [redacted] and subject, spent considerable time arguing about business conditions at the company, and the necessity of securing new accounts.

On May 31, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject, [redacted] and JOE BASKIN, were all at the office of San-Nic Importing Company during the day. Informant reported they were having trouble obtaining supplies to fill orders, and that at least one restaurant indicated they intended to quit doing business with the company. No other activity of interest was

PX 92-172

reported.

On June 1, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject was at his office most of the day. He took JOE BASKIN to the Doctor during the day, and remarked that JOE was very ill and should be in a hospital. Subject complained about the fact that the CUDAHY Packing Company refused to accept personal checks of the company. During the day, subject sent birthday greetings to his brother, JOE, JR., at 1029 Annapolis, San Mateo, California. Subject also talked with his attorney, [redacted] at Tucson, and engaged in a heated argument about some "Books," which he said he would try to have available by the next Monday. Subject then talked with TONY SCRIVANO and asked him to have the "Books" ready over the week end. [redacted] talked with her roommate, and indicated she intends to leave her employment at this company, as the business there is really in a mess.

b6
b7C

On June 4, 1962, PX T-30 advised that [redacted] who works at San-Nic Importing Company, indicated intentions of resigning, and attempting to obtain employment at the DEL WEBB Company. She reportedly told her employers at San-Nic that her brother in California was injured in a car accident, and she had to make a trip to California. Informant advised that [redacted] received a collect call from subject at Los Angeles, and advised subject that he would have someone meet subject at Sky Harbor Airport in Phoenix at 12:12 P.M., on arrival of Bonanza Airlines flight from Los Angeles. Informant stated that during the afternoon of June 4, 1962, subject arrived at the office, and received a telephone call from SALVATORE PROFACI from Brooklyn, New York. Subject said he was sorry to hear that "Uncle JOE" was worse, and said he would try to arrange for [redacted] to go to New York to see JOE. Subject informed PROFACI that Mrs. GENOVESE is very ill at St. Mary's Hospital in Tucson, is in a coma, and not expected to live. Informant advised that San-Nic received a shipment of cheese from Wisconsin during the day, and that several calls were received concerning delinquent bills. JOE BASKIN, subject, and [redacted] were at the office during the day, and [redacted] and [redacted] were reportedly working outside on sales all day.

b6
b7C

On June 5, 1962, PX T-30 advised that during the day [redacted], JOE BASKIN, [redacted], subject, and his father, JOE BONANNO, were at the office of San-Nic Importing Company, JOE BASKIN indicated that he is purchasing a car, described as a 1960 Chevrolet Impala, two door Sedan, color red, which he is putting in the name of his son, [redacted] and is obtaining insurance for this car through ANTHONY SCRIVANO at the Phoenix

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Central Insurance Company. The license number on this car was reported to be Arizona CEH-495. During the day, CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA attempted to contact subject at the office, but subject had [] inform BATTAGLIA he was not in. Subject later called BATTAGLIA, and talked mostly in Sicilian, saying he would call BATTAGLIA again later after dinner, and possibly at the "Other place". Subject also called [] at Ontario, California, telephone number YUKon 6-8192, and both subject and his father, JOE, talked to [] in Sicilian. Subject's father, JOE, left the office about 5:28 P. M., driving subject's Pontiac car.

b6
b7C

On June 6, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject, [] and JOE BASKIN, were at the office during the day. Subject received a call from New York City, and talked with []. Subject informed [] that he has made three trips to California and is getting goods now. He said he would send [] a statement of the business when he gets it ready. Subject then talked to his wife; advised her that "Uncle JOE" (PROFACI) would probably not last the night, and said he would arrange transportation for her to New York City. [] talked with his brother, [] about the overdrawn bank account of the company, and asked about exchanging \$1,000.00 checks temporarily. Subject then received a long distance collect call from his father, JOE BONANNO, and talked in Sicilian, also saying in English that "They called this morning". Subject and JOE BASKIN met TONY SCRIVANO at the Adams Hotel Coffee Shop for coffee during the afternoon. For long periods of time, during the day, there was no one at the office of the San-Nic Importing Company.

On June 7, 1962, PX T-30 advised that during the day, subject, JOE BASKIN, [] and [] were at the office. [] made a business trip to Tucson. Subject talked with [] at the Adams Hotel Grill, and asked [] if he could obtain a Dun and Bradstreet report on a local concern. JOE BASKIN talked long distance with ANGELO (LNU), who was attempting to contact subject, and said subject would be in later. BASKIN also talked with JOE PATERNO, and asked him to come to the office and discuss some guy who wants \$150,000.00. BASKIN also talked with JOE BONANNO and [] at Tucson, about an order for Italian Bakery in Tucson, and indicated that subject might be on his way to Tucson. BASKIN also discussed a past due bill at the Pacific Finance Company. CHARLES BATTAGLIA attempted to contact subject, and talked with BASKIN instead, concerning the sale of land which BASKIN described as North $\frac{1}{2}$ of West $\frac{1}{2}$, Section 11, Township 7, S. Range 8 East, G & SR, B & M,

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

in Pinal County. BASKIN said that subject had not checked on the water rights, and had also not contacted a fellow in Phoenix who allegedly owes BATTAGLIA money. BASKIN also talked with [redacted] at the Colonial Finance Company, and arranged to meet him the next day at noon to discuss financing a car for BASKIN's son.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Phoenix, Arizona
June 18, 1962

Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character ANTI RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated
June 18, 1962, at Phoenix, Arizona

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona
June 18, 1962

Re: William Salvatore Bonanno
Anti Racketeering

Characterization of new informants in the report
of SA ROY W. REGER dated June 18, 1962, at Phoenix:

PX T-29 is [REDACTED]

PX T-30 is in a position to furnish some
information regarding the activities of
William Salvatore Bonanno in Phoenix, Arizona;

b6
b7C
b7D

PX T-31 has [REDACTED]

PX T-32 has [REDACTED]

F B I

Date: 6/22/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During the past week informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised identity of new female employee at San-Nic Importing Company in Phoenix, Arizona.

Advised that [REDACTED], a public accountant in Phoenix, is having difficulty preparing a financial statement for this company.

Advised that subject has been in constant contact with his paramour [REDACTED]

Advised that subject's wife [REDACTED] BONANNO was returning to Phoenix from New York City on 6/20/62.

Advised that subject was in contact with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, a top hoodlum at Tucson, Arizona, concerning a real estate deal and attempts made to collect money owed to BATTAGLIA by an unknown individual in Phoenix.

C. C. Wick

3 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

RWR:lds

(4)

REC-37

92-4494-97

25 JUN 25 1962

EX-102

JUN 26 1962

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

b2
b6
b7C

A

PX 92-172D

Advised that JOE BASKIN, close associate of subject, was taken to the hospital on 6/21/62.

Advised that [] and [] were considerably perturbed because of a visit made by Bureau Agents to [], and were attempting to figure out the reason the FBI was interested in the San-Nic Importing Company.

b6
b7C

- 2 -

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
F B I

JUN 23 11 03 AM '65

RECEIVED - LAMAR

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

June 26, 1962

Director, FBI (92-4494)

✓
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Rerep SA Roy W. Reger dated 6/18/62 at Phoenix.

Pages 5 through 9 rerep set forth information received from [redacted] Much of this information is extraneous material which has no apparent significance to this investigation. b2


Care must be taken to insure that the identity of our informants is not revealed. It is unnecessary to report all information in the details of a report received from a sensitive source unless it has a direct bearing on the investigation.

In characterizing an informant the statement "T-30 is no longer available" is not an acceptable characterization. A statement such as "a source in a position to furnish some information regarding William Salvatore Bonanno's activities in Phoenix, Arizona," would be more appropriate.

Promptly submit amended pages for pages 5 through 9 and the informant characterization memorandum for rerep.

JEK:mac
(4) *mac*

EX-105



REC-32

JEK
92-4494-98

JUN 28 1962

JUN 26 1962

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 6/27/62

FROM: *BS* SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340)

AB SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: Phoenix

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to the Director, 4/10/62.

On 6/7 and 6/11/62, [] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, was contacted by SA []. On the latter date he made available a copy of the Appellee's Reply Brief in the matter entitled SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs CHARLES THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee, CCA #17630. This Brief is being forwarded to the Phoenix office for analysis and transmittal to the Bureau in accordance with existing Bureau instructions.

The San Francisco office will continue to follow this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172) (Encl 1)
2 - San Francisco
(1 - 66-3705-143)
DVE/jmp
(6)

REC-5

92-4494-99

15 JUN 29 1962

EX-100

NINE

60 JUL 6 1962

b6
b7C

Diffen
no interest
Div. 6. This
document is
material to
investigation

F B I

Date: 6/29/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172-D) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO - Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Subject has continued his association with his paramour [REDACTED].

JOE BASKIN is still in a hospital suffering from a heart attack.

Subject had a conference in Phoenix with Tucson Attorney [REDACTED]

Subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO JR. returned to his home in Tucson from California and engaged in a heated argument with his father, following which he ran off from home.

Information concerning a transfer of title on property in which subject has an interest, and which transfer is being handled by the Phoenix Title Company through TONY SCRIVANO. Informant stated this property is owned by [REDACTED], an accountant in Tucson, Arizona, who is a close associate of the BONANNO family.

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix

RWR:bat

(4)

172
50 JUL 9 1962

REC- 25

EX-132

JUL 2 1962

Approved: Wick

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7c

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/4/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

Attention: FBI LABORATORY

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

398789

Re Bureau letter to Los Angeles dated 6/27/62.

Inquiry at the Phoenix, Arizona, Police Department, reveals that subject's fingerprints, Phoenix Police Department Number 407 344, were submitted under the name of SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO.

The Laboratory is requested to compare the signature on these prints with Specimens Q1 and Q2, previously submitted by Los Angeles.

- 3 - Bureau
- (1 - FBI Laboratory)
- 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(5)

RECEIVED

REC-35

92-4494-101

JUL 13 11 28 AM '62

JUL 10

JUL 10 1962

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI

116

JUL 13 1962

WALL

WALL

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/3/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

00: Phoenix

Re report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 6/18/62 at
Phoenix and Bureau letter to Phoenix 6/26/62.

As requested in reBulet, there are enclosed
for the Bureau and all offices amended pages for rerep,
which should be substituted for pages 5 through 10. Also
enclosed for the Bureau are the original and one copy of
an amended characterization memorandum.

The Phoenix copies have been amended.

92-4494

NOT RECORDED

JUL 6 1962

- 2 - Bureau (Encl. 5)
- 1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - San Diego (92-165) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:jph
(9)

ENCLOSURE

53 JUL 11 1962

CONSOLIDATION

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/4/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to the Bureau dated 6/27/62.

The Appellee's Reply Brief, described in referenced letter, has been reviewed at Phoenix, and is enclosed for the Bureau.

- 2 - Bureau (Enclosure - 1)
- 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(4)

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

RECEIVED
JUL 15 11 28 AM '62

JUL 15 11 28 AM '62

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI

REC-105

92-4494-102

JUL 10 1962

53 JUL 20 1962

Joe R. Kelly
Rm 713

JUL 10 1962

ENCLOSURE TO THE BUREAU: (92-4494)

One (1) Appellee's Reply Brief in
the matter entitled, "SALVATORE V.
BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES
THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee,
CCA #17630.

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)
(PX 92-172)

LEASON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

92-4494-102
ENCLOSURE

DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED

DESCRIPTION:

phamplet

No. 17,630

IN THE

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

VS.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE
I, RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE
III, RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD
ROE V,

Appellees.

APPELLEES' REPLY BRIEF

MERLE L. HANSEN,

City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,

LEVEN B. FERRIN,

Assistant City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
10 North Third Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

GIBBONS, KINNEY AND TIPTON,

First National Bank Building,
411 North Central Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

Attorneys for Appellees.

HAROLD C. FAULKNER,

FAULKNER, SHEEHAN & WISEMAN,

1101 Balfour Building,
San Francisco 4, California,

Of Counsel.

FILED

JUN 5 1962

FRANK H. SCHMID, CLERK

No. 17,630

IN THE

**United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit**

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

VS.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE
I, RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE
III, RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD
ROE V,

Appellees.

APPELLEES' REPLY BRIEF

I.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

A. (1) Whether a complaint¹ states a claim upon
which relief can be granted under the Civil Rights

¹The amended complaint will sometimes be referred to herein as
the "complaint".

Subject Index

	I.	Page
Questions presented		1
	II.	
Summary of argument		3
	III.	
Argument		3
The record		3
The amended complaint		5
Argument on the facts and law		7
Civil rights and the police power of the state		23
Plaintiff's cases		27
Amendment to complaint		32
In re Cohen v. Norris		34
Conclusion		35

Table of Authorities Cited

	Cases	Pages
Agnew v. City of Compton (9 CA, 1956) 239 F. 2d 226 ..7, 27, 28		
Baldwin v. Morgan (5 CA, 1958) 251 F. 2d 780		28
Brinegar v. United States, 338 U.S. 160, 69 S. Ct. 1302		20
Busby v. United States, 296 F. 2d 328		21
Civil Rights Cases, 109 U.S. 3		26
Cohen v. Cahill (9 CA, 1960) 281 F. 2d 879		28

	Pages
District of Columbia v. Brooke, 214 U.S. 138	24
Feddersen Motors v. Ward, 180 F. 2d 519	33
Hatfield v. Baileaux (9 CA, 1961) 290 F. 2d 632	29
Hoffman v. Halden (9 CA, 1959) 268 F. 2d 280	8, 29, 30
In Re Kemmler, 136 U.S. 436, 10 S.Ct. 930	23
Kovaes v. Cooper, 69 S.Ct. 448	23
Lambert v. People of the State of California, 355 U.S. 226, 78 S.Ct. 240	24
Lewis v. Brantigam (5 CA, 1955) 227 F. 2d 4	30, 31
Michael Cohen v. Russel K. Norris, et al., No. 17,141	34
Millet v. Godechaux Sugars, Inc. (5 CA, 1957) 241 F. 2d 264	31
Monroe v. Pape (1961) 81 S.Ct. 473, 365 U.S. 167, 5 L.Ed. 2d 492	31, 32
Progress Development Corp. v. Mitchell (D.C. Ill., 1960) 182 F. Supp. 681, reversed in part on other grounds in 286 F. 2d 222	32
Szalkiewicz v. Farrell Lines, 142 F. Supp. 496	34
United States v. Bonanno, 180 F. Supp. 71	19, 20, 21

Constitutions

United States Constitution:	
Fourth Amendment	22
Fourteenth Amendment	25

Rules

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:	
Rule 15, Subdivision (a)	33

Texts	Pages
American Jurisprudence;	
Volume 20, page 174	17
Volume 42, page 888	18
Volume 47, page 823	18
1952 Edition of The Constitution of the United States of America prepared by the Legislative Reference Service, Library of Congress, and printed by the United States Government Printing Office, on page 982	25
The Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science, published by the Northwestern University School of Law, Volume 52, No. 1, May-June 1961	22
U.S.C.A., Title 42:	
Section 1983	35
Section 1985	35

No. 17,630

IN THE

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

VS.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE
I, RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE
III, RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD
ROE V,

Appellees.

APPELLEES' REPLY BRIEF

MERLE L. HANSEN,
City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,

LEVEN B. FERRIN,
Assistant City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
10 North Third Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

GIBBONS, KINNEY AND TIPTON,
First National Bank Building,
411 North Central Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

Attorneys for Appellees.

HAROLD C. FAULKNER,
FAULKNER, SHEEHAN & WISEMAN,
1101 Balfour Building,
San Francisco 4, California,
Of Counsel.

FILED

JUN 5 1962

FRANK H. SCHMID, CLERK

92-4494-102

Act² which alleges that the Chief of Police and police officers of the City of Phoenix, State of Arizona, acting under color of authority as such police officers, during a period of a week (a) questioned plaintiff³ on several occasions; (b) followed plaintiff while driving in an automobile, and (c) in one instance unnamed police officers flashed a red light on an apartment house in which plaintiff resided. The complaint is silent on the subject of questioning except in one instance, the substance of which is that two defendant police officers told plaintiff his presence in Phoenix was undesirable, the Phoenix Police Department would prefer that he leave the City, and told the plaintiff to advise his associates and friends that they were not welcome in Phoenix and their presence was undesirable.

(2) Whether the District Court had jurisdiction of cause of action based on the facts alleged.

(3) Whether the District Court erred in dismissing the action without affording plaintiff the right to file a further amended complaint.

B. Whether the inherent police power of the state has been so unreasonably or arbitrarily exercised as to plaintiff as to violate his rights under the Civil Rights Act.

²As used herein, the terms "Civil Rights Act" or "Civil Rights Law" are used as all-embracing terms. No refinements or distinctions between various provisions thereof are attempted herein.

³Appellant will throughout this brief be referred to as "plaintiff"; appellees will be referred to as "defendants".

II.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

In this brief we will discuss the requirements of pleading in a civil rights case, analyze the factual phase of plaintiff's complaint and apply to the facts alleged the law as we understand it to be. We will further discuss the further point urged by plaintiff that the trial court erred in not allowing him to amend his complaint further.

III.

ARGUMENT

THE RECORD

The complaint was filed on May 3, 1961. A motion for preliminary injunction was filed on the same day. On May 19, 1961, defendants gave notice of taking deposition of plaintiff. On May 22, 1961, a motion to dismiss the application for injunction was filed, and a motion to dismiss the complaint was filed. On May 19, 1961, affidavits of John J. Karie, Gordon Selby and Leon Orr were filed. On May 22, 1961, the affidavit of Charles Thomas was filed. No reference will be made to any phase of the affidavits which might be deemed to be in dispute. No denial of the affidavit of Selby and Orr is in the record. In this latter affidavit, the affiants Selby and Orr describe their conversation with plaintiff, and skipping over the conversation generally and the opinions and obscenities of the plaintiff in relation to the Tucson Police Department, it appears from the affidavit as follows:

"* * * We talked to Mr. Bonanno in generalities and asked him if he knew Morris Brady and Rudy Prefetto. He stated he knew them, in fact that they were friends of his. These two men are now wanted on a murder warrant in Tucson, Arizona, and the Federal Government has also issued a warrant for their arrest for unlawful flight to avoid prosecution. Mr. Bonanno asked us if we were going to tell him to leave town. At this point Mr. Bonanno was interrupted by affiant Gordon Selby stating 'Now that you have brought up the subject, we would appreciate it if you would leave town.' It was explained to him that we had nothing personal against him but that we were interested in his associates such as Charlie Battaglia, John Battaglia, Rudy Prefetto, Morris Brady, and his father, Joe Bonanno, and others that have been known to associate with him, and that we felt that his presence in Phoenix would tend to bring these persons into Phoenix and we would appreciate it if he would tell them their presence was not desired. Mr. Bonanno told us that he had many friends and asked who was to be the judge of whether they were good or bad and that he couldn't help what his father or his friends did, or be disrespectful to his father because of his background and associates and for their alleged underworld activities."

On May 24, plaintiff moved to extend the time of taking his deposition. On May 24, the judge of the United States District Court extended the time of taking plaintiff's deposition from May 25 to May 31, 1961. A subpoena duces tecum was served on the de-

fendants on May 23, 1961. On June 6, 1961, a motion to strike and for entry of judgment by default against plaintiff was filed by defendants. An affidavit was filed in connection therewith. The proceedings at the time of the deposition on May 31 are set forth in the record. Suffice it to say the plaintiff refused to give his deposition. On July 13, 1961, plaintiff filed his amended complaint. On July 21, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint. Interrogatories were served on plaintiff on July 25, 1961. The record fails to show any answers to the interrogatories or order extending time to answer. On September 20, 1961, the District Court "Ordered that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint is granted and that this action is dismissed." On October 5, 1961, notice of appeal was filed.

THE AMENDED COMPLAINT

Paragraph III of the amended complaint alleges:

"That this Court has jurisdiction under the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343.

That this action arises under the United States Constitution and particularly Article I § 8, Article IV § 4, Amendments XIII and XIV, and the laws of the United States Title 18 U.S.C. § 241 and § 242; Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343; Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981, to and including § 1988; Title 52 U.S.C. § 203."

In Paragraphs IV and V plaintiff describes the defendants, namely, the Chief of Police and certain

named and unknown police officers of the City of Phoenix.

In Paragraph VI plaintiff charges that defendants conspired to deprive plaintiff of the equal protection of the laws of Arizona, to wit, the laws in relation to due and established tribunals, their organization, procedure and course of justice⁴; further, that defendants conspired to deprive plaintiff of those rights provided under the Constitution and the laws of the United States and particularly those set forth in Paragraph III quoted above, and conspired to impede, hinder, obstruct and defeat the due course and due process of law and justice in the State of Arizona⁴ (see Note 4, supra), and further conspired to deprive plaintiff of the rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States extended to citizens of the United States and particularly those set forth in Paragraph III. The plaintiff then charges that the acts of defendants were committed by each of them while acting under color and pretense of the statutes, ordinances, customs and laws of the State of Arizona (again see Note 4), and were not committed in their individual capacity.

However, in Paragraph VII, plaintiff seems to change his claim and therein alleges that the defendants:

"* * * acting under color and pretense of the statutes, ordinances, customs and laws of the State of Arizona and of the City of Phoenix and

⁴ It is interesting and important to note no laws of Arizona are specified and no laws of Arizona are referred to in plaintiff's brief.

in wilful, malicious, intentional and discriminating misuse of their authority, that of their subordinates and of other agencies of the City of Phoenix and State of Arizona wilfully acting in concert with each other and with the other defendants, by themselves, their agents, servants and subordinates, each and all procuring, aiding and encouraging the other defendants from the 25th day of April, 1961, up to and including the present date, did purposely and systematically and intentionally discriminate against the plaintiff and subjected him to inequality of treatment in the following particulars, among others, which were not privileged or compelled by law: * * *"
(There follows 21 overt acts, each hereinafter referred to.)

ARGUMENT ON THE FACTS AND LAW

In actions for redress under the Civil Rights Law, it seems well embedded in the law in this Circuit that opinions and conclusions of the pleader are not sufficient, that to state a claim for relief the action must be bottomed upon facts pleaded. A conspiracy, if one is pleaded, must show facts of the conspiracy. The overt acts must show violations of the Civil Rights Law. The pleaded facts in the present complaint show neither a conspiracy nor a violation.

The case of *Agnew v. City of Compton* (9 CA 1956) 239 F.2d 226, a civil rights case, in respect to the type of language appearing in Paragraphs VI and VII of the present amended complaint, held as follows:

"General allegations of this kind, when unsupported by the complaint, read as a whole, have

consistently been rejected as insufficient. The complaint under examination, considered as a whole, does not support these general allegations. * * *

In the case of *Hoffman v. Halden*, this Circuit, 268 F. 2d 280 at 294, your Court held:

"(13) It is true that general conclusionary allegations unsupported by facts have consistently been rejected as insufficient to constitute a cause of action under the Civil Rights Act, *Agnew v. City of Compton*, supra, 239 F. 2d at page 231.

"Various Circuits have rejected in civil rights cases, 'the mere allegations of conspiracy, fraud or malice;' and disregarded '* * * as mere conclusions, the loose and general, the factually unsupported, characterizations of the complained of acts of the defendants, as malicious, conspiratorial, and done for the purpose of depriving plaintiffs of their constitutional rights * * *'. *Agnew v. City of Compton*, supra, 239 F. 2d at page 231 refers in footnote 11 to 'opprobrious conclusions * * * "spiteful, malicious, wrongful, oppressive, * * *"' as adding nothing and correctly cites *Snowden v. Hughes*, supra, 321 U.S. at page 10, 64 S. Ct. at page 402. It will be noted however, that in *Agnew* and *Snowden*, supra, the court does not include the words 'conspiracy' or 'conspiratorial.'"

"(17, 18) In a civil conspiracy, the conspiracy itself is not a cause of action, without overt acts, because again it is the overt act which moves the conspiracy from the area of thought and conversation into action and causes the civil injury and resulting damage. Accordingly, the cases hold that the damage in a civil conspiracy flows from the overt acts and not from the conspiracy."

"(19) Therefore, the certainty we look for in a proper complaint under the Civil Rights Statutes, is not in the general allegations of conspiracy, purpose, intent and color of authority but the certainty and substance in the particular acts which are alleged to have caused damage. The real question is whether or not the second amended complaint, in addition to the general allegations referred to above, sets forth with certainty facts showing particularly what a defendant or defendants did to carry the conspiracy into effect, whether such acts fit within the framework of the conspiracy alleged, and whether such acts, in the ordinary course of events would proximately cause injury to the plaintiff."

In the case at bar, as defendants, we have the Chief of Police and officers of the Police Department of the City of Phoenix, some named and some unnamed. The plaintiff describes himself as a citizen of the United States and of the State of Arizona and as a person domiciled and residing in the State of Arizona. He also describes himself, in Paragraph VII, 21, (R. 55), as an employee of the Romulus Restaurant.

Here are the facts pleaded in sequence in Paragraph VII, and comments thereon.

Discussing in sequence each of these overt acts, which are the only facts pleaded, we find this situation:

1. *Pleading:* It is claimed that on the 25th of April, 1961, defendant Hodges, a police officer, issued an order to defendant Rust, a police officer, that the plaintiff be questioned at any opportunity.

Comment: This is but an order from one police officer to another. It could not possibly have any impact upon the plaintiff until it was acted upon, and when acted upon as depicted in plaintiff's amended complaint, leaves no imprint of illegality.

2. *Pleading:* It relates to an order by Hodges to Rust on or about April 25, 1961, that any person driving a 1960 Thunderbird, a 1961 Falcon or a 1960 Cadillac be interrogated and that a Form 36 be made whether the plaintiff or any other person is driving said automobiles.

Comment: What relation do these automobiles have to plaintiff? It is neither alleged nor claimed that he was the owner or had the right to possession of any of them, nor in this paragraph is the claim made that any of these automobiles were stopped.

(Note: The plaintiff refers to a Form 36 as though it were a well-known form. So that there will be no misunderstanding, a Form 36 is not a form to be filled out by the driver of an automobile but is rather a form to be filled out by the police officer for police department information only. Attached as "Exhibit A" is a Form 36.)

3. *Pleading:* On or about the 25th of April, 1961, defendant Bingaman issued an order to locate and follow a 1960 White Thunderbird and the 1960 Cadillac.

Comment: No fact is alleged concerning the relationship of plaintiff to either of these automobiles, nor that the automobiles referred to were actually followed.

4. *Pleading:* On April 24, 1961, defendants Orr and Selby interrogated the plaintiff and told him his presence in Phoenix was undesirable and they would prefer that he left the City, and they advised him to tell his associates and friends that they weren't welcome in Phoenix and their presence was undesirable.

Comment: Surely, a sound police procedure. More will be said on this and comparable overt acts later.

5. *Pleading:* On April 25, 1961, defendants Selby and Orr issued an order that a Form 36 should be made on all occupants of a 1960 white Thunderbird, a 1961 Falcon and the 1960 Cadillac.

Comment: This appears to be an order on the same subject as that mentioned in overt act 2. In overt act 5, nowhere is plaintiff's connection with the automobiles involved alleged.

6. *Pleading:* On April 25, 1961, defendants Selby and Orr issued an order that all police notify special investigators or the detective bureau when any out-of-state cars were in the vicinity of the Romulus Restaurant.

Comment: How does this affect plaintiff? He merely alleges that he was an employee in the restaurant.

7. *Pleading:* It is alleged that on April 25, 1961, defendant Thomas issued an order to all policemen to tell the plaintiff that he was not welcome in the City of Phoenix and to get the plaintiff to leave town.

Comment: Insofar as the order relating to telling plaintiff that he wasn't welcome in the City of Phoenix, it was apparently never acted upon, nor did anyone "get the plaintiff to leave town."

(Note: On April 24, other police officers did tell the plaintiff that his presence was undesirable. They were, according to the complaint, not acting under an order issued by the Chief of Police the following day.) It is further to be noted that the amended complaint was filed on July 31, 1961. The last so-called overt act occurred on May 2, a period of two months and ten days.

8. *Pleading:* It is alleged that on April 26, 1961, Chief of Police Thomas stated "we of the Police Department are going to do everything in our power to make the plaintiff move elsewhere."

Comment: To whom did he make the statement? How was it brought home to the plaintiff? Did the Chief make it to his wife, a friend, another police officer, or an official? Certainly not to the plaintiff, or it would have been alleged. There is no claim that plaintiff either left or was forced to leave Phoenix.

9. *Pleading:* On or about April 26, 1961, Chief of Police Thomas issued an order to the Detective Bureau to keep the plaintiff under constant surveillance.

Comment: Certainly a proper order for a chief of police to give in the exercise of his power as chief of police. There is no claim, however, nor any facts alleged that the plaintiff was kept under constant surveillance.

10. *Pleading:* On or about the 25th of April, 1961, the plaintiff was followed by unnamed police officers for a period of thirty minutes and that at approximately 3:30 P.M. on April 25, 1961, the plaintiff was again followed by two policemen driving an automobile belonging to the City of Phoenix Police Department.

Comment: Simply stated, this is an allegation that police officers followed a person on two occasions.

11. *Pleading:* At approximately 4:00 P.M. on April 24, 1961, plaintiff was stopped by defendant Bentz in an automobile driven by Tony Vaccaro and questioned and told plaintiff that on orders he must fill out an identification card.

Comment: A police officer stopped an automobile and questioned the occupant and said he had to fill out an identification card, clearly normal police procedure.

12. *Pleading:* On or about April 25, 1961, during the evening of said day, there were three City patrol cars parked in front of the Romulus Restaurant most of the evening in Phoenix, Arizona.

Comment: How did this affect plaintiff or infringe on his rights, whatever they may be?

13. *Pleading:* On or about the entire day of April 26, 1961, the City of Phoenix Police Department automobiles were double parked in front of the Romulus Restaurant.

Comment: Again, how did this affect the plaintiff or infringe upon his rights, whatever they may be?

14. *Pleading:* On or about April 26, 1961, during the evening of said day, unnamed police officers marked down all license plate numbers of all automobiles parked at the Romulus Restaurant in Phoenix, Arizona.

Comment: How did this affect plaintiff?

15. *Pleading:* On numerous occasions during the evening of April 26, 1961, City policemen acting under the instructions of the Chief of Police did park in front of the Romulus Restaurant and shined lights in the parking area.

Comment: How did this affect the plaintiff?

16. *Pleading:* At 1:00 o'clock in the morning on April 27, 1961, when the plaintiff left the Romulus Restaurant, he was followed by a patrol car manned by City of Phoenix police officers.

Comment: Following a person in the early hours of the morning is a normal police routine.

17. *Pleading:* At approximately 3:00 A.M. on April 27, 1961, in the City of Phoenix, plaintiff was stopped by two City policemen. Plaintiff was questioned and told they had orders to do so.

Comment: Questioning a person in a metropolitan area at 3 o'clock in the morning is common police practice.

18. *Pleading:* On April 26, 1961, plaintiff was stopped by unnamed police officers claiming to be acting under the instructions of their superior officer and defendant Thomas and ques-

tioned again at 10:00 A.M., 12:30 P.M., 3:00 P.M. and 6:00 P.M.

Comment: Merely stopping a man and speaking to him on four separate occasions.

19. *Pleading:* On May 2, 1961, plaintiff was again stopped and questioned by defendants unknown and Harold Jarrett on or about a certain place in the City of Phoenix.

Comment: Merely stopping a man and speaking to him.

20. *Pleading:* In the early morning hours of April 27, 1961, two unknown police officers parked in front of the apartment where plaintiff is living and flashed their red light on the patrol car on the apartment for about an hour.

Comment: It is to be observed that no order or direction is alleged from any named defendant relating to this incident. The persons are unknown, and many reasons could be accorded for the act, principally to find out if the plaintiff was home, or in relation to some matter unconnected with this case.

21. *Pleading:* On or about April 25, 26 and 27, 1961, certain employees and personnel of the Romulus Restaurant "where the plaintiff is employed were stopped and questioned by police officers," unnamed, "about their personal lives and about the plaintiff approximately twelve times."

Comment: An ordinary and essential part of a police investigation.

This concludes the facts alleged in the complaint.

It will be observed from the twenty-one facts alleged that plaintiff was a known person to the Police Department of Phoenix, that he had just arrived in Phoenix, that he was employed at the Romulus Restaurant in the City of Phoenix. It will be further observed throughout the so-called overt acts alleged in the amended complaint that the subject of questioning is never mentioned except overt act 4 heretofore referred to. Whatever the subjects talked about, it is clear that if threats were made, they would have been alleged; that if violence or even harshness was used, it would have been alleged; that if arrests were made, they would have been alleged; that if searches and seizures were conducted, they would have been alleged.

The next question is, what is the claimed impact of the acts alleged upon plaintiff? Reading the brief of appellant from cover to cover, the only allegation on this subject is as follows:

"* * * Taken as a whole they show over a relatively short period of a week or so, an intensified campaign of harassment, interference, intrusion and badgering directed against the plaintiff. These activities interfered with plaintiff's personal liberties and employment. The pattern and purpose of the same is evident."

This is really the entire argument of plaintiff. We are not in accord with counsel's opinion of what the facts show. Further, his case, even as stated by him, is not supported by a single case cited by him, nor is any argument made that his case fits the facts in any

decision cited by him. Contrary to the position of plaintiff, as stated by his counsel in the opening brief, the action of the Police Department of the City of Phoenix is completely defensible on at least three bases:

(a) As routine police conduct in conformity with their duty as police officers, which has been variously expressed and will hereafter appear.

(b) Ordinary routine police duty in relation to the subject of the whereabouts of two men wanted for murder in Tucson who were friends of the plaintiff and in relation to his association with Charlie Battaglia, John Battaglia, Rudy Prefetto, Morris Brady and his father, Joe Bonanno. Who the Battaglias are or who Joe Bonanno is is not clearly indicated in the record other than it is apparent they were known to the police of Phoenix. The Prefetto and Brady referred to are the men wanted for murder in Tucson and wanted by the federal government for unlawful flight to avoid prosecution.

(c) The presumption that comes to the aid of defendants is well stated in Volume 20, American Jurisprudence, page 174, as follows:

"170. Generally.—In the absence of any proof to the contrary, there is a very strong presumption embodied in the maxim, 'omnia praesumuntur rite esse acta', that public officers have properly discharged the duties of their office and performed faithfully those matters with which they are charged. Stated in another way, the courts will presume, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, that public officers have not culpably

neglected or violated their official duties and have not acted illegally in the doing of any official act."

We do not intend to even intimate that either, (a), (b) or (c) above immunizes police from an action under Civil Rights Law. We do, however, urge that under certain facts and circumstances the letter of the Civil Rights Law must give way to the police power of the state. In stating the matter thus simply, we do not intend to concede in the slightest that any civil rights of the plaintiff have been invaded by the defendants.

"Peace officers are generally classed as public officers. * * * In a great many cases, it is held that he" (police officer) "is a public officer, on the ground that his principal duty is the preservation of public peace, which is a matter of public concern."

47 Am. Jur. 823.

"* * * a public officer is one whose functions and duties concern the public and who exercises some portion of the sovereign power of the state."

42 Am. Jur. 888.

Whether we consider the case under the presumption quoted above or in the light of the record, which indicates the concern of the Police Department of the City of Phoenix as to the whereabouts of two friends of plaintiff who were charged with murder in the City of Tucson, or merely as a matter of routine police procedure, we believe the answer is always the same. The alleged acts constituted no infringement upon the rights of plaintiff under The Civil Rights Law. We

know of no case that holds that harassment, interference, intrusion and badgering constitute a basis of a civil rights action under the Constitution and laws of the United States.

In connection with this subject, Judge Kaufman in the case of *United States v. Bonanno*, 180 F. Supp. 71, makes several observations in the course of his opinion. Some that are particularly apt are as follows:

"(8, 9) That every temporary restriction of absolute freedom of movement is not an illegal police action demanding suppression of all resultant evidence is accepted in federal courts, though it is a proposition incompletely articulated. I believe that the relative dearth of authority in point can be explained by the fact that few litigants have ever seriously contended that it was illegal for an officer to stop and question a person unless he had 'probable cause' for a formal arrest."

Further,

"(10) While the Fourth Amendment may be construed as encompassing 'seizure' of an individual, it cannot be contended that every detention of an individual is such a 'seizure'. If that were the case, police investigation would be dealt a crippling blow, by imposing a radical sanction unnecessary for the protection of a free citizenry. * * * (Citing illustrations)"

Further,

"It must be emphasized that all the hypothetical cases presented are, as is the instant case, sharply

distinguishable from a police 'roundup'. When police reasonably believe that a crime might have been committed, those closely connected in time and place to the criminal activity are undoubtedly proper subjects for limited police questioning, for they are most likely to have information of value to the investigation. * * *

Further,

"Admittedly, the above examples deal with individuals on foot. But, I can see no difference in principle between the detention of an individual on the street, and the stoppage of a car, so long as there is no violence or highhandedness involved in the latter procedure. * * *

In the course of his opinion, the Court quoted with approval the language of Justice Burton in his concurring opinion in the case of *Brinegar v. United States*, 338 U.S. 160, 69 S. Ct. 1302. Therein Justice Burton states as follows:

"It is only by alertness to proper occasions for prompt inquiries and investigations that effective prevention of crime and enforcement of law is possible. Government agents are commissioned to represent the interests of the public in the enforcement of the law and this requires affirmative action not only when there is reasonable ground for an arrest or probable cause for a search but when there is reasonable ground for an investigation." 338 U.S. at page 179, 69 S.Ct. at page 1312 (concurring opinion). (Emphasis supplied.)"

Further, Judge Kaufman, in his opinion in the *Bonanno* case, supra, said:

"But, the fact that a certain rule tends toward a desired end does not mean that an expansion of that rule will tend toward the same end. The opposite might well be the result. If the right of police to investigate a crime before formally arresting and charging a person is restricted too severely, not only will guilty persons go free, but innocent persons will suffer unnecessarily. Police officers tend, by and large, to uphold and be ruled by the law. * * * (P. 82)

Further, the Court said:

"* * * If it were held that the mere identification of a person were a violation of the Fourth Amendment, if made after that person had been stopped by a law enforcement officer, it would become impermissible for such officers ever to stop a suspect unless the officer had 'probable cause' for a warrant before the stoppage." (P. 83)

In the case of *Busby v. United States*, 296 F. 2d 328 at 332, this Court quotes with approval language of Judge Kaufman in *United States v. Bonanno*, supra, as follows:

"While the Fourth Amendment may be construed as encompassing 'seizure' of an individual, it cannot be contended that every detention of an individual is such a 'seizure'. If that were the case, police investigation would be dealt a crippling blow, by imposing a radical sanction unnecessary for the protection of a free citizenry."

This case involved a claim of illegal search and seizure. In the course of its opinion, this Court further said:

"In this case there was a routine investigation by the officers who were responding to reported occurrences. To ignore such reports would seem to be a dereliction of duty. We see no unnecessary or improper interference with the rights of individuals in the stopping of the automobile containing appellants or in the requiring of the appellants to step out of the car while inquiries were being made."

An interesting observation made by Gerhard O. W. Mueller, a Professor of Law and Director of the Comparative Criminal Law Project at New York University, in "The Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science", published by the Northwestern University School of Law, Vol. 52, No. 1, May-June 1961, discusses the subject of "The Law Relating to Police Interrogation Privileges and Limitations". In the course of his remarks, the author discusses many phases of police interrogation, police interviewing and kindred subjects. In the course thereof, he discusses the fact that the law grants every citizen, even the worst convict, the right to speak or to keep silent when he pleases, and then goes on to say:

"* * * *By the same token, the police have a virtually unlimited right to ask questions. They are not entitled to any answers, * * **"

It will be observed that the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution provides as follows:

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon prob-

able cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

The Court will observe that the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects is a right against unreasonable searches and seizures. In this case plaintiff was never searched and the plaintiff was never seized.

CIVIL RIGHTS AND THE POLICE POWER OF THE STATE

The Supreme Court in the case of *Kovacs v. Cooper*, 69 S.Ct. 448 at 451, held:

"* * * The police power of a state extends beyond health, morals and safety, and comprehends the duty, within constitutional limitations, to protect the well-being and tranquility of a community. A state or city may prohibit acts or things reasonably thought to bring evil or harm to its people."

In the same case the court further said:

"Of course, even the fundamental rights of the Bill of Rights are not absolute. * * *"

These citations are in a case which upheld an ordinance of the City of Trenton, forbidding the use of sound trucks and loud speakers or sound amplifiers, etc. which emit therefrom loud and raucous noises and are attached to and upon any vehicle operated or standing upon said streets or public places aforementioned.

The Supreme Court in the case of *In Re Kemmler*, 136 U.S. 436 at 448, 10 S.Ct. 930, states as follows:

"* * * Undoubtedly the amendment forbids any arbitrary deprivation of life, liberty, or property, and secures equal protection to all under like circumstances in the enjoyment of their rights; and, in the administration of criminal justice, requires that no different or higher punishment shall be imposed upon one than is imposed upon all for like offences. But it was not designed to interfere with the power of the State to protect the lives, liberties and property of its citizens, and to promote their health, peace, morals, education and good order. *Barbier v. Connolly*, 113 U.S. 27, 31." (Emphasis supplied)

In the recent case of *Lambert v. People of the State of California*, 355 U.S. 226, 78 S.Ct. 240, a conviction under a Los Angeles ordinance requiring the registration of persons convicted of a felony within the state and without the state was reversed on the primary ground of lack of notice to the defendant. The conviction was struck down because of the failure to give notice. In the course of the opinion of Justice Douglas, he declares:

"* * * deep in our law * * * is the principle that of all the powers of local government, the police power is 'one of the least limitable.' * * *" (U.S. 228, S.Ct. 243)

Justice Douglas cites in support of this text the case of *District of Columbia v. Brooke*, 214 U.S. 138, at 149, where the court said:

"* * * In the case at bar we are dealing with an exercise of the police power, one of the most essential of powers, at times the most insistent, and

always one of the least limitable of the powers of government."

Of interest is the text contained in the 1952 Edition of The Constitution of the United States of America prepared by the Legislative Reference Service, Library of Congress, and printed by the United States Government Printing Office, on page 982. The text is as follows:

"Limitations on the Police Power.—Because the police power of a State is the least limitable of the exercises of government, such limitations as are applicable thereto are not readily definable. Being neither susceptible of circumstantial precision, nor discoverable by any formula, these limitations can be determined only through appropriate regard to the subject matter of the exercise of that power. 'It is settled (however) that neither the "contract" clause nor the "due process" clause had the effect of overriding the power of the State to establish all regulations that are reasonably necessary to secure the health, safety, good order, comfort, or general welfare of the community; that this power can neither be abdicated nor bargained away, and is inalienable even by express grant; and that all contract and property (or other vested) rights are held subject to its fair exercise.'"

Certainly the Phoenix police were fair in their exercise of power.

Numerous cases dealing with the subject of police power of the state as contrasted with constitutional rights secured by the Constitution and the Fourteenth

Amendment have withstood the attack on state action in its various forms based upon a claim that the act or actions are unconstitutional and violate rights of persons under the Constitution and its amendments.

Long ago the Supreme Court of the United States in the Civil Rights Cases, 109 U.S. 3 at 13, said:

“* * * the rights of life, liberty and property (which include all civil rights that men have) * * *”

We are not quoting the case for its holding because it has been modified in many instances, but its enunciation of a truth seems apparent. The due process of law and the equal protection of the law and other civil rights and constitutional provisions still relate to one of these three basic rights, life, liberty and property. In the present case, neither the life, liberty or property of the plaintiff was invaded. He was neither physically mishandled, much less beaten and abused; no property right was taken from him, and his life was unimpaired and never threatened. Can it be said that in organized society a person who has been questioned by police and who has been followed in an automobile by police has had a constitutional or statutory right invaded? A reading of the complaint shows that there was applied to this plaintiff an ordinary, everyday police practice. For reasons of its own, the Police Department of the City of Phoenix followed a police pattern which the Court knows as a matter of common knowledge is a sound police practice. Were it otherwise and were a court to hold that it was an

invasion of the civil rights of the plaintiff to do the things related in this complaint, the police departments of our nation in their investigations would be brought to a standstill.

PLAINTIFF'S CASES

Plaintiff has stated the statutes upon which he relies, the authorities upon which he relies and his conclusion that he has stated a cause of action. We state with certainty that there isn't a single case cited by plaintiff where the facts involved resemble even remotely the case at bar. Discussing the cases cited in plaintiff's brief in the order in which they appear in the index to Table of Authorities Cited by plaintiff, we find these cases cited:

1. *Agnew v. City of Compton*, (9 CA, 1956) 239 F. 2d 226

Constitutional rights involved: An action against the city, its electrical inspector, and two of its police officers for alleged deprivation of plaintiff's rights in being arrested on charges of engaging in the business of auctioneering without city permit, etc. The District Court dismissed the complaint. Your Circuit affirmed. In the course of this opinion the Court, in respect to the type of language appearing in Paragraphs VI and VII of the present amended complaint, held as follows:

“General allegations of this kind, when unsupported by the complaint, read as a whole, have

consistently been rejected as insufficient. The complaint under examination, considered as a whole, does not support these general allegations. * * *

Here, as in the *Agnew* case, supra, the means employed by the police were reasonable. No violence or indignity resulted.

2. *Baldwin v. Morgan*, (5 CA, 1958) 251 F. 2d 780

Constitutional rights involved: This case involved a class action by Negroes for injunction and declaratory relief against compulsory segregation in railroad waiting rooms. The complaint was dismissed by the District Court and reversed by the Circuit Court. All of the facts in this opinion are completely dissimilar to those in the present case and relate to an entirely different phase of the rights of persons under the Civil Rights Law.

3. *Cohen v. Cahill*, (9 CA, 1960) 281 F. 2d 879

Constitutional rights involved: This is an opinion of your Circuit. The facts are well known to this Court. It was an action for damages based on claim that defendants, acting under color of state custom or usage, deprived plaintiff of rights guaranteed by Constitution. The facts were that room of plaintiff was entered while he was in bed, his luggage and personal papers were searched. He was detained at police station for 4 or 5 hours and suffered questioning by one of the defendants against his will. He was ordered to get out of San Francisco. Photostatic copies of his

notebook were made and retained, and he was not charged with any public offense. A clear invasion of known constitutional rights.

4. *Hatfield v. Baileaux*, (9 CA, 1961) 290 F. 2d 632

Constitutional rights involved: This is a decision of this Court. It was a suit by inmates of a state penitentiary to enjoin prison officials from enforcing certain regulations and continuing certain customs and usages at the penitentiary. The District Court granted certain injunctive relief. Appeal was taken, and the judgment of the District Court was reversed. The theory of the appellee's case was that the prison regulations and practices in question, whether or not designed to this end, have the effect of depriving appellee of the right of reasonable access to the courts. (Reasonable access to the courts is considered by the court to be one of the rights guaranteed as against state action by the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.) There is no similarity between the facts of that case and the case at bar. Further, the judgment in favor of the plaintiff claiming his civil rights were violated was reversed by your Court.

5. *Hoffman v. Halden*, (9 CA, 1959) 268 F. 2d 280

Constitutional rights involved: This is an opinion of this Court. It was an action for violation of civil rights arising out of alleged conspiracy resulting in wrongful incarceration of plaintiff in state hospital for mentally ill. The facts are complicated. The opin-

ion is long. It is relied on by plaintiff. The complaint in this case is set forth in the margin of the opinion of this Court. The opinions and conclusions in the present complaint and the complaint in *Hoffman v. Halden* are quite similar. Where the present plaintiff's case falls down is in this: That the facts alleged are completely dissimilar. Without reviewing all of them, the claim is made that the plaintiff was forcibly taken into custody without being informed of the charges against him and without exhibiting a citation; depriving plaintiff of the right to select a physician as provided for under the laws of Oregon; refusal to permit plaintiff to call or communicate with his counsel; wilful refusal to permit plaintiff to summon witnesses in his own behalf; confining plaintiff in an enclosure where criminals were incarcerated; threatening, coercing and intimidating plaintiff in respect to making objections to his illegal detention; threatening and intimidating and coercing plaintiff from exercising and availing himself of due process and of the due course of law; wilful violation of a court order, and it goes on and on and includes taking the money and property of plaintiff from his person; forcefully removing plaintiff from the courtroom during a hearing. This case is heretofore cited by defendants on the subject of requirement of pleading in a civil rights case.

6. *Lewis v. Brantigan*, (5 CA, 1955) 227 F. 2d 4

Constitutional rights involved: The constitutional point involved in this case related to the act of law

officers who exact confessions by violence. The court held they could be sued under the Civil Rights law.

7. *Millet v. Godchaux Sugars, Inc.* (5 CA, 1957) 241 F. 2d 264

Constitutional rights involved: This is an authority sustaining the language in the *Lewis* case that a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim should not be granted unless it appears to a certainty that the plaintiff would be entitled to no relief under any state of facts which could be proved in support of his claim.

8. *Monroe v. Pape*, (1961) 81 S.Ct. 473, 365 U.S. 167, 5 L.Ed. 2d 492

Constitutional rights involved: This case sets at rest many of the problems that have faced the court in civil rights cases. The facts in that case relate to the action of 13 Chicago police officers who broke into petitioners' home in the early morning, routed them from bed, made them stand naked in the living room, and ransacked every room. Further, that Mr. Monroe was taken to the police station and detained on open charges for 10 hours, while he was interrogated about a two-day-old murder. He was not taken before a magistrate, though one was accessible; he was not permitted to call his family or attorney; he was subsequently released without criminal charges being placed against him. The court held that Mr. Monroe was entitled to bring an action under the Civil Rights Law. In the *Monroe* case there was a breaking, search and seizure, and a detention of a duration that amounted

to an arrest. Comparison of facts in the *Monroe v. Pape* case with those of the case at bar is so evident it needs no further comment.

9. *Progress Development Corp. v. Mitchell* (D.C. Ill. 1960) 182 F. Supp. 681, reversed in part on other grounds in 286 F. 2d 222

Constitutional rights involved: This case is cited to the proposition, according to plaintiff's brief, page 10,

"The test would appear to be whether the acts charged against the individual defendants or any of them, would give rise to a cause of action, either legal or equitable, for the violation of a right of the plaintiff. * * *"

Plaintiff misconstrues the decision. What the court said was:

"No right that is unenforceable in a court of law or equity, in any court in the United States, can be classified as a civil right." P. 713

The conclusion of the plaintiff and the words of the court are quite dissimilar. The court did not say nor mean to say that any right recognizable in a court of law and equity gives rise to an action under the Civil Rights Law.

AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT

Plaintiff urges that he should have been allowed to amend his complaint. The complaint filed by plaintiff (R. 3) had addressed to it a motion to dismiss (R.

14). This motion was filed apparently on the 19th of May, 1961. On July 13, 1961, plaintiff filed an amended complaint. To this latter complaint a motion to dismiss was filed July 24, 1961, and was granted on September 20, 1961. The court further dismissed the action. No motion to amend the amended complaint was filed. The notice of appeal was filed on October 5. Rule 15, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, provides in subdivision (a) as follows:

"(a) Amendments. A party may amend his pleading once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served or, if the pleading is one to which no responsive pleading is permitted and the action has not been placed upon the trial calendar, he may so amend it at any time within 20 days after it is served. Otherwise a party may amend his pleading only by leave of court or by written consent of the adverse party; and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires. * * *"

It will be observed that plaintiff had amended his complaint once and had ample time to amend his pleading again between July 24 and September 20, 1961.

Plaintiff argues that it was error for the trial court to grant the motion to dismiss without giving leave to the plaintiff to amend.

The rule determinative of the claim of plaintiff seems to be well stated in the case of *Feddersen Motors v. Ward*, 180 F. 2d 519:

"8. Federal civil procedure

The right to amend complaint once as a matter of course ended with entry of judgment dismissing the action, and thereafter pleading could be amended only with leave of court, or application might have been addressed to court's discretion to have judgment set aside in order to permit filing of amended complaint. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rules 15(a), 60(b), 28 U.S.C.A."

"9. Courts

Where record did not indicate that any application to have judgment set aside in order to permit filing of amended complaint was made to trial court, no question as to filing of amended complaint was open to consideration on appeal. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rule 60(b), 28 U.S.C.A."

See also:

Szalkiewicz v. Farrell Lines, 142 F. Supp. 496, 498.

IN RE COHEN v. NORRIS

The decision of this Court, sitting in bank, in the case of *Michael Cohen v. Russel K. Norris, et al.*, No. 17,141, not yet reported, appears decisive of the case at bar. Although the Court upheld the first three overt acts in the complaint filed in that case sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss, it held that

"The allegations concerning the fourth overt act do not state a claim on any theory."

In this decision, in upholding the complaint, the Court points out certain distinctions between Sections 1983 and 1985 of Title 42, U.S.C.A. Therein your Court points out that the Fourth Amendment guarantees the right of the individual to be secure against unreasonable arrests, as well as against unreasonable searches and seizures. Your Court then points to the fact that there were alleged unreasonable searches and seizures. In our present case there are no arrests; there is no brutality, and there is no search and seizure.

The allegations of the fourth overt act, according to the opinion of the Court, included a search and seizure of the person of the plaintiff in the presence of others. The Court held it was not sufficient on any theory.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the defendants here did not subject or cause to be subjected the plaintiff to the deprivation of any rights, privileges or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws (42 U.S.C.A. 1983), nor are facts pleaded which show a conspiracy, and, particularly, no act was alleged to have been done by defendants which injured plaintiff in his person or property or deprived him of having and exercising any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States (42 U.S.C.A. 1985).

Nothing remedial in the federal courts is stated in plaintiff's complaint. We are not here concerned whether plaintiff has a remedy elsewhere.

Therefore, judgment of the District Court should be affirmed.

Dated: June 5, 1962.

MERLE L. HANSEN,
City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
LEVEN B. FERRIN,
Assistant City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
GIBBONS, KINNEY AND TIPTON,
Attorneys for Appellees.

HAROLD C. FAULKNER,
FAULKNER, SHEEHAN & WISEMAN,
Of Counsel.

(Exhibit A Follows)

Exhibit A.

Exhibit A

LAST NAME			FIRST		MIDDLE		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE		
SEX	ORIGIN	YR BORN	HT	WT	HAIR	EYES	COMPLEXION		
DRESS & IDENT MARKS									
PHONE			ADD				CITY		
OCCUPATION					EMPLOYER				
VEH MAKE		BODY TYPE		COLOR	LICS NO	STATE			
LOC OF INTERROG						TIME		H	
OFFICER				SERIAL NO			DATE		
2000-36				CITY OF PHOENIX ARIZONA			FIELD INTERROGATION		
REV 7-57				POLICE DEPARTMENT			REPORT		

F B I

Date: 7/6/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised that son of JOE BASKIN, who is a close associate of the subject, was taken to Juvenile home following a car accident, at which time he had been drinking; hit another car from behind; injured the girl in his car, and left the scene of the accident.

Advised that JOE BASKIN not expected to live.

Advised that subject is contemplating the purchase of a home in the "Pine" country of Arizona. *an*

Advised that subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., commenced working at the San-Nic Importing Company, following a violent argument with his father at Tucson.

3 - Bureau (AM)
1 - Phoenix

REC-105

92-4494-103

9 JUL 9 1962

RWR:mss
(4)

Wick
JUL 12 1962

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 7/6/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

Advised that subject talked with [redacted]
in New York, and discussed a man named [redacted] (phonetic),
who is allegedly going with [redacted] daughter, [redacted] Subject
said [redacted] formerly lived in Arizona and he would check into his
background.

b6
b7C

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

7/11/62

Director, FBI (92-4494)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Re report of SA Roy W. Reger dated 6/18/62 at
Phoenix.

Furnish copy of referenced report to proper United
States Attorney pursuant to instructions set forth in SAC letter
61-71 (F) dated 12/19/61. Insure that all Agents assigned to
your office are familiar with the provisions for furnishing
reports to United States Attorneys as set forth in aforementioned
SAC letter.

REC-63


92-4494-104

19 JUL 11 1962

RDC:rmk

-4-

EX-115



Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

JUL 16 1962

TELETYPE UNIT ☐



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.**

To: **FBI, Phoenix (92-172)**

Date: **July 12, 1962**

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING**

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

FBI File No. **92-4494**
Lab. No. **D-398789 JC**

Examination requested by: **Phoenix**

Reference: **Letter 7/4/62**

Examination requested: **Document**

Remarks:

EX-105

REC-38

92-4494-105

19 JUL 13 1962


Enclosures (2) (2 Lab rpt)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

WLC:sab (4)

JUL 18 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐



210 1529

REPORT
of the



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.

To: FBI, Phoenix

Date: July 12, 1962

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING

FBI File No. 92-4494

Lab. No. D-398789 JC

Request

Specimens received 7/10/62

Request for comparison of specimens Q1 and Q2 previously
submitted with the known handwriting of SALVATORE VINCENT
BONANNO, Phoenix PD#407 344

Result of examination:

The hand printed name "S V Bonanno" on the fingerprint
card of Salvatore Vincent Bonnano, Phoenix, Arizona, Police
Department number 407 344 (FBI#988087D), is not comparable with
the questioned Schmid signatures on Q1 and Q2. For this reason,
it could not be determined whether the questioned signatures
were written by Bonnano, FBI#988087D.

WLC:sab (4)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Recorded 7/10/62
sab

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING

File # 92-4494 -105
Lab. # D-398789 JC

Examination requested by: Phoenix (92-172)

7/4/62

Examination requested: Document

Date received: 7/10/62

Result of Examination:

Examination by: Collier

1- The hp name "S V BONANNO" on the fp cd.
of Salvatore Vincent Bonanno, Phoenix, Ariz.
PD # 407344 (FBI # 988087D) is not
anyp. t. quest. Schmid sig. Q1 + 2.
i.e. n.c.

Specimens submitted for examination

Request for comparison of specimens Q1 and Q2
previously submitted with the known handwriting
of SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, Phoenix PD#407 344

Feb 1962
7/12/62
Collier

Q1 + 2

Bill Schmel

FBI# 98F087D

S V BONANNIO

F B I

Date: 7/13/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
 ANTI RACKETEERING
 (OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised that JOE BASKIN is still in the hospital, but is improving.

Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. is still employed at the San-Nic Importing Company in Phoenix.

Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. was at the office of San-Nic in Phoenix on 7/10/62 and 7/11/62, during which time he and subject talked with [redacted] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, after [redacted] had unsuccessfully attempted, on numerous occasions, to telephone subject at Phoenix. Talk was in Sicilian, but believed to be related to money owed by San-Nic to [redacted]

Advised subject made reservations on Continental Airlines

3 - Bureau (AM)
 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) (AM)
 2 - Phoenix
 (1 - PX 92-28)

REC- 42

92-4494-106

18 JUL 16 1962

EX-115

RWR:mss
 (6)

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

53 JUL 19 1962 Special Agent in Charge

b2

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 7/13/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

to go to Tucson, Arizona, on 7/13/62, and informed his father he would see him in Tucson on that date.

Advised subject is considering changing the name of San-Nic Importing Company to Allied Food Distributing, Incorporated.

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/13/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix dated 7/11/62.

On 7/13/62, copies of all reports submitted in this case from 10/13/60 to date were furnished to the United States Attorney at Phoenix.

Agents working on these cases in the Phoenix Office have been reminded of the provisions for furnishing reports to United States Attorneys, as set forth in SAC Letter #61-71 (F), dated 12/19/61.

2 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(3)

REC-8

92-4494-107

18 JUL 18 1962

EX 100

RECEIVED

JUL 18 5 30 PM '62

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE

FBI

51 JUL 20 1962

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 7/20/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

out of a gas station attendant" when obtaining this oil. This information was furnished to the Phoenix Police Department.

Advised that [] a Tucson attorney, attempted to contact subject on 7/17/62.

Advised that subject talked with [] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, on 7/18/62, conversation being in Sicilian.

Advised that subject is leasing a new warehouse at [] in Phoenix, but will probably not move in until October or November, 1962.

Advised that JOE BASKIN is still in the hospital and that subject is continuing his association with []

b6
b7C

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/23/62

FROM: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LLIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Director, 6/27/62.

On July 6 and 13, 1962, [redacted] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco was contacted by SA [redacted] advised that although the briefs of both parties had been received, this matter had not, as yet, been calendared for a hearing.

b6
b7C

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)
2 - San Francisco (1 - 92-340)
(1 - 66-3705-143)

REC- 30

DVE (#8):lms

(6)

92-4494-109

JUL 25 1962

EX - 102

50 JUL 27 1962

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Malone _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holmes _____
 Gandy _____

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: 7/25/62

FROM : C. A. Evans *for*JUNE

SUBJECT:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
ANTI-RACKETEERING

William Salvatore Bonanno is a hoodlum and son of the notorious hoodlum Joseph Bonanno. Joseph Bonanno is currently residing in Arizona, however, he still maintains considerable influence in hoodlum activities in other parts of the country. Both of the Bonannos are under active investigation by our Phoenix Office. We have microphone coverage in the office of William Bonanno in Phoenix.

Previous information has indicated subject William Bonanno contemplated leasing a new warehouse at 319 West Jackson in Phoenix.

The Phoenix Office called late today and advised they had received information indicating William Bonanno contemplated moving his office to the new location. In connection with this proposed move, Phoenix has also learned that Bonanno's telephones are possibly being moved into the new quarters tomorrow morning (7/26/62). Phoenix Office pointed out this would offer them an excellent opportunity to conduct the necessary survey and provided complete security is assured, move our current microphone coverage to the new location.

ACTION:

Coverage of the Bonanno's is important in view of their prominence in the hoodlum world. In view of the excellent opportunity to move our microphone coverage, provided action is taken tomorrow morning, authority was granted the Phoenix Office to conduct the necessary survey and reinstall the microphone coverage, provided complete security is present. Phoenix is sending complete information to the Bureau regarding this new set up, including the reinstallation of the microphone.

1 - Mr. J. D. Donohue

CHS:djm
(7)REC-37
EX 100

25 JUL 30 1962

6 AUG 2 1962

NEVE

SPEC. INCH. RM.

F B I

Date: 7/27/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING

OO: PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., subject's brother, is planning on going to San Mateo, California on Sunday, 7/29/62.

Advised that subject was visiting his sister, [redacted] at San Mateo, California 7/23/62.

- 3 - Bureau (92-4494)
1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info)
2 - New York City (Info) (1-92-1586)
(1-92-638)
2 - San Francisco (Info) (1-92-340)
(1-92-516)
4 - Phoenix (92-172D)
(1-92-28)
(1-92-152)
(1-92-295)

RWR/clh
(12)

58 AUG 8 1962 9

Approved: E J Boyle Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

b2
b6
b7D

92-4494-111

EX 113

72 JUL 30 1962

PX 192-72D

Advised that subject is still reluctant to talk to CHARLES BATTAGLIA, who attempted to telephone him from Tucson, Arizona several times.

Advised that subject is in the process of changing name of San-Nic Importing Company to Allied Food Importers, Inc., and moving the office and warehouse from the present location to 319 West Jackson, Phoenix. In this connection, subject contacted the telephone company for service at the new location and indicated installation would be completed by Monday, 7/30/62 with a new non-listed telephone number of 254-7459.

Advised that subject has been in constant contact with TONY SCRIVANO and his attorney [redacted] in Phoenix.

Advised that subject reprimanded his paramour, [redacted], for leaving her name at the office when she called, and discussed a past due car payment with [redacted].

Advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. attempted to call subject from New York City on 7/24/62, and when he learned subject was not in the office, left word that "PETER" had called, and would call again at noon on 7/25/62. Advised BONANNO, SR. did call back on 7/25/62 from a pay phone in New York City and talked in Sicilian with subject. Subject mentioned that business was slow. BONANNO, SR. again attempted to telephone subject from a pay station at an airport in New York City on 7/26/62, but subject was not in the office.

b6
b7C

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

8/3/62

Director, FBI (92-4494) - 112

JUNE

EX-100
REC-26
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Re your FD-142 dated 7/31/62.

Bureau authority granted to activate misur at 319 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, effective upon the date Bonanno moves into his new office location.

You may continue to utilize as the symbol number for this source.

Bureau letter dated 5/17/62 authorized you to continue this misur for a 90 day period from date of activation. Submit your recommendations regarding continuance of this installation five days prior to the expiration of the authorized period.

Continue to furnish Bureau a weekly airtel summary of information furnished by the source.

1 - J. D. Donohue, 815 RB

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by PX in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the 40 prime targets for early prosecutive action and who is one of the most prominent underworld leaders in the United States.

PX installed misur in subject's place of business on May 22, 1962, On July 25, 1962, PX telephonically advised Bureau that information had been received the subject was going to change his place of business to 319 West Jackson in Phoenix and they had an excellent opportunity to install a misur prior to the time he occupied the new space. PX was authorized to make this installation. PX is now authorized to activate misur after subject occupies his new office space.

MAILED 20
COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

JEK:asg
(5)

RECEIVED
FBI
JUL 25 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (Bufile 92-4494) DATE: 7/31/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428) Attention: FBI Laboratory
Electronics Section

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INSTALLATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.

Character of Case Anti-Racketeering
Field Office Phoenix
Symbol Number
Type of Surveillance (~~TECHNICAL~~ Microphone)

b2

Re: FD-142 dated 5/11/62

1. Name and address of subject:
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
3517 East Monterosa
Phoenix, Arizona
2. Location of technical operation:
319 West Jackson
Phoenix, Arizona
3. Other technical surveillances on same subject:

None

4. Cost and manpower involved:

No cost or manpower in addition to that presently being utilized at technical plant in Phoenix Office.

Transmission phone line furnished gratis by Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company.

5. Adequacy of security:
Full Security

1 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

Registered Mail

RWR:JMK
(2)

**Copy sent*

EX-100
37

RECEIVED
FBI
ELECTRONICS

REC-26

92-4494-1129.8

3 03 BH .25

EX-1025

1 33

1 AUG 2

1962

*let to PK
8/3/62 JER/mg*

*SEVEN
KELLY*

INT. SEC.
SPEC. MAIL RM.

6. Type of case involved:

Anti-Racketeering - Hoodlum Activity

7. Connection or status of subject in the case:

Subject is son of JOSEPH BONANNO, National Top Hoodlum, and is married to [REDACTED] niece of the late JOSEPH PROFACI.

b6
b7C

8. Specific information being sought:

Intelligence information as to contacts, associates, and activities of subject, and information which would indicate violation of any local or federal statutes.

9. Reasons for believing the specific information will be obtained by the technical surveillance:

Installation is in subject's office at Allied Food Importers, Incorporated, where subject discusses business and personal matters with associates. Subject has no telephone at home, and receives all calls at office.

10. Importance of case and subject:

Subject considered important due to close relationship with National Top Toodlums, and frequent contacts with known hoodlums.

11. Possibilities of obtaining desired information by other means
(Explain in detail):

Interviews with associates and acquaintances have indicated that subject is very closed-mouthed in discussions with them, and such interviews have been unproductive.

12. Risks of detection involved:

No risk involved

13. Probable length of technical surveillance:

Indefinite

14. Request made for technical surveillance by any outside agency
(name specific official, title and agency):

None known

15. Remarks:

A misur has been operating at San-Nic Importing Company, Inc., 719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, since May 22, 1962.

Subject is changing the name of this company to Allied Food Importers, Inc. and plans to move the office and warehouse to 319 West Jackson, Phoenix.

Subject arranged for phone service at new address on 7/25/62 at which time telephone approval for misur survey was obtained from Bureau.

Survey was made on 7/26 and 7/27/62 at which time an excellent opportunity occurred for immediate installation. Inasmuch as such opportunity might not be available again in immediate future, a microphone "44 Mate" was installed.

16. Recommendation of Assistant Director:

Inasmuch as this installation involves only a transfer to subject's new office, symbol number will continue to be utilized for the new installation.

b2

Bureau is requested to grant authority for the monitoring of this installation effective on the date when subject moves to his new office.

The misur equipment at 719 East Madison will be recovered after the transfer has been made.

8/7/62

Airtel

To: SAC, Phoenix (92-172D)


From: ^{EX-105} Director, ^{REC-35} FBI (92-4494) — 113

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

9
In the event you have not requested the New York Office to identify this subscriber to telephone number HI 3-2210 who called the subject's office and left a message to have him call "Uncle Frank" on his return, you should promptly do so.

NOTE: A highly confidential source in Phoenix reported information that individual identified as "Uncle Frank" called subject from New York City. Phoenix instructed to have New York Office identify caller.

JEK: asg
(4)



[Handwritten signature]

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

153
AUG 10 1962
[Handwritten signature]

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

[Handwritten signature]

F B I

Date: 8/3/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING

OO: PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

In the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO was in the San Jose, California area during the week.

An individual, who identified himself only as "Uncle Frank", New York City telephone number HI 3-2210, left a message with subject's office to have him call "Uncle Frank" on return.

oo

EX - 102 REC-35

92-4494 - 413

18 AUG 6 1962

C.C. Wick

③ - Bureau (RM)
1 - Phoenix

JBD/vlc
(4)

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 8/6/62	Investigative Period 6/8/62 - 7/22/62
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: MSS
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI RACKETEERING	

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 6/18/62, at Phoenix.

- P -

ENCLOSURESTO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

Approved <i>E J Boyle</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made:		92-4494-114	REC-44
③ - Bureau (92-4494) (Enclosures 27) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Denver (92-149) 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		13 AUG 9 1962	

1 Cum Div Dept

850 817162
50 AUG 28 1962

STAT SECT

Property of FBI - This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

PX 92-172

LEADS

DENVER DIVISION

AT PUEBLO, COLORADO

Will identify subscriber to telephone number
LI 3-3227.

LOS ANGELES, MILWAUKEE, NEW YORK & SAN
FRANCISCO DIVISIONS (INFORMATION)

Information copies are being sent to Los Angeles,
Milwaukee, New York and San Francisco, as report
contains information concerning associates and
contacts of subject in these Divisions.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report activities
of subject.

INFORMANTS

PX T-23 is

PX T-30 is

PX T-31 is

PX T-33 is

PX T-34 is

Information from files of the Phoenix Title and Trust
Company was furnished by [redacted] Phoenix Title
and Trust Company, 2505 E. Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona, who
requested his identity be protected.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

FX 92-172

ADMINISTRATIVE

Results of mail covers on subject were not productive, and no request was made for renewal at the end of 30 day period.

Results of trash cover at subject's residence has been unproductive during period of this report.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 8/6/62

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject continues to reside at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, and continues employment at San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, Phoenix, Arizona. Business reported slow at this company, and numerous creditors have been attempting to collect past due accounts, as result of which subject considering changing name of company to Allied Food Distributing, Incorporated, and moving offices and warehouse to 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix. Subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., now employed at San-Nic, and reportedly involved in recent robbery of service station. Subject continues association with [redacted] Has discussed real estate transaction with CHARLES BATTAGLIA of Tucson, but appears reluctant to talk with BATTAGLIA. Subject made regular report to Probation Officer during June and July. Reply brief filed by appellee with Circuit Court of Appeals on subject's suit vs. Phoenix Police Department.

b6
b7C

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

DETAILS:

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On June 22, 1962, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona, who is brother of the owner of the home where subject resides, advised that he went to see subject and subject's wife on June 22, 1962, concerning the installation of a new hot water heater at the house. Subject mentioned that he and his wife might leave town for the week end.

b6
b7C

PX T-30 advised that subject is considering the purchase of a summer home in the pine country of Arizona, possibly near Payson, Arizona.

Daily observations at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, have indicated that subject and his family continue to reside at this address, and that the 1961 white Pontiac, which subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, has been using in Tucson, was returned to subject's residence during the week of July 16.

II. EMPLOYMENT

PX T-30 advised that subject continues his employment with San-Nic Importing Company, 719 E. Madison Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

Informant stated that subject and his father refused to sign a purchase agreement for this company, or pay any additional money for such purchase, until the records of the company were straightened out, and that this task had been referred to [redacted]. Informant stated that [redacted] had been unable to locate the accounts receivable for this company for the months of October, and up until November 15, 1961.

Informant advised that additional employees at San-Nic, hired recently, include [redacted] (Phonetic), JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., and [redacted].

Informant said that business has been slow at the company, and that several creditors have been contacting the company regarding past due accounts. Informant advised that subject is considering changing the name of the company, because of the numerous contacts by creditors, to Allied Food Distributing, Inc., and with this in mind, is presently negotiating the lease of a warehouse at 319 W. Jackson in Phoenix.

Informant advised that subject has been doing considerable business with [redacted] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, who is believed to be one of the large creditors of the company.

Informant said that subject also appears to be interested in real estate transactions, one of which involves the Phoenix Title and Trust Company.

b6
b7C

Date June 27, 1962

[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, who is a Public Accountant, with offices at 2529 E. Indian School Road, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he was hired by [redacted], to prepare a financial statement for the San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated of Phoenix, after [redacted] the former accountant for this firm, left Phoenix.

[redacted] said that [redacted] had prepared a financial statement as of the last of December, 1961, not based on an actual audit, which indicated assets and liabilities of the company in the amount of approximately \$42,000.00. Many of these assets consist of uncollectable bills.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said he has been informed by [redacted] that JOE BONANNO has purchased all of the stock in this company, but he does not know whether BONANNO has actually ever signed any agreement. He said that an arrangement was reportedly made, through [redacted], attorney for the company, to pay off several of the creditors at the rate of 20¢ on the dollar, but that some of the creditors did not agree to this.

[redacted] advised that BILL BONANNO, JOE BASKIN and [redacted], who are presently associated with this company, attempted to persuade him to handle the accounting work for them in their present operation of the company, but that he refused.

[redacted] said that he received a call from [redacted] this date, and [redacted] told him that JOE BONANNO wanted the statement by next Monday. [redacted] said he informed [redacted] it would be impossible to have this prepared by next Monday, and that he does not know when it will be completed. He said that [redacted] had requested return of the books and records in [redacted] possession, and [redacted] refused to return them until he has completed his audit, or been fired by [redacted], for whom he is working.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that he had just completed an interview with Mr. [redacted] of the Internal Revenue Service, prior to this interview, had furnished [redacted] the same information, and had shown him the records of the company.

On 6/21/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SAS JOHN B. DUFFY & ROY W. REGER:mss Date dictated 6/21/62

Date July 3, 1962

Files of the Phoenix Title and Trust Company, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that this company is handling an escrow on a transfer of mortgage from [redacted] and wife to Commonwealth Development Company, and from Commonwealth Development Company to [redacted] and wife.

Description of the property involved in this transfer is South half and Northeast quarter, Section 16, Township 15 South, Range 12 East, except the west 30 feet of the South half, with easement for road purposes, in Pima County, Arizona.

b6
b7C

This information can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted] Assistant Vice President, Phoenix Title and Trust Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

On 6/28/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER/vlc Date dictated 6/28/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

III. TRAVEL

PX T-30 advised that subject's wife, [REDACTED] was scheduled to return to Phoenix, Arizona, from New York City, on American Airlines Flight #965, at 11:50 A. M., June 20, 1962.

b6
b7C

On June 20, 1962, at 11:55 A. M. [REDACTED] and her small child, were observed at the Sky Harbor Airport, Phoenix, Arizona, by SAS J. RICHARD BUTTS and ROY W. REGER, leaving American Airlines flight which just arrived from New York City. They were met at the airport by subject and JOE BASKIN, and left the airport in JOE BASKIN's red Chevrolet.

PX T-34 advised that subject and his brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. were visiting at the home of subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., at Tucson, Arizona, on July 5, 1962.

PX T-30 advised that subject went to Tucson, Arizona, leaving Phoenix at 8:15 A. M., July 12, 1962, via Continental Airlines, and was in Tucson at his father's home on July 13, 1962.

IV. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted]
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has continued a close association with [redacted], and continues to visit her frequently. Informant said that subject and [redacted] had some type of "Anniversary" on June 26, 1962.

b6
b7C

On June 8, 1962, Los Angeles advised that a lease and inventory list, signed by subject as WILLIAM SCHMID, were obtained from Mrs. [redacted], Los Angeles, California, telephone number [redacted], who is owner of the property located at [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, where [redacted] resides. These documents were sent to the Bureau for comparison with subject's signature on his fingerprint card, Phoenix Police Department Number 407 344.

On June 12, 1962, PX T-31 furnished the following listings for telephone numbers previously called from the telephone of [redacted]:

Tucson telephone number 297-1457, listed to [redacted]
[redacted] Tucson, Arizona.

Tucson telephone number 623-6805, listed to [redacted]
[redacted] Tucson, Arizona.

San Manuel telephone number 385-4475, listed to
[redacted] San Manuel,
Arizona.

b6
b7C

On June 19, 1962, Mrs. [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised SAS ROY W. REGER and GERALD C. SNELL, that she is only slightly acquainted with her neighbor, [redacted], who lives at [redacted]. She said she has noticed several different cars at this house, at different times, and recalls having seen a blue Falcon there several times, most of which times she noticed that there was men's clothing hanging in the back seat of the car. She said that another neighbor, who recently suffered a stroke, had advised her husband several months ago that [redacted] is a "girl friend of BILL BONANNO, the hoodlum". Mrs. [redacted] could furnish no information concerning the activities or associates of [redacted] but said she would report any pertinent information coming to her attention.

PX 92-172

On June 26, 1962, PX T-33 advised that an informant of his, who is acquainted with [redacted], told him that [redacted] had said she and her husband were going to Tucson, Arizona, last week end, by plane, with the children, to visit her husband's parents. She said they were then planning on going to San Manuel before returning to Phoenix. Informant also reported that on the night of June 25, 1962, subject was observed leaving [redacted] home in JOE BASKIN's Chevrolet. [redacted] reportedly said that she had a night off from work at the Holiday Inn; that it was her anniversary; and that subject was supposed to have taken her out for the evening, but couldn't do so because he had to leave.

b6
b7C

On June 29, 1962, PX T-23 advised that the following long distance toll charges have been made to the telephone at the home of [redacted]:

June 8, 1962 - Pueblo, Colorado, LI 3-3227.

June 14, 1962 - San Manuel, Arizona, DU 5-4475.

On July 5, 1962, PX T-23 advised that the telephone listed to JOSEF REINHOLZ at the residence occupied by [redacted] was temporarily disconnected on this date for non payment of the telephone bill.

On July 6, 1962, PX T-33 advised that on the morning of July 5, 1962, [redacted] called a baby sitter, and asked the baby sitter to stay with her children for an hour or more. She said she had to rush to the airport to meet someone and take them someplace. Informant stated that a faded blue car was parked in front of [redacted] house, with Arizona License CPU-103.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona License CPU-103 were issued to [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1949 DeSoto Sedan.

b6
b7C

On July 19, 1962, PX T-33 advised that a car, with Arizona License CKD-704, was parked in front of [redacted] house on July 19, 1962.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, revealed that Arizona License CKD-704 were issued to [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, for a 1948 Hudson. The Phoenix City Directory indicates that [redacted] is employed as a Carrier for the United States Post Office Department.

PX 92-172

On July 23, 1962, PX T-33 advised that [redacted] now has a new baby sitter, whose identity is unknown, but who drives a Dodge car, with Arizona License DCN-553.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division (MVD), Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona License DCN-553 were issued to [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1956 Dodge four door Sedan.

On July 24, 1962, SA [redacted] observed a 1955 Chevrolet, with Arizona License CDH-774, parked in the carport at the home of [redacted].

b6
b7C

Records of the MVD, Phoenix, Arizona, indicated that Arizona License CDH-774 were issued to [redacted] Phoenix, for a 1955 Chevrolet Coupe.

The Phoenix City Directory indicated that [redacted] is employed as a salesman for the [redacted] Paper Company.

B. [redacted]
Tucson, Arizona

On July 18, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Tucson, Arizona, advised SAS ROY W. REGER and [redacted] that she only met BILL BONANNO on one occasion. She said that a friend of hers, [redacted], who is a professional female singer from Las Vegas, was formerly engaged at the Tidelands Motel in Tucson, and was believed to have met BILL during this time. Sometime during April, 1961, [redacted] persuaded [redacted] to make a trip to Las Vegas with her, and en route they stopped at Phoenix, where they visited the Romulus Restaurant, where BILL was then employed. [redacted] was interested in obtaining an engagement at the Romulus, and they talked with BILL about this. BILL persuaded them to remain in Phoenix overnight, and obtained separate rooms for them at the Ramada Inn. That night, BILL and [redacted] came to the Inn, and [redacted] insisted that [redacted] remain with her in her room while talking to BILL and TONY, who stayed at the Inn until about 1:00 or 2:00 A. M., the following morning. [redacted] advised that BILL made a "play" for [redacted], but was prevented from "going too far" by [redacted] presence in the room. She said that she and [redacted] left Phoenix the following day, and that neither of them have had any subsequent contact with BILL.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

C. SAL PROFACI, JR.
New York City

PX T-30 advised that subject contacted his brother-in-law, SAL PROFACI, JR., on June 8, 1962, and inquired about his wife, who was in New York City at the time.

D. [REDACTED]
New York City

On July 3, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject talked with [REDACTED] at New York, concerning [REDACTED] (phonetic), whom informant stated is going with SAL's daughter, [REDACTED]. Informant advised that [REDACTED] formerly lived in Arizona for ten or twelve years.

b6
b7C

E. TONY SCRIVANO
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has been in almost daily contact with TONY SCRIVANO concerning insurance business which TONY is handling for subject; real estate transactions, and personal matters.

F. [REDACTED]
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject continues to utilize the services of [REDACTED] as an attorney.

G. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who is presently employed with subject at San-Nic Importing Company, was stopped by the Phoenix Police Department on Central Avenue during the night of July 15, 1962, on a routine traffic check. Informant stated that BONANNO, JR. was reported to have had 25 quarts of stolen motor oil in the car at the time, which oil was not discovered by the police. BONANNO, JR. claimed that this oil was obtained from the robbery of a service station, where the attendant was beaten up by a boy called [REDACTED], and that a boy who lives in Scottsdale by the name of [REDACTED] was supposed to now have the oil in his car. This robbery could not

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

be verified from the records of the Phoenix Police Department.

H. JOSEPH BASKIN
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that BASKIN was taken to the Scottsdale City Hospital with a heart attack, in serious condition, but his condition is improving. Informant stated that BASKIN's son, [REDACTED], was arrested by the Phoenix Police Department on June 28, 1962, when he was driving drunk, with a girl in his car, and ran into the back end of another car, injuring the occupant of the other car. [REDACTED] reportedly left the scene of the accident; was later arrested; was placed in the Juvenile Home, where he was scheduled for trial in Superior Court before Judge JACK D. H. HAYS, and was released on \$300.00 bond, arranged by the subject through the Arizona Bail Bond Company.

b6
b7C

I. JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.
Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has been in frequent contact with his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., concerning operations of the business at San-Nic Importing Company, and concerning real estate matters.

J. CHARLES BATTAGLIA
Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has indicated a reluctance to talk with BATTAGLIA, who has attempted to contact him on several instances, but has had some conversation with BATTAGLIA about a real estate deal involving sale of property believed to be in Pinal County, Arizona.

K. [REDACTED]
Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject had discussed a real estate transfer, being handled by the Phoenix Title and Trust Company, with [REDACTED]. Informant advised that the property involved is reportedly in [REDACTED] name, but that subject has an interest in the property, and expects to receive the proceeds from the sale.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

L.

Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject continues to utilize the services of [redacted] as an attorney.

M.

(FNU)

Tucson, Arizona

b6
b7C

PX T-30 advised that on June 25, 1962, [redacted] made a trip from Tucson to Phoenix to visit subject at subject's place of business.

On June 26, 1962, [redacted] Reservation Manager, Frontier Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that a party of two, giving the names of [redacted] departed Phoenix for Tucson, via Frontier Airlines, at 5:15 P. M., June 25, 1962. He said that these same individuals had arrived in Phoenix from Tucson on American Airlines Flight #625, on June 25, 1962. He advised that [redacted] were brought to the airport in Phoenix by BILL BONANNO.

N.

San Mateo, California

PX T-30 advised that subject keeps in contact with his sister, [redacted], and has discussed with her the problems concerning their brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who reportedly had a violent argument with his father at Tucson, Arizona, and ran away from home, but later returned.

b6
b7C

O.

Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject continues to be very friendly with [redacted], who is still employed by San-Nic Importing Company. Informant stated that [redacted] girl friend [redacted] recently moved to [redacted] Pomona, California.

V. PROBATION STATUS

On June 15, 1962, [redacted] Adult Probation Officer, Maricopa County, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject made his first report at [redacted] office on June 13, 1962. He furnished his residence address as [redacted] [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, and his employment as San-Nic Importing Company, at a salary of \$400.00 monthly. Subject said his attorney was attempting to persuade the Judge to relieve him of the necessity of reporting out-of-State travel, inasmuch as he frequently leaves the State on business, and [redacted] advised subject to report any such travel to him.

b6
b7C

On July 22, 1962, [redacted] advised that subject has made his July report, and has indicated no change in residence or employment.

PX 92-172

VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX
POLICE DEPARTMENT

On June 11, 1962, [redacted] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, furnished SA [redacted] a copy of the Appellee's Reply Brief in the matter entitled, "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS; ET AL, Appellee," CCA #17630, which was filed on June 5, 1962. This copy was forwarded to the Bureau.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona
August 6, 1962

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
ANTI RACKETEERING

Characterization of new informants in the report
of Special Agent Roy W. Reger dated August 6, 1962, at
Phoenix:

PX T-33 is a representative of another Government
Agency.

PX T-34 is a source in position to furnish infor-
mation concerning some of subject's activities, at
Tucson, Arizona.

This document contains neither recommendations nor
conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is
loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be dis-
tributed outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona
August 6, 1962

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNI

Character ANTI RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. NIGER dated
August 6, 1962, at Phoenix

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

F B I

Date: 8/10/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172-D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
 AR

[REDACTED] WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week this informant provided the following information:

One [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] New York City, attempted on two occasions to get in touch with BILL BONANNO, but was unsuccessful. This is probably [REDACTED] a former business associate of BILL BONANNO.

Various creditors have been attempting to collect monies due them from the San-Nic Importing Company. *LC*

One [REDACTED] who was described as a cook at the Arizona Ranch House Restaurant, unsuccessfully attempted to contact BILL BONANNO.

It should be noted that the Arizona Ranch House Restaurant is partially owned by [REDACTED] aka., who is also under investigation in the Criminal Intelligence Program.

This informant also revealed that BILL has a contact with Continental Airlines in Phoenix by the name of [REDACTED] (phonetic).

③ - Bureau
 2 - Phoenix { 1 - 92-172D)
 { 1 - 92-71)

JBD/skt
 (5)

REC-44

10 AUG 13 1962

Sent _____

M Per _____

5 - AUG 10 1962
 Approved: *E. J. Boyle*
 Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 8/14/62

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340)

P

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Remylet to Bureau 7/23/62.

On 8/14/62, BILL WILSON, Deputy Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, Calif., made available to SA [redacted] one copy of the Appellant's Closing Brief, in the matter entitled "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS, et al, Appellees," CCA #17630.

b6
b7C

This closing brief is being forwarded to the Phoenix Office for analysis and subsequent transmittal to the Bureau in accordance with existing Bureau instructions.

WILSON advised that this matter was argued before the Court of Appeals on 8/1/62, and is presently under submission to the court.

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)(ENC - 2)
2 - San Francisco (1: 92-340)(1: 66-3705-143)
DVE:rk
(6) #2

SPECIAL

REC-13

92-4494-116

10 AUG 16 1962

AUG 15 5 03 PM '62
U S DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI

57 AUG 21 1962

EX-111

NINE

8/14/62

Airtel

To: SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

JUNE

From: Director, FBI (92-4494) ^{REC-31} 117

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Reurairtel 8/10/62.

You must submit an appropriate FD-143 setting forth your recommendations regarding continuance of [] five days prior to the expiration of the original 90 day authorization which was given to your office after this source was activated on 5/22/62.

b2

Promptly handle this matter.

1 - J. D. Donohue, 815 RB

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonnano is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the leading underworld figures in the United States. Installation is in the San-Nic Importing Company operated by the subject and was installed on 5/22/62. The subject's company moved to a new location and PX was authorized to install a misur in the new location and utilize the same symbol number. PX instructed to submit an appropriate FD-143 based on the original 90 day authorized period.

JEK:asg
(5) *asg*

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

AUG 22 1962

F B I

Date: 8/10/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) ,
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

JUNE

Re our FD-142 dated 7/31/62, and Bulet dated 8/3/62.

UACB, FD-143 will be submitted next five days prior
to 11/3/62.

③ - Bureau (RM)
1 - Phoenix

GCS/skt
(4)

C C Wick

Airtel to PH
8/14/62 JEL/psg

REC- 31

92-4494-117
20

18 AUG 13 1962

JEL

SEC

PHOENIX RM.

Approved: E J Doyle
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M

Per _____

F B I

Date: 8/17/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172-D) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO - Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, this informant provided the following information:

Subject had been in contact with the Telephone Company in Phoenix.

Difficulty in subject's company clearing checks through the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company in Tucson.

Subject and TONY SCRIVANO had called an individual at PLaza 5-0076 in New York. This has previously been determined to be the phone number of [REDACTED].

One [REDACTED] LNU in New York may come to Arizona to work for the subject's company.

Subject has been in contact with [REDACTED] a former Tucson bookie who is an associate of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA.

Unknown individual at telephone number WAbash 2-8990, Chicago, Illinois, attempting to contact subject's associate, JOSEPH BASKIN.

3 - Bureau
2 - Chicago
1 - Phoenix
JBD:bat
1 - DEL (6)

REC-91

92-4494-118

EX-101

16 AUG 20 1962

Approved: EL Bayle
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172-D

Equipment left in subject's former warehouse at 8th and Madison Streets, Phoenix, have been attached by his former landlord for non-payment of rent.

LEAD:

CHICAGO DIVISION

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Will identify the subscriber and check indices to phone number WAbash 2-8990.

F B I

Date: 8/15/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

JUNE

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

Attention: FBI Laboratory
(Electronics
Section)RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

Re Phoenix FD-142 dated 7/31/62 and Bureau letter dated 8/3/62.

Installation of 44A Mate made at 319 West Jackson, Phoenix, on 7/27/62.

Removal of 42A Mate at 719 East Madison, Phoenix, accomplished 8/14/62. 44A Mate activated 319 West Jackson, Phoenix on 8/15/62 and will continue to be reported as

b2

④ - Bureau (Registered Mail) (Airmail)
(1 - FBI Laboratory)

1 - Phoenix

GCS:JMK
(5)

REC-91

92-4494-119

18 AUG 27 1962

EX-101

25 AUG 1962

AUG 15 11 00 AM '62

C.C. - BIA RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

Approved:

AUG 23 1962

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M.

Per

RECEIVED

F B I

Date: 8/24/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172 D)

WILLIAM SALVATORE ⁶BONANNO, aka
AR

WEEKLY SUMMARY -

During the past week the following information
of value was received from this informant:

1. Subject and associates may be attempting to join in the purchase of the El Cortez Hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada.
2. License known of car presently being used by subject.
3. BONANNO was in touch with an individual named at telephone PLaza 5-0076, New York City (New York previously requested to check this number).
4. Subject has telephone in his home under name of JACK RUTHERFORD, number 279-0210.

REC-35

92-4494-120
AUG 27 1962

EX-108

3-SECURITY

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix

JED:108

(5)

FBI

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

58 AUG 29 1962 Special Agent in Charge

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

8/23/62

REC-2E
Director, FBI (92-4494) - 121 JUNE

EX-116

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Re your FD-143 dated 8/17/62.

[] You are authorized to continue the misur designated for a period of 90 days from 8/22/62. In the event you desire to continue this installation beyond this period, you should submit your recommendation on a UACE basis in accord with instructions set out in Buairtel to Albany 5/10/62 captioned "Criminal Intelligence Program." This recommendation should be set forth under Item 17 of Form FD-143.

With further reference to Item 17, "Remarks by SAC," you should there present concisely the evaluation of the SAC as to the productivity of the misur and any factors which may have limited its productivity or which would indicate a future increase in productivity.

You should, in addition, make certain that the next justification for continuation of this source is submitted to the Bureau within the deadline period so that it will not be necessary to correspond with your office concerning its submission.

- 1 - J. D. Donohue, 815 RB
1 - M. F. Row, 6221 IB

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the most prominent underworld leaders in the United States. Subject's wife is the niece of the late Joseph Profaci, New York hoodlum leader. Source has provided valuable information as to the current travel and activities of subject, as well as his notorious hoodlum father. This source should prove valuable in the future investigation of this case and its continuance is, therefore, being authorized. Source is located in subject's office at 319 West Jackson Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

VFL: asg
(6)

MAILED 15
AUG 23 1962
COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (Bufile 92-4494) DATE: 8/17/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

SUBJECT: JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.

Character of Case AR
Field Office Phoenix
Symbol Number [REDACTED]
Type of Surveillance: (Technical or
Microphone)

1. Name of person or organization on whom surveillance placed:
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
Allied Food Impters, Inc.
2. Address where installation made. Also give exact room number or area covered:
319 West Jackson Street, Phoenix, Arizona
C44A Mate installed in subject's office
3. Location of monitoring plant:
Phoenix Office
4. Dates of initial authorization and installation:
5/22/62 when same was located at 719 East Madison Street, Phoenix,
and activated at new location, 8/15/62.
5. Previous and other installations on the same subject (with dates and places):
[REDACTED] - previous - Romulus Restaurant, 1610 North 16th Street,
Phoenix, 6/16/61.

6. If installation is a technical surveillance, answer following questions:

a. Is a trunk line utilized?

b. Is the surveillance on a switchboard?

c. Is the surveillance on a public coin-operated telephone?

1 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix
JBD:bat
Registered Mail
(2)

EX-116

REC-21

92-4494-121

25 AUG 21 1962

llc R
8/23/62 UFL/mg

36
mb/PPM/LW
Don't
REC. 11-17

d. Is surveillance on a private line or a party line?

b2
b7E

e. If a party line, how many parties?

7. If a microphone surveillance involved, state number of microphones actually used and location of each:

8. Is the installation part of a tel-mike? If so, give symbol of other side of the combination: No.

9. Specific examples of valuable information obtained since previous report with indication of specific value of each item and the date information received. State what use was made of each item involved: (Add insert pages) See attached page.

10. Could above information have been obtained from other sources and by other means? No.

11. Number of live informants (in field division) who cover same subject: 2

12. Has security factor changed since installation? No.

13. Any request for the surveillance by outside agency (give name, title and agency): None known.

14. Cost of Plant Premises:

a. Rental costs for plant premises: None.

b. Give total number of other surveillances monitored at same plant. One.

- 9.
1. Provided information that the mailing of anonymous letters in this particular case had caused "troubles" between subject and his paramour, [REDACTED].
 2. Provided information as to the presence of ANGELO MORENO, a San Francisco subject of an AR investigation in Arizona.
 3. Provided information as to the serious illness of New York hoodlum, JOE PROFACI.
 4. Information as to the difficulty in financial condition of the San-Nic Importing Company.
 5. Provided information as to the presence of subject's father in New York City.
 6. Information the Aiveoli Funeral Parlor in New York City may be used as a phone drop by hoodlums.
 7. Subject has been in contact with top hoodlum CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA.
 8. Provided information regarding real estate transactions of subject.
 9. Provided information as to subject's travels to San Francisco.
 10. Information as to the physical condition of subject's associate, JOE BASKIN.
 11. Information as to the presence of subject's father in Phoenix, and his contact therefrom with [REDACTED] in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin.
 12. Information as to the change of subject's office from one warehouse in Phoenix, to another warehouse in Phoenix.
 13. Information that subject was contacted by "Uncle FRANK", New York City phone HI. 3-2210.
 14. Subject has been in contact with [REDACTED] in New York.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

- c. If any others, set out the proportionate cost of instant surveillance: One half of personnel cost

15. Cost of Leased Line for instant installation? Line furnished gratis by Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company to eliminate any records by their company.

16. Personnel Costs:

- a. Give total number of special employees and/or Special Agents working at plant and total salary costs.
1 Special Employee, full time, GS-5.
1 Special Agent works plant on overtime only, GS-13.
- b. Total number of man hours per week spent at plant?
40 hours by Special Employee
Time varies for Special Agent according to need (approximately 10 hours weekly)
- c. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate number of man hours per week spent on instant surveillance:
Same.
- d. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate salary expense per annum for instant surveillance:
Same.

17. Remarks (By SAC): I recommend that this misur be continued for another 90 days.

18. Recommendation by Assistant Director:

(If this surveillance involves cryptanalysis, include statement that decrypted material is or is not sufficiently important to continue decrypting.)

F B I

Date: 8/31/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
 ANTI RACKETEERING
 (OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that Allied Food Importers, Incorporated, have a new employee by the name of [REDACTED]
2. Advised that subject is due to return to Phoenix on 8/27/62, and return car to AVIS Car Rental on this date.
3. Advised that [REDACTED] subject's attorney, is urgently attempting to contact the subject.
4. Advised that JOE BASKIN changed his home telephone number to 254-8781.

3 - Bureau (AM)
 1 - Phoenix

MAILED
 2 SEP 10 1962
 (4)

2 SEP 10 1962
 FBI

REC-36

10 SEP 4 1962

EX-115

CC - Wick
 Approved: 56 SEP 7 1962
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
 b6
 b7C

F B I

Date: 8/31/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

5. Advised that subject is still in contact with his paramour, [REDACTED].
6. Advised that subject plans to go to San Jose, California in a couple of weeks.
7. Advised that [REDACTED] Tucson attorney, attempted to contact subject.

b6
b7C

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 8/28/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to the Bureau dated 8/14/62.

The closing brief described in referenced letter has
been reviewed at Phoenix, and is enclosed for the Bureau.

- 2 - Bureau (Enclosure - 1)
- 2 - San Francisco
 - (1 - SF 92-340)
 - (1 - SF 66-3705-143)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(5)

DIAGRAM
SPECIAL INQUIRY
RECEIVED

SEP 4 1962
FBI

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI

SEP 13 1962

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI

REC-69

SEP 4 5 30 PM '62

REC'D - CIA

3 02 PM '62

SEP 4 1962

92-4494-123

ENCLOSURE TO THE BUREAU: (92-4494)

One (1) copy of Appellant's
Closing Brief, in the matter en-
titled, "SALVATORE V. BONANNO,
Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS,
ET AL, Appellees," CCA #17630.

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE B
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)
(PX 92-172)

LIAISON WITH THE CI
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT



92-4444-123
ENCLOSURE

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

phamplet

No. 17630

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

vs.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE I,
RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE III,
RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD ROE V,

Appellees.

Appellant's Closing Brief

DOMINIC H. FRINZI
152 West Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

PAUL H. PRIMOCK
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

W. T. ELSING
FRANCIS R. CRABLE
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

Attorneys for Appellant.

FILED

JUL 17 1962

FRANK H. SCHMID, CLERK

92-4494-123

SUBJECT INDEX

	Page
I. Comment on the Record on Appeal.....	1
II. Argument	3
1. The Overt Acts Pleaded in the Complaint Are Sufficient to Support a Claim for Relief Under the Civil Rights Statutes	3
2. The Acts Charged Against the Defendants in the Complaint Are Not Excused by Reference to the General Powers and Authority of Police Officers, Nor by Reference to Matters Entirely Beyond the Complaint	11
3. The Police Power, However Defined, Does Not Extend to the Right to Harass and Interfere with the Rights of An Individual Beyond the Recognized, Legitimate Purposes of Police Activity.....	16
4. The First Amended Complaint Meets the Tests Laid Down by This Court in Hoffman v. Halden.....	17
III. Statement Relating to the Right to Amend.....	18
Conclusion	19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

	Pages
Busby v. United States (9 CA, 1961), 296 F.2d 328.....	15
Cohen v. Cahill (9 CA, 1960), 21 F.2d 780.....	17, 18
Hoffman v. Halden (9 CA, 1959), 268 F.2d 280.....	17, 18
United States v. Bonanno, et al (DC NY, 1959), 180 F. Supp. 71	13, 14
United States v. Buffalino, et al (2 CA, 1960), 285 F.2d 408....	14
Wirin v. Horrall (DCA Cal, 1948), 85 C.A. 2d 497, 193 P.2d 470	17

TEXTS

20 Am. Jur. :	
174, Evidence, Sec. 170.....	5
181, Evidence, Sec. 175.....	13
37 Am. Jur. 286, Municipal Corporations, Sec. 286.....	6
2 Moore's Fed. Prac. :	
2244, Sec. 12.08.....	12
2257, Sec. 12.09.....	12

No. 17630

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,
Appellant,
vs.
CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE I,
RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE III,
RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD ROE V,
Appellees.

Appellant's Closing Brief

I.

COMMENT ON THE RECORD ON APPEAL

Appellees refer (Reply Brief, pp. 3-4) to certain affidavits of some of the defendants which were filed in the early stages of this case, and which were included in the record on this appeal by designation of the defendants.

None of these affidavits, when filed, was addressed to the motion to dismiss the first amended complaint, nor do they

have the slightest connection with the questions presented on this appeal. They are attachments (T.R. 16-21) to the defendants' Notice of Motion to Dismiss (T.R. 14) which was filed in May, 1961, almost two months prior to the filing of the first amended complaint which is the subject of this appeal. Their relevancy even to that motion is open to serious doubt.

Reference is also made in the Reply Brief (pp. 4-5) to an extension of the time for taking plaintiff's deposition, an early motion by defendants to strike and for entry of default, interrogatories (served after the defendants' motion to dismiss the first amended complaint, incidentally), etc. Just what light these various procedural matters could possibly shed on the questions presented to this Court is not apparent; nor are they more than mentioned in the reply brief.

Unless it were to show the activity and ingenuity of trial counsel for the defendants, the only plausible reason for the insertion by appellees in the record of these extraneous motions, affidavits, pleadings and orders would be to present the appellant somehow in an unfavorable light: to suggest that he evaded answering interrogatories; to suggest that he is a "low fellow" because of the foul language which he allegedly used according to the ex parte affidavits of two of the defendants; perhaps to intimate that his first amended complaint should be measured by different standards from those customarily employed by courts because he may have had unsavory associates (according to the joint affidavit of Selby and Orr; T.R. 19).

The rather lengthy quotation from the Selby-Orr affidavit in the reply brief (p. 4) lends support to the foregoing construction.

The order appealed from here was not an order directing the entry of summary judgment. It was an order granting the motion of defendants to dismiss the first amended

complaint, and dismissing the action (T.R. 76). It brought to an abrupt halt all pending proceedings in the case at the trial level. Whether the trial court committed error, it is submitted, should be determined by an examination of the pleading which was struck down and the law thereto applicable—not by innuendo or sly suggestion; for whether the appellant's name be Bonanno or Smith, or whether he likes or does not like the police force of Tucson, Arizona, really has nothing to do with the questions now at hand. Assuredly counsel for appellees are as well aware of this as appellant's counsel.

II.

ARGUMENT

1. The Overt Acts Pleaded in the Complaint Are Sufficient to Support a Claim for Relief Under the Civil Rights Statutes.

Appellees state or paraphrase the twenty-one subparagraphs of Paragraph VII of the first amended complaint first appearing therein, and editorialize upon each of them (Reply Brief, pp. 9-15).

Item 1 is the order from Hodges to Rust. It is said that such an order "could not possibly have any impact on the plaintiff", and even when acted upon, "leaves no imprint of illegality." The order pleaded was to the effect that plaintiff be questioned *at any opportunity*—not that he simply be questioned on a stated subject with the view to gaining information. The repetitive and random purpose of the order is implicit in its terms, and assuredly it is not the sort of order which normally is given by a police officer to his subordinate. Its effect on the plaintiff would be to subject him to questioning at any opportunity which presented itself to Rust, regardless of time, place or purpose. If illegality be a necessary element, then it lies in the diffuse nature of the order and its lack of connection with any proper objective of police activity.

Items 2, 3 and 5 may be considered together. These relate to orders issued by various defendants concerning three automobiles. Appellees assert that these specific allegations should be disregarded because there is no assertion of ownership of the vehicles in the complaint, and no assertion that the automobiles were stopped. It is submitted that the ownership of the vehicles is wholly immaterial. *All* the overt acts charged in the complaint were accomplished, according to the general averments, in furtherance of the object of the conspiracy and in purposeful and systematic discrimination against the plaintiff (T.R. 50, 51). Surely it is not a requirement of pleading that in each specification the general averments must be repeated in detail. It is to be noted that in the three sub-paragraphs three separate sets of orders are pleaded: (1) Hodges to Rust; (2) Bingaman; (3) Selby and Orr.

The Form 36 referred to in two of the orders (sub-paragraphs 2 and 5) is not as innocuous as appellees would make it out. The form, which is attached as Exhibit A to the reply brief, is designed to set forth the results of an interrogation and contains many items descriptive of the interrogatee, as: "origin", "yr. born", "dress & ident marks", "occupation", "employer". Of course the form is for police use only! Obviously it was not intended for the use of the person or persons who are halted and questioned. But in order for the form to be completed properly the officer must ask and receive answers to detailed questions. Thus the use of the form pursuant to the orders pleaded would necessarily result in annoyance and embarrassment, to say the least, on the part of those who are stopped and questioned.

It is argued that these specifications are deficient because there is no allegation that the orders were carried out. These orders were issued during the last week of April, 1961. The action was filed on May 3, 1961 (T.R. 7). The

supposition is not unreasonable that the bringing of the suit had a somewhat chastening effect on the defendants and in itself caused a relaxation, even a postponement, of their plans and objectives. As appellees themselves so aptly point out (Reply Brief, p. 17), " * * * there is a very strong presumption * * * that public officers * * * have performed faithfully those matters with which they are charged." 20 Am. Jur. 174, "Evidence", Sec. 170. So, a direct order will, presumably, be carried out. Further, it is submitted that the issuance of orders such as these is in itself an overt act. Suppose that P, a police captain, issues an unlawful order to S, a sergeant, to pick up A and hold him in detention until A's home can be searched without a warrant. A learns of the order. Must he wait to see whether S carries out the order, or may he immediately seek injunctive relief?

Item 4 charges that the defendants Orr and Selby on April 24, 1961 interrogated plaintiff, told him that his presence in Phoenix was undesirable and that the Phoenix Police Department would prefer that he leave Phoenix, and advised him to tell his friends and associates that they were not welcome in Phoenix and that their presence was undesirable. Appellees' comment: "Surely, a sound police procedure". This phrase, in varying form, runs like a refrain through pages 11 to 15 of the reply brief.

Police officers are clothed with the authority and power of the governmental unit which they serve. Their declarations to an American citizen going about his lawful concerns, and not charged with or suspected of any public offense, that he is unwanted in the community, that the police would prefer that he leave, that his friends and associates likewise are unwelcome and unwanted, amount to more than the pleasant and courteous exchange of amenities. They carry force; they are enunciated with the expect-

tation that they will produce the desired result. They are, in a word, "official".

Is it indeed a "sound police procedure" for police officers to undertake to curtail the right of a citizen to move freely within the country, to go where he likes and to settle where he chooses? Surely this goes far beyond the proper function and purpose of peace officers.

"Municipal police power must be exercised so as not to infringe arbitrarily or unnecessarily upon private rights, for the personal rights or liberties of citizens cannot be arbitrarily invaded under the guise of municipal police regulations." 37 Am. Jur. 286, "Municipal Corporations", Sec. 286.

This principle is stated in relation to the legislative authority of cities; but clearly it would apply *a fortiori* to police officers acting on their own initiative.

Appellees assert that Item 6, which alleges the issuance of an order that special investigators or the detective bureau be notified when any out-of-state cars were in the vicinity of the Romulus Restaurant, cannot affect plaintiff since he was only an employee of the restaurant. The plain implication of the order is that the police should maintain a watch over the environs; otherwise it would be meaningless. Where activity of this kind is directed against an employee of a business (as the complaint alleges) its effect on his employment would appear to be direct and obvious, to say nothing of its impact on him directly.

Item 7 charges that defendant Thomas, Police Chief of Phoenix, issued a blanket order to his subordinates concerning the plaintiff. Appellees do not contend that this was "sound police procedure"; but they do say that it could not have any effect upon plaintiff until it was acted upon. Again, it is pointed out that such an order will presumably

be carried out unless it is prevented—as, by the filing of an action seeking injunctive relief. See discussion of this point, *supra*.

This particular order, issued as it was by the head of the Police Department, is further significant in that it shows more than a random series of acts relating to the plaintiff; it is perhaps the very keystone of the conspiracy. It shows that the activities complained of were directed—or at the very least ratified and sanctioned—by the executive officer in charge of the entire police force of Phoenix.

As for Item 8, which charges that on April 26, 1961, the Chief of Police stated that “we of the Police Department are going to do everything in our power to make the plaintiff move elsewhere”, appellees discount the charge on two grounds: (1) that there is no allegation showing to whom the statement was made, and (2) that it is not alleged that plaintiff left or was forced to leave Phoenix. The first objection is one which could easily be obviated by discovery procedures, and does not go to the weight or effect of the allegation. As a matter of fact, this precise point is covered in the defendants’ interrogatories (T.R. 65 (f), (g), (h)). The second point seems to be, in essence, that a pronouncement of this sort has no significance until and unless it accomplishes its objective. It is true that the plaintiff did not leave Phoenix, but it is also true that he promptly sought relief (including injunctive relief) under the Civil Rights Statutes, for the very purpose, among others, of preventing this announced objective from becoming a reality. Can it be seriously contended that a citizen is helpless to do anything in response to such a policy pronouncement emanating from high executive authority, except to wait and see whether it will be carried into effect?

In regard to Item 9, alleging the issuance of an order from the defendant Thomas to the Detective Bureau to

keep the plaintiff under constant surveillance, appellees say (Reply Brief, p. 12): "Certainly a proper order for a chief of police to give in the exercise of his power as chief of police." If this is a sound statement of the law, then we are perilously close to the police state in Arizona. The averments of the complaint negative any possible inference that plaintiff was suspected of any crime, or charged with any public offense, during the period in question. Surveillance of suspected criminals, or surveillance for the purpose of carrying forward an investigation, is undoubtedly a proper exercise of the police power. But surveillance—more than that, "constant surveillance"—for its own sake, or as a means of harrassment and as part of a plan to cause the subject to move elsewhere, is certainly in a different category. When viewed with the many other acts, orders and events set forth in Paragraph VII of the complaint, this particular order falls solidly into the overall plan and objective of the defendants.

Appellees also point out the lack of an allegation that plaintiff was in fact kept under constant surveillance. It is respectfully submitted that, surveillance being the secret process that it is, the plaintiff could hardly be expected to know whether it was maintained around the clock. Surely that would be a matter within the peculiar knowledge of the defendants.

Items 10 and 11 may be considered together. These allege that plaintiff was followed by police on two occasions within a single day, and stopped and questioned on another. These are merely paraphrased in the Reply Brief, with the apparent purpose of belittling the incidents. Again it is pointed out that they fall into the general pattern of pressure and harrassment. The "clearly normal police procedure" comment is made with reference to Item 11. Appellant raises the question whether it is normal or proper or routine

police procedure to stop and interrogate a passenger in a motor vehicle and tell him he must fill out an identification card, in the absence of any indication that a crime has been committed, or that information is required in the course of a bona fide investigation. Police simply do not ordinarily and customarily do these things. That they did so here is strong support of the general charge of conspiracy.

Items 12, 13, 14 and 15 relate to police activities at and about the Romulus Restaurant, chiefly the parking of police vehicles there and the marking down of the license plate numbers of all automobiles parked there at a certain time. The "normal police procedure" tag is not given to these activities in the Reply Brief, so apparently it is conceded that they were at least somewhat "unusual". But, it is said, how was plaintiff affected? The answer is that these activities, according to the complaint, were directed against him, so that he would be bound to be affected in his employment at the restaurant. Not only that, but the swarms of police cars and the marking down of license numbers of patrons of the restaurant would impinge directly upon the plaintiff, causing him at the least humiliation and embarrassment, and presumably placing his employment in jeopardy.

Items 16, 17, 18 and 19 allege that plaintiff was (a) followed by a patrol car on one occasion in the early morning hours and (b) stopped and questioned by police on *six* occasions. The comments of appellees are substantially the same as those made on the other items and need not be answered in detail. But the comment on Item 18 is truly a classic, and invites rejoinder. The allegation is that two police officers, acting under instructions from the defendant Thomas, stopped and questioned the plaintiff at 10:00 A.M., 12:30 P.M., 3:00 P.M., and 6:00 P.M. on April 26, 1961 (within the total space of eight hours). Appellees

say, as to this: "Merely stopping a man and speaking to him on four separate occasions"!! It is submitted that this amounts to watering down the plain allegations to an egregious and unwarranted extent. Certainly the stopping and interrogation of a citizen by the police cannot be equated with "speaking" to him. The plaintiff was not halted in order for police officers to exchange the time of day with him.

Item 20 charges that in the early morning hours of April 27, 1961, two police officers parked in front of plaintiff's apartment and flashed the red light of their patrol car upon the apartment for about an hour. Clearly this was an outrageous use of police power and apparatus. Appellees attempt to excuse the episode on the ground that there is no accompanying allegation that it was done pursuant to order and that it *could* be proper for many reasons—"principally to find out if the plaintiff was home, or in relation to some matter unconnected with this case." If the police did merely wish to find out if plaintiff were at home (in the early morning hours) they chose a strange way indeed to satisfy their curiosity. It would have been a simple matter for them to knock on the apartment door—assuming they had a legitimate reason—or to telephone. As for the absence of an "order" allegation: this item charges an occurrence, and the complaint alleges that it was done pursuant to the encompassing plan and purpose of the defendants, and their conspiracy (T.R. 50, 51).

The last item—Overt Act No. 21—alleges that in the course of three days employees and personnel of the Romulus Restaurant were stopped and questioned about their personal lives and about the plaintiff approximately *twelve times*. The sole comment of appellees is: "An ordinary and essential part of a police investigation." Interrogation is, of course, recognized police procedure. But here

the stopping and questioning were done, not pursuant to any legitimate investigation, but in pursuance of the objective of the defendants to harrass plaintiff and to "persuade" him to move elsewhere. As a matter of fact, the affidavits of defendants which have been added to the record on appeal themselves show that at no time was the plaintiff suspected of any public offense, and that in fact the police had nothing against him personally (T.R. 19—affidavit of Selby and Orr). How then could there have been a legitimate "investigation" which involved the interrogation on so many occasions of individuals connected with plaintiff through his employment?

2. The Acts Charged Against the Defendants in the Complaint Are Not Excused by Reference to the General Powers and Authority of Police Officers, Nor by Reference to Matters Entirely Beyond the Complaint.

Appellees advance three arguments in an attempt to show that the action of the Phoenix Police Department "is completely defensible." (Reply Brief, pp. 17-23): (a) Routine police conduct in conformity with police duty; (b) Routine police duty in relation to the whereabouts of two men "wanted for murder in Tucson" and to alleged friends and associates of plaintiff; (c) The presumption that public officers have properly discharged the duties of their office.

Appellant will consider these points out of order, coming first to (b). Appellees have entirely lost sight of the primary question on this appeal, which is the sufficiency of the first amended complaint. They would justify the dismissal of the complaint for reasons which have nothing to do with this point, and which necessarily involve the consideration of matters which are extraneous thereto. They say, in effect, that the dismissal was proper because the defendants had a good defense to the complaint (as yet unpleaded, and certainly unproved). It would appear to be

well settled in Federal practice that upon a motion to dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim, every material allegation of fact is taken as true. 2 *Moore's Fed. Prac.* 2244, Sec. 12.08. Under Rule 12(b) such a motion under certain conditions may be taken as a motion for summary judgment, but the conditions are not present here. No matters outside the complaint were presented by defendants below in support of their motion, as the motion itself shows (T.R. 58-59). And where this is the case, it would appear that the motion must be confined to the pleading under attack, and extraneous matters may not be brought in to support it on appeal, or to justify a favorable ruling. In any case, even a speaking motion under Rule 12(b)—and there was none here—cannot resolve questions of fact on disputed proof. 2 *Moore's Fed. Prac.* 2257, Sec. 12.09.

The discussion in the Reply Brief (p. 17; see also p. 4) revolving about Charlie Battaglia, Rudy Preffetto, et al., accordingly has no proper place in argument on this appeal. But assuming that, by some kind of legerdemain, the argument is available to appellees, appellant raises the question whether this "defense" is really a defense at all. If all the orders and actions and the bustling activity of the Phoenix Police Department set forth in the complaint were intended (as appellees would have this Court believe) to secure information concerning two men "wanted for murder" and other men who were assertedly friends of the plaintiff, how in the name of simple common sense could this objective be achieved by, for example, shining a police spotlight upon plaintiff's residence, or telling plaintiff that the police wanted him to leave Phoenix, or stopping and interrogating him repeatedly and with monotonous regularity, or announcing that the police were going to do everything possible to get plaintiff to locate elsewhere? It seems

reasonable to say that these and other actions and orders of the police would operate in direct contradiction to the claimed police objective. This may be, in truth, a "comment on the evidence", but it does point up the fact that these are essentially matters of defensive evidence, and outside the proper area of interest on this appeal.

Under (c), appellees quote from 20 Am. Jur. at page 174. The subject is "Evidence", and the quotation does no more than set forth the evidentiary rule that there is a presumption in favor of public officers. In the trial of this case the defendants might be entitled to an instruction along these lines, but plainly the principle has nothing to do with whether or not a justiciable claim is set forth in the complaint here. The same authority declares that:

"The presumption, although one of law, and not of fact, that public officers in the discharge of their duties have observed all formalities, is a rebuttable one which may be overthrown by competent evidence." 20 Am. Jur. 181, "Evidence", Sec. 175.

And the complaint charges, in effect, that the acts complained of were *dehors* the proper exercise of the functions of defendants as police officers, though "under color and pretense of law" (T.R. 50).

The Reply Brief is somewhat unclear to appellant in regard to the development of the argument epitomized as (a), p. 19. It will be assumed that the authorities cited on pages 19 to 23 are intended to relate to this point.

Appellees cite and quote extensively from the opinion of Judge Kaufman in *United States v. Bonanno, et al* (DC NY, 1959), 180 F. Supp. 71. This, it is interesting to note, is not the principal opinion of Judge Kaufman in the famous *Apalachin Case* (for this, see 177 F. Supp. 106), but rather is a separate opinion filed by him in support of

his rulings on various motions to suppress evidence. The judgment was reversed in *United States v. Buffalino, et al*, (2 CA, 1960), 285 F.2d 408. The Court of Appeals did not rule directly on the opinion of Judge Kaufman in 180 F. Supp., but Justice Clark, in his concurring opinion makes this comment thereon in Footnote 3:

"* * * So the court's ruling supporting admissibility in *United States v. Bonanno*, D.C.S. D.N.Y., 180 F. Supp. 71, would seem at variance with *Henry v. United States*, 361 U.S. 98, 80 S. Ct. 168, 4 L. Ed. 2d 134, and the rationale there set forth * * *" (285 F. 2d at 420)

Hence the authority of Judge Kaufman's opinion, which is so extensively relied upon by appellees here, would appear to be subject to at least some doubt.

Be that as it may, the opinion itself sets forth the following limitation on the power to stop and interrogate motorists:

"On the other hand, it seems equally clear that *the essential freedom of the individual demands that police not be permitted to stop every car that passes with no other justification than mere inclination or the desire to harass with no legitimate end in view*. In my preliminary opinion on these motions I alluded to the requisites for a legal stoppage for investigation, which I found were met in this case. I stated these requirements to be (1) belief by the officer involved that a crime might have been committed; (2) reasonable grounds for such a belief and (3) absolute necessity for immediate investigatory activity." (180 F. Supp. at 80; emphasis supplied.)

In the case a bar *none* of these prerequisites, as stated by Judge Kaufman, is found. Appellees would presumably take the position that numerous stoppages, interrogations, reports and the like which are pleaded were justified be-

cause the police officers may have thought, and had reason to think, that a crime had been committed and that immediate investigation was imperative. The only "crime" which it is even suggested that the plaintiff might be connected with was the offense of having "wicked" associates and being the son of Joseph Bonanno. It is submitted that appellees are stretching the *Kaufman* rule entirely beyond the limitations placed on the rule by Judge Kaufman himself.

Busby v. United States (9 CA, 1961), 296 F.2d 328, is also cited by appellees in this contest. In that case, a crime (robbery) had been committed, and the police officers arrested and searched defendants after discovering a sawed-off shotgun in their vehicle. The distinction on the facts from the instant case is so marked as not to require elaboration.

In summation on this subject, appellant wishes to point out that he did not complain in his first amended complaint merely of a detention and questioning on one or two occasions, but of a veritable barrage of orders and actions emanating from the Phoenix police. Their total and combined effect will be weighed and evaluated by this court. This is not a case where a defendant in a criminal action complains of evidence adduced against him as the result of an unlawful search and seizure; but rather a case brought under the Civil Rights Statutes in which the plaintiff charges conspiracy and a general plan and purpose of the defendants, acting under color of their authority of city police, to interfere with his rights; and alleges a multitude of acts and orders emanating from that conspiracy, without any legitimate police objective or function being thereby subserved.

3. The Police Power, However Defined, Does Not Extend to the Right to Harass and Interfere With the Rights of an Individual Beyond the Recognized, Legitimate Purposes of Police Activity.

Appellant has no quarrel with the principles or authorities cited on pages 23-27 of the reply brief. He does, however, question the accuracy of the statement: "Certainly the Phoenix police were fair in their exercise of power."

It is apparently the position of appellees that police are free to employ any police device, to use any method, to stop, detain, question, follow, assemble and mass police vehicles, shine lights in the dead of night on a private residence, and so on, to their hearts' content—so long as they stop short of brutality. Appellees say (Reply Brief, p. 26):

"For reasons of its own, the Police Department of the City of Phoenix followed a police pattern which the Court knows as a matter of common knowledge is a sound police practice." (emphasis supplied.)

Is it the law that a police department "for reasons of its own" may do what it likes as against a citizen? Appellant had supposed that a police department, like any other agency of government, must operate within the law, and not according to its whim or caprice.

Is it indeed "sound police practice" for the police of a city to do the things which are charged against the defendants here (always remembering that plaintiff was not charged with or suspected of the commission of any crime)? If the police—to refer to one assertion alone of the complaint—may stop and interrogate a motorist on the public streets ~~se~~ times in the space of eight hours, without any purpose except to subject him to pressure and annoyance, why cannot they do the same thing twenty times, or fifty, or a hundred?

four
or

The right of a citizen to travel unimpeded on the highways and streets of the nation, absent some recognized legal reason for stopping and questioning him, is well established. *Wirin v. Horral* (DCA Cal, 1948), 85 C.A. 2d 497, 193 P 2d 470, was a case in which plaintiff brought suit to enjoin the expenditure of public funds in Los Angeles for police blockades. The appellate court, in reversing a judgment of dismissal on demurrer, said this:

"Persons lawfully within the United States of America are entitled to use the public highways and have a right of free passage thereon without interruption or search, unless a public officer authorized to search knows of probable cause for believing that the vehicle is carrying contraband or that the occupants thereof have violated some law." (p. 472)

4. The First Amended Complaint Meets the Tests Laid Down by This Court in *Hoffman v. Halden*.

Appellees devote pages 27 to 32 of their Reply Brief to an attempt to show that the cases cited in the Opening Brief are not in point. These cases were presented by Appellant in support of various propositions of law, and not with the suggestion that any one of them is "on all fours" with the case at bar on the precise facts alleged in the complaint.

Hoffman v. Halden, (9 CA, 1959), 268 F.2d 280, and *Cohen v. Cahill* (9 CA, 1960) ²⁸¹ ~~21~~ ⁸⁷⁹ F.2d 780 are more closely in point than any other cases, appellant believes. These are covered in some detail in the Opening Brief (pp. 6, 10), and this Court, of course, is thoroughly familiar with them. In *Hoffman*, the overt acts followed a different pattern and were less subtle, perhaps, than those charged in the case at bar; but it may be pointed out that here the acts charged show clearly an involvement of practically the

entire Phoenix police force, from the top down, whereas in the *Hoffman* case the complaint was directed only against the superintendent of the Oregon state mental hospital, two members of the public health service of an Oregon county, and a private physician. So the scope of the alleged conspiracy and deprivation of plaintiff's rights in the instant case is in this respect far greater than it was in the *Hoffman* case.

In *Cohen*, the overt acts charged apparently covered a period of less than a day. One of these was that the plaintiff there was "ordered to get out of town"; another was that he was questioned against his will over a period of four or five hours. The complaint in the instant case charges acts which are quite similar to these, as well, of course, as many more.

It is not contended that what happened to the appellant in Phoenix is precisely what happened to Cohen in San Francisco or to Hoffman in Oregon. Such an identity of detail would indeed be a rare occurrence in the law. It is contended that the *Hoffman* and *Cohen* cases are sound authority and precedent for appellant's position here, and for the proposition that his first amended complaint states a sound claim for relief under the Civil Rights Statutes.

III.

STATEMENT RELATING TO THE RIGHT TO AMEND

It is felt that the argument on this point was adequately presented in the Opening Brief. Consequently, appellant will not burden the Court with an additional dissertation on the subject.

CONCLUSION

The allegations in the Amended Complaint remind one of the activities of the State in George Orwell's book "1984". The decision here can be far reaching: If the judgment of the lower Court is upheld, it is conceivable and not improbable that, for example, the "segregationists" can achieve their wrongful objectives by the same methods the appellees have used in their attempt to "run Bonanno out of town". The decision may well have an effect on race, color, religion, the selection of political officers, and in other sociological areas. It opens the way for Government under Big Brother.

It is, therefore, respectfully submitted that this Court should remand the case for a trial on its merits.

Respectfully submitted,

DOMINIC H. FRINZI
152 West Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

PAUL H. PRIMOCK
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

W. T. ELSING
FRANCIS R. CRABLE
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

By F. R. CRABLE
Attorneys for Appellant.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMANCE

I hereby certify that I have examined the provisions of Rules 18 and 19 of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and that in my opinion the above-tendered brief conforms to all of the requirements of said Rules 18 and 19.

By F. R. CRABLE

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

9/6/62

Director, FBI (92-4494)

JUNE

1 - J. D. Donohue,
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
AR


ReBulet 4/24/62.

In view of the length of time that has elapsed since you were authorized to conduct surveys to determine feasibility of misur installations at locations where the subject has resided, 2143 North Third Avenue, Phoenix; 3157 East Monterosa, Phoenix; 4101 McDonald Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona; and 4633 Purdue Drive, Scottsdale, Arizona, without any surveys being conducted, your authority is hereby rescinded. If you desire to conduct surveys at any of these locations in the future, submit appropriate recommendations.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by Phoenix in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the most prominent underworld figures in the United States and a member of the "commission." Phoenix is presently utilizing misur coverage on the subject through his place of business in Phoenix. Phoenix's authority is rescinded to conduct surveys to determine feasibility of misur coverage at the above locations.

JEK:asg
(5)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Gallahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____



REC-3

SEP 6 1962
JFK

SEP 13 1962

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

F B I

Date: 9/7/62

PLAIN TEXT

Transmit the following in

AIRTEL

(Type in plain text or code)

AIRMAIL

Via

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised subject instructed [redacted] to tell Telephone Company that JACK RUTHERFORD is employed at Allied Food Distributors, Incorporated.

(It is noted that this is name under which subject obtained telephone service at his home.)

2. Advised subject talked with Phoenix attorney [redacted] and Tucson attorney [redacted] concerning attempts by Internal Revenue Service to serve him with a subpoena.

- 3 - Bureau
1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Info)
1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info)
2 - Phoenix
 (1 - 92-172D)
 (1 - 92-28)

RWR:JMK

(7) C. C. Wick

REC-35

4 SEP 11 1962

Approved:

Sent

M

Per

Special Agent in Charge

F11
SEP 12 1962

PX 92-172D

3. Advised that subject talked with TONY SCRIVANO about losing the Las Vegas deal.

(Refers to previous talk about purchase of El Cortez Hotel in Las Vegas.)

4. Advised subject received long distance telephone call from father JOE BONANNO who used name of "Peter". Talk was all in Sicilian.

5. Advised subject talked with Tucson attorney [redacted] regarding subpoenas being received by TONY SCRIVANO from Internal Revenue Service.

b6
b7C

6. Advised that subject was taken to Scottsdale City Hospital on 9/5/62, possibly suffering from a heart attack.

7. Advised that [redacted] from Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, was at office of Allied Food Importers, Incorporated, in Phoenix on 9/5/62, talking with [redacted]. While there, they received a long distance phone call from JOE BONANNO, and talked with him in Sicilian.

F B I

Date: 9/14/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

AIRTEL

(Type in plain text or code)
AIRMAILVia _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, the informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that subject is still in the hospital and will probably be there for another week. Also stated subject probably would not be back to work for "some time."

2. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, Jr. is not attending school as he should be, and that his car broke down recently at Florence, Arizona. Stated that JOSEPH BONANNO, Sr. is "burned up", because of his son's actions.

3. Advised that Allied Food Distributors, Incorporated, is having difficulty in meeting its financial obligations, and

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-172D)
(1 - 92-28)

REC-20

92-4494-1216

12 SEP 18 1962

RWR:JMK
(5) C. C. Wick

101-13

9- JF

57 SEP 19 1962

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

PX 92-172D

that JOSEPH BONANNO, Sr. visited the office in Phoenix for a lengthy period of time discussing the operations of the business with [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 9/17/62

FROM :

SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) (P)

SUBJECT:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING

(OO: Phoenix)

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco Letter to the Director, dated
8/14/62.

On 9/12/62 [redacted] Deputy Clerk of the Ninth
Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, advised SA [redacted]
[redacted] that this matter was still under submission to the
court.

b6
b7C

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow
this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)
2 - San Francisco
(1 - 66-3705-143)
DVE/cs
(6)

REC-31

12 SEP 19 1962

54 SEP 24 1962

F B I

Date: 9/21/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that JOE BONANNO wants bills to back up the money being spent at Allied Food Distributors, Inc.

2. Advised that [redacted] subject's paramour, was visiting at 230 W. Hardy Road, Tucson, Arizona. (This information furnished to Valley National Bank, and resulted in repossession of [redacted] car.)

3. Advised that [redacted] Tucson Attorney, was at office of Allied Food Distributors, and talked by phone with subject, informing subject that ANTHONY SCRIVANO failed to appear at a scheduled hearing at Internal Revenue Service in Phoenix.

4. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO telephoned the office of Allied Food Distributors several times, conversation being mainly in Italian, but some of inquiries concerning operation of the business.

5. Advised that JOE BASKIN talked with Phoenix attorney [redacted] about death of TONY SCRIVANO.

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)
(1 - 92-28)

RWR/skt
(5)

REC-51

EX 113

27 SEP

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

38 SEP 26 1962

b2
b6
b7Cb6
b7C

PX 92-172D

6. Advised that [REDACTED] (Tucson accountant), was in contact with Allied Food Distributors and indicated he intended to visit the office on 9/19/62.

b6
b7C

7. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO was at the office of Allied Food Distributors on 9/18/62, discussing condition of books and records with [REDACTED]

8. Advised that subject returned to his home, from the hospital, but has not yet returned to work.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 9/27/62	Investigative Period 7/23 - 9/24/62
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: jg
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

Synopsis:

REFERENCE:

Report of SA ROY W. REGER, dated 8/6/62 at Phoenix.

- P -

ENCLOSURES:TO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

LEADS:HOUSTON DIVISIONAT HOUSTON, TEXASWill attempt to identify and interview (FNU) [redacted]
Room 907, Gamco, Inc., concerning his knowledge and associationb6
b7C

Approved <i>[Signature]</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: ③ Bureau (92-4494) (Enc. 2) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Houston (92-230) 1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Info) 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 2 - New York (92-1586) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		92 - 4494 - 129	REC- 52
		OCT 1 1962	

Property of FBI — This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

58 OCT 9 1962

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

with subject and subject's wife. (This lead previously set out by airtel).

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Will identify subscriber to telephone number BE 2-2739.

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

Will identify subscriber to telephone number HI 3-2210, and attempt to identify "Uncle Frank". (This lead set out by airtel dated 8/9/62).

LAS VEGAS, LOS ANGELES, MILWAUKEE AND SAN FRANCISCO: (INFORMATION)

Information copies are being furnished these offices in view of subject's contacts and associates in these divisions.

INFORMANTS:

PX T13 is

PX T28 is

PX T35 is

PX T36 is

PX T37 is

PX T38 is

PX T39 is

PX T40 is

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Trash cover on subject's home has been unproductive during the period of this report.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 9/27/62

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

BUFILE: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject continues to reside at Phoenix, Arizona. Name of company where subject employed changed from San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and warehouse moved to 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona. Company reported to be in poor financial shape; \$27,000.00 short in accounts; and having difficulty paying bills and meeting payroll. Subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., presently spending considerable time at office of Allied attempting to straighten out accounts, and reportedly disgusted with subject's operation of business. Subject taken to hospital 9/4/62 with mild heart attack and returned home on 9/14/62, but still has not returned to work. ANTHONY SCRIVANO, close associate of subject, found dead at Hotel in Tempe, Arizona on 9/14/62. Autopsy revealed death due to barbituric acid poisoning.

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	3
II. EMPLOYMENT	
A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.	5
B. OTHER BUSINESS VENTURES	8
III. TRAVEL	9
IV. ASSOCIATES AND RELATIVES	
A. [REDACTED]	12
B. JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.	21
C. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.	22
D. [REDACTED]	23
E. JOHN PROFACI	24
F. "UNCLE FRANK"	25
G. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA	26
H. ANTHONY SCRIVANO	27
I. [REDACTED]	30
J. [REDACTED]	31
K. [REDACTED]	32
L. [REDACTED]	33
M. [REDACTED]	34
N. [REDACTED]	35
O. [REDACTED]	36
V. MISCELLANEOUS	37
VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT	38

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

DETAILS:

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On July 26, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who is presently residing with subject, has been causing commotion in the neighborhood with his teenage friends, by "Hot-rodding" their cars, setting off firecrackers, and being boisterous in general. She said she intends to complain to the Phoenix Police Department if this activity continues.

On August 30, 1962, PX T36 advised that on August 8, 1962, the business office of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, received a telephone call from a woman who identified herself as Mrs. [redacted]

[redacted] Phoenix. This woman indicated that she had previous telephone service at this address and wanted this service renewed. She said her husband, [redacted] was recently employed as a salesman for Allied Food Importers, 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, and requested that the bill for service be sent to this address. She furnished the name of [redacted] as a reference. Informant advised that service was installed at this address, with telephone number 279-0210.

On August 30, 1962, [redacted] Wellton, Arizona, owner of the home at [redacted] advised that neither he nor his wife had requested telephone service at this address, nor had they authorized any other person to request this service in their name.

On August 30, 1962, Assistant Chief of Police, [redacted] and Chief of Detectives [redacted] Phoenix Police Department, were advised of the above information, and stated the matter would be investigated by their department as a possible violation of the Arizona Impersonation Statute.

On September 14, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject has been gone from his home for two or three weeks, but returned to his home about 10:30 A.M. this date. She said he was driving his Falcon, and that two other cars, with Arizona license CLT 798 and DER 392 were parked in front of his house. She

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

said that when subject saw these cars, he drove past his house and around the block, before driving in his driveway.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, revealed the following license registration:

CLT 798 -
Phoenix; 1959 Chevrolet Station Wagon.

DER 392 - Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix; 1962 Chevrolet Sports Coupe, lien by Fletcher Jones Chevrolet, Phoenix, in amount of \$3,724.56. Application signed by J. L. BASKIN, Secretary.

On September 24, 1962, PX T36 advised that the following toll calls have been charged to subject's telephone, number 279-0210:

8/9/62 - Brooklyn, N. Y., BE 2-2739

8/20/62 - Tucson, Arizona, MA 4-0337 (telephone of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, 1938 W. Lester, Tucson.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

II. EMPLOYMENT

A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

PX T30 advised that on August 9, 1962, the office at San Nic Importing Co., Inc., 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, was discontinued; the name of the company changed to Allied Food Distributors, Inc.; and the office moved to a warehouse, located at 319 W. Jackson. Informant stated that the landlord at the old warehouse placed a lien on the goods left at the warehouse for back rent due. Informant further advised that the company was in poor financial condition, and was having difficulty paying their bills, and meeting their payroll.

PX T30 and PX T37 advised that the following persons are presently employed at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, (No official position,
but considered to be manager)

[REDACTED], President

JOSEPH L. BASKIN, Secretary-Treasurer

[REDACTED], Salesman

[REDACTED], Salesman

[REDACTED], Truck Driver

[REDACTED], Warehouse Manager

[REDACTED], Bookkeeper

PX T37 informed that [REDACTED] left employment with this company during the month of September to go to work at a local bar.

PX T30 advised that the company intends to hire another girl to work at the office, to assist with the bookkeeping.

PX 92-172

RWR/jg

PX T37 advised that [redacted], relatives of [redacted] are believed to have financed the new building at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and have been pressing subject for repayment of their money. Subject reportedly told them to "Go to Hell", and they are considering contacting JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. for their money.

b6
b7C

Informant said that subject allegedly put \$2,800.00 into the business several weeks ago, and owes [redacted] mother approximately \$2,600.00 which she advanced for the business. Subject reportedly also told her to "Go to Hell", when she attempted to secure return of her money.

Informant advised that subject planned a trip to San Francisco, California, during the latter part of August, 1962 to see his father for financial assistance, inasmuch as he claimed that he needed an additional \$10,000.00 to put into the business.

Informant said that in September, 1962, Allied Food Distributors, Inc. was short about \$22,000.00 in their accounts and JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. was extremely mad about the financial condition. He reportedly said that he was tired of financing "Flops" for his son, and this business had better be a going venture.

Informant said that Allied had "beat" the Arctic Storage Company out of a large bill, and were further having difficulty meeting their payroll, and in paying other bills.

PX T30 advised that about the middle of September, 1962, JOSEPH BASKIN said that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. had estimated a total loss in the business at about \$27,000.00; that he was demanding bills to back up the money spent; and that he intended to secure an auditor to check the books.

On September 4, 1962, [redacted], Director of Incorporating, Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Arizona advised from records that on July 27, 1962, [redacted] filed an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of San-Nic Importing Co., Inc. This amendment indicated that a meeting of the stockholders was held on July 24, 1962 at 515 Arizona Bank Building, and the name of this company was changed to Allied Food Distributors, Inc. The amendment indicated that [redacted] is President, and J. L. BASKIN is Secretary-Treasurer

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

of this company. The amendment was notarized by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On August 31, 1962, a powder-blue 1962 Chevrolet Impala was observed at the home of subject, bearing Drive-Out Sticker No. 48-610T.

On August 31, 1962, [REDACTED] Drive-Out Sticker Section, Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that Drive-Out Sticker No. 48-610T was issued to Allied Foods, 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1962 Chevrolet Sport Coupe Impala, ID #21847 L 228648, with the papers signed by J. L. BASKIN. Records indicate the car was purchased from Fletcher Jones Chevrolet, and no payment has yet been made.

b6
b7C

On September 5, 1962, Mrs. [REDACTED], Motor Vehicle Department, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that they had received a request for change in registration of a 1960 Pontiac, Arizona license CGY 831, from San-Nic Importing Company, to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix; JOSEPH BASKIN, Secretary-Treasurer.

On September 19, 1962, [REDACTED] Credit Manager, Fletcher Jones Chevrolet, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that his company sold a 1962 Chevrolet to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., on August 28, 1962, with J. L. BASKIN signing the contract for the company. He said that financing of the car will be handled by Fletcher Jones, and that the unpaid balance is \$3,724.56, with payments at \$103.56 per month, due on the 12th of the month.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

B. OTHER BUSINESS VENTURES

PX T30 advised that in August, 1962, subject, TONY SCRIVANO, and [] were discussing the possibility of obtaining a 25-year lease on the El Cortez Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada. Informant subsequently advised that this deal was "off", due to the large amount of money wanted.

PX T30 advised that on August 20, 1962, TONY SCRIVANO talked with (FNU) [] (phonetic) of Tucson, Arizona, concerning the possible sale of subject's former home on Cresta Loma Drive, in Tucson, to [] (LNU). SCRIVANO indicated that he intended to discuss this matter further with [] [] Tucson, telephone EA 5-1505. b6 b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

III. TRAVEL

Records of the Travelodge Motel, Ontario, California, revealed that BILL BONANNO checked into the motel on June 2, 1962 and left on June 4, 1962. He was driving a 1960 Pontiac with Arizona License LGY 931, and occupied Room 201. He indicated that he represented San-Nee Corporation, and reservations were made by Ontario Cheese Company.

Records of Bonanza Airlines, Ontario, California, revealed that BILL BONANNO departed Ontario for Phoenix at 10:13 A.M., June 4, 1962.

PX T30 advised that subject contacted his Phoenix office on July 23, 1962, at which time he was believed to be in California. Informant said that subject was also in California on August 1, 1962 and intended to visit the California Cheese Company on August 2, 1962.

Informant advised that subject was again out of town on August 27, 1962, and returned to Phoenix on August 28, 1962.

PX T39 advised that subject arrived at the [redacted] residence in San Mateo, California, the latter part of July, 1962, in a car bearing Arizona license DCU 586.

The following information was received by communication from the San Francisco Division, September 4, 1962:

[redacted] Station Manager, Avis Rent-A-Car, San Francisco International Airport, on August 13, 1962, advised that the car with Arizona license DCU 586 was rented to SALVATORE V. BONANNO, 1847 East Elm Street, Tucson, Arizona, from 6:15 P.M. on July 31, 1962 to 2:25 P.M. on August 4, 1962. [redacted] stated BONANNO had stated he was then staying at the Jack Tar Hotel, San Francisco, and he had displayed Avia Credit Card 060263.

[redacted] Resident Manager, Jack Tar Hotel, San Francisco, on August 24, 1962, advised the hotel records

b6
b7c

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

list a W. BONANNO, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, registered as a guest from July 31, 1962 to August 2, 1962. According to [redacted], BONANNO listed his car license as DCU 586. [redacted] also stated that BONANNO made one long distance telephone call and that was to FI 5-5144, San Mateo, California on July 31, 1962.

San Mateo telephone directory for San Mateo lists FI 5-5144 to [redacted] 1029 Annapolis Drive, San Mateo. It is noted that [redacted] is subject's brother-in-law.

b6
b7C

PX T28 advised that during the week of July 20-27, 1962, [redacted] leader of the Italian-Sicilian Organization (ISO) in the San Jose, California area, had advised that sometime the previous week, subject had accompanied ANGELO MARINO, a member of ISO in San Jose area, to the Santa Cruz, California area to obtain a house in that area. (This is believed to concern a house at Aptos, California, which JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. rented for two weeks in August 1962.)

PX T28 stated that on August 2, 1962, ANGELO MARINO said that he had dinner with subject on that date at the Paolos Restaurant, San Jose, California.

PX T40 advised that [redacted] a member of ISO, San Jose, California, stated that he had received a telephone call from subject on August 3, 1962, and as a result of this call, [redacted] made reservation at the Hvatt House, Millbrae, California, under the name of [redacted]. (It is noted that [redacted] is an attorney from Washington, D. C. who is handling [redacted] case in connection with an INS deportation hearing.)

b6
b7C

On August 31, 1962, [redacted] Avis Rent-A-Car, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject rented a car from them at 8:20 A.M., August 4, 1962, using an Avis Credit Card, and signing his name as SALVATORE BONANNO. This car was returned at 5:00 P.M., August 27, 1962 by three Italian appearing persons, identity unknown, having been driven a total of 1,309 miles. [redacted] said that prior to the return of the car, subject telephoned Avis and wanted to extend the period of rental for 2 or 3 months, but that he turned down subject. [redacted] said he saw subject at the Sky Harbor Airport on August 28, 1962.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

PX T35 advised that subject visited his sister, [REDACTED], at San Mateo, California, on August 3 and August 4, 1962, during which time he also made a trip to San Jose, California. Informant also stated that subject, accompanied by four other unknown individuals, went to the Top of the Mark, Fairmont Hotel, and various other places in San Francisco during this visit.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

Calif

IV. ASSOCIATES

A. RE: [redacted] aka [redacted]

PX T30 advised that subject has been in frequent contact with [redacted], both by phone and in person. Informant said that subject instructed [redacted] never to leave her name at his office when she calls him. Informant also advised that during August, [redacted] ruptured her spleen and was forced to see a doctor. Informant advised that [redacted] intended to move from her rented house on Third Avenue, to an apartment in Phoenix. [redacted] *Phoenix Hill*

b6
b7C

PX T37 advised that sometime within the past two months, subject beat up on [redacted], and injured her so badly that he had to take her to see Dr. [redacted] at Scottsdale. Informant further advised that [redacted] is considering a possible trip to Germany during the latter part of September, 1962.

Date April 26, 1962

[redacted] was interviewed at her residence [redacted], Los Angeles, and advised that she had met BILL BONANNO through [redacted]. [redacted] stated that she met [redacted] as a customer in her beauty parlor in Tucson and that her introduction to BONANNO occurred at the Romulus Restaurant; however, her association with BONANNO was no more than a casual one and that she knew very little of his activities. Miss [redacted] stated that she was very interested in [redacted] welfare and immediately had taken a liking to her and had endeavored to have her discontinue her association with BONANNO due to the fact that BONANNO was married and could not provide a suitable home for [redacted] and her children.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted] called her in December, 1961, stating that she would like to come visit her and have her baby in Los Angeles and that [redacted] actually did reside with her as a guest at property which she, [redacted] was renting on Ranchito Avenue in Van Nuys, California. [redacted] stayed for approximately 2½ to 3 weeks at this residence, and while BONANNO visited [redacted] on two occasions, she actually never did see him. [redacted] stated that [redacted] following an unexpected visit from BONANNO in January, left with BONANNO without notice to return to Arizona and that [redacted] left a note for [redacted], apologizing for her sudden departure.

[redacted] stated that she has not heard from [redacted] and does not know where she is residing but did learn through her daughter, [redacted] of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, that [redacted] gave birth to a baby boy on January 22, 1962 in St. Joseph's Hospital in Phoenix.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that JOE BASKIN and one of his sons had been in the Los Angeles area during December, 1961 and early January, 1962, apparently having been sent here by BILL BONANNO to look out for [redacted] [redacted] described

b6
b7C

On 4/18/62 at Los Angeles, California File # PX 92-172
LA 92-685

by SA [redacted] and [redacted] /sgt/jg Date dictated 4/23/62

2
PX 92-172
LA 92-685

BASKIN as a very sick old man who acts as a flunky for BILL BONANNO. [] stated that BASKIN and his son stayed at a Travelodge Motel on Ventura Boulevard while in Los Angeles.

[] stated that BONANNO, based upon her conversations with [], did not appear to have much money and actually had never assisted her in supporting [] while [] was a guest at her property. [] stated that she had no information as to the source of BONANNO's money but presumed that it could come from his father, JOE BONANNO, whom she had met on one occasion at the Romulus Restaurant but knew nothing about personally.

[] stated that she did not know the current residence of [] in Phoenix, but she suggested that possibly her daughter would have this information.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On July 26, 1962, [] Installment Loans, Valley National Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that [] is past due on her car payment since July 2nd, and that he has been unable to collect this payment from JOE BASKIN, as usual, due to BASKIN's hospitalization. He said that it might be necessary to again repossess this car in the immediate future.

On September 19, 1962, [] Installment Loans, Valley National Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that on the night of September 18, 1962, he and another bank employee went to a residence located at 230 Hardy Road, West, Tucson, Arizona where they repossessed the car belonging to []. They said that [] was extremely angry and profane, but they informed her that she would not be permitted to recover the car unless the entire balance is paid in full. [] stated that the car was driven back to Phoenix, and that [] indicated that someone would probably come to see them to get the car back.

On September 19, 1962, PX T37 advised that [] contacted subject by phone in Phoenix, probably to secure money to pay for her car.

On July 31, 1962, PX T36 advised that the following long distance calls have been charged to 254-7845, which is the phone at the house occupied by []:

- 6/24/62 - Los Angeles, DU 3-2354
- 6/23/62 - San Manuel, Arizona, DU 5-4475
- 6/25/62 - Tucson, Arizona, AX 6-2885
- 7/2/62 - Tucson, Arizona, AX 6-2885

On August 31, 1962, PX T36 advised that the following long distance calls have been charged to 254-7845:

- 7/23/62 - Collect from Ontario, California, no name or number
- 7/29/62 - To Long Beach, California, GE 4-1863
- 7/31/62 - Collect from San Francisco, California, []

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

8/1/62 - Collect from San Francisco, California,
[redacted]

8/2/62 - Collect from San Jose, California,
Mrs. [redacted]

8/4/62 - Collect from San Francisco, California
BILL.

On July 31, 1962, SA JOHN B. DUFFY advised that a car with Arizona license CFW 221 was observed at the home of [redacted] b6

[redacted] This license was issued to [redacted] b7C
[redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, for a 1962 Chevrolet.

On August 1, 1962, PX T33 advised that cars with the following license numbers were observed at the home of [redacted]

Arizona license DED 002 (issued to [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1962
Falcon Station Wagon.)

Arizona license CJS 010 (issued to [redacted]
1117 W. Solano Drive, Phoenix, Arizona, for a
1961 Falcon.)

On August 13, 1962, SA [redacted] advised that a car with Arizona license CSP 164 was observed at the home of [redacted] This license was issued to Avis Rent-A-Car System, 1244 S. 24th Street, Phoenix, for a 1962 Chevrolet, and is the car which was rented by subject.

On July 23, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] landlord of the property located at 2124 N. 3rd Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, where [redacted] resides, advised the Los Angeles office that she had requested her attorney, [redacted] to take necessary steps to terminate subject's lease on this property for non-payment of rent. b6 b7C

On July 26, 1962, [redacted] Attorney, 115 N. 2nd Avenue, Phoenix, advised that he had just received the request from [redacted] to start eviction proceedings, and intends to commence these proceedings in the near future.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On August 27, 1962, Mrs. [] advised that [] has been evicted from [] property, and has moved to Country Club Apartments, 3030 N. 7th Avenue, Phoenix, where she is renting an apartment under the name of []. Mrs. [] stated that her home was severely damaged by [] while she was a tenant, and that several small articles were missing. She said that on August 26, 1962, she telephoned [] and requested her to return the missing articles, including a nylon rug. [] stated that she would look around for them. While she was talking with [] BILL BONANNO came to the phone and told Mrs. [] to quit bothering [] using vulgar and profane language. Mrs. [] stated that she intends to discuss the damage to her house, and the missing property, with the Phoenix Police Department and her attorney, with a view of bringing legal action against [] and subject. Mrs. [] said that she is moving from California to her sister's home in Chicago in the near future, but will advise of any future developments in this matter.

b6
b7C

On August 29, 1962, [] Phoenix Police Department, advised that Mrs. [] had made a complaint to the Phoenix Police Department concerning articles stolen from her home, and had also contacted an attorney, Mr. [] concerning the damage done to the house by [] and subject. [] said he is investigating the matter.

On August 30, 1962, [] advised that subject's attorney, [] had contacted [] on August 29, 1962, and said subject felt no responsibility for the damage to the house, or the losses, but had offered to pay Mrs. [] \$500.00 if she would sign a release agreeing not to press any charges against subject. [] said that Mrs. [] accepted this payment, and signed the release. In view of this fact, the minor nature of the missing articles, and the impossibility of connecting subject with the thefts, [] said his investigation was being discontinued.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On August 30, 1962, [redacted] Manager, Country Club Apartments, 3030 N. 7th Street, Phoenix, Arizona, telephone AM 6-2443, advised from records that Mrs. [redacted] registered for Apartment 19, on August 24, 1962. She indicated her address as 3942 Compton Ave., Long Beach, California and her car as a 1962 Falcon, Arizona license CRC 665. She paid \$150.00 in advance for the first month's rent, and the registration card indicated a party of five. [redacted] said that [redacted] is identical with [redacted] aka [redacted], who is a former guest at this apartment. He said that the only telephone service at this apartment is through the apartment switchboard.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

RWR/jg

On September 17, 1962, Lt. [redacted] (NA), Tucson, Arizona Police Department, advised that Sgt. [redacted] of that department had submitted the following report concerning a recent interview with [redacted]

"On Saturday, 15 September 1962, at approx. 1330 hrs., I met Attornies [redacted] and [redacted] by the PHOENIX TITLE & TRUST BUILDING. They were accompanied by a young lady who was introduced to me as [redacted]. They invited me to lunch with them in the PRESS CLUB. I recognized the name, [redacted] as being the known girlfriend of one BILL BONANNO, associated also with BATS BATAGLIA, JOE BONANNO, and others of this catagory. We went to the PRESS CLUB for lunch and during the conversation while eating lunch, MR. [redacted] had stated that he had a dental appointment and would have to leave after the lunch and as MISS [redacted] and myself had been engaged in a friendly conversation and appeared to get along well, Mr. [redacted] asked if I would take care of her during his absence. MR. [redacted] and MR. [redacted] left. MISS [redacted] asked if I was married and if I had any children, the answer being affirmative, and I showed her pictures in my wallet of my daughter. At the time I was introduced to MISS [redacted] by MR. [redacted] I was introduced as a police officer in the city of Tucson and therefore she is aware of my official capacity. MISS [redacted] had a desire for a mixed drink. I ordered us both Scotch and water and she began telling me of her origin in Germany, meeting an American GI in that country, marrying him and coming to the United States. She asked if I had ever been in Europe. I stated that I had made the invasion of NORMANDY, FRANCE, and being in various cities in Europe, this gave us a common grounds for discussion. She told me that after she had married an American service man and come to this country, she inferred that she came directly from Europe to MAMMOTH, ARIZONA, where she and her husband resided. She stated that her husband treated her very cruelly that he would strip her and tie her with rope to a bedstead and beat her, that she divorced her husband, that my being a police officer that she knew I was aware of the fact that she was BILL BONANNO's girlfriend. She stated that she had borne a child by BILL BONANNO. However, due to the fact that Mr. BONANNO comes from a Catholic family, JOE BONANNO, who is BILL BONANNO's father, would not allow BILL BONANNO to

b6
b7C

obtain a divorce for the purpose of marrying []
[] I do not recall the exact text of our conversation but we talked for approximately an hour. During this time, in relating the hardships that she had gone through and her love for BILL BONANNO and the futility of her relationship with BILL BONANNO so long as his father lived, she both figuratively and literally cried on my shoulder. She for some reason or other felt drawn to me even though this was our first meeting. By this I mean that she expressed the fact that she felt she could confide in me. I gave her a departmental calling card with my name on it. I told her that I would see her to her car, that she should go home; however that if she felt in any time in the future that she would like to talk to me or bring her troubles to me, she should feel free to do so. She expressed an earnest desire, or what appeared to be an earnest desire, to accept this offer.

b6
b7C

"Miss [] appeared to be a young and possibly naive girl who has had a life of hardship and hard knocks and it is quite possible that BILL BONANNO is the first person with whom she has come in contact that has treated her decently, with probably what appears to her as sincere love or affection. I am fully aware of the reputation of MR. BONANNO and his associates and feel that MISS [] might be used as a pawn to accomplish the desires of MR. BONANNO or his associates. There may also be a sincere feeling by MR. BONANNO for MISS []; however, her outlook in her present status is futile to her because, as she states, nothing can really come of her relationship with BILL BONANNO until the death of his father. From the futility of this position she has become disappointed in America and expressed a desire to return to Germany."

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

B. RE: JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. *Amiz*

PX T30 advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., made numerous telephone calls and personal visits to subject and Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in Phoenix during the months of July, August and September, 1962. Informant said that some of the phone calls originated in New York City, and that JOSEPH has been using the name of "PETER" when calling. Informant stated that JOSEPH is very much concerned over the operation of Allied Food Distributors, Inc.; is upset at the way the business is being operated by subject; and is concerned about the unpaid bills of the company.

PX T37 advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. is known by his associates as the "Big Fellow" and as "MR. PETER". Informant advised that JOSEPH came to Phoenix with his wife on the evening of September 5, 1962, possibly from San Francisco, California to visit subject at the hospital. He was of the opinion that JOSEPH stayed with [REDACTED] Phoenix, while in town.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

C. RE: JOSEPH ~~BONANNO~~, JR.

On July 26, 1962, Captain [redacted] Juvenile Division, Phoenix Police Department, advised that investigation by his Department had established that there had been an assault on a service station attendant in Phoenix, but that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. was not involved in the assault, and could not be charged. He said one juvenile had been charged with this assault. Capt. [redacted] said that they had been unable to establish the theft of any oil, and that the service station attendant appeared to have no interest in making any charge of such theft.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

D. RE: [REDACTED] *Pr. 2*

PX T37 advised that sometime within the past two months, subject beat up his wife, [REDACTED] and she left the state with [REDACTED] the wife of [REDACTED]. Informant said that when she returned to Phoenix, subject had hired a private detective to meet the plane because he was afraid she might have brought some of her relatives back to Phoenix to take care of him.

b6
b7C

Informant was of the opinion that [REDACTED] might be "stepping out" on subject.

On August 18, 1962, Sgt. [REDACTED] Las Vegas Police Department advised that [REDACTED] had checked into the Stardust Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada, Room 1403, on August 15, 1962, alone. She reportedly received a collect call from Houston, Texas, number RI 7-4011, room 907, Mr. [REDACTED] (listed to Camco, Inc., Houston, Texas). She immediately thereafter placed a call to State 6-4039, Van Nuys, California (this number listed to Tail of the Cock Restaurant, Van Nuys, California).

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

E. RE: JOHN PROFACI

PX T37 advised that JOHN ~~PROFACI~~ ^{Ariz} from New York City, a cousin of [redacted] visited [redacted] and subject in Phoenix during August, 1962, and made an overnight trip to Los Angeles during the week of August 19, 1962.

b6
b7C

ORIF

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

F. RE: ~~11~~UNCLE FRANK"

PX T30 advised that an individual who identified himself as "Uncle Frank" attempted to contact subject by phone from New York City, HI 3-2210, in August 1962. Subject was not at his office, and this individual left word for subject to return the call.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

G. RE: CHARLES JOSEPH ~~BATTAGLIA~~ *Pl 17*

PX T30 advised that BATTAGLIA made several attempts to contact subject at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in Phoenix during the months of July, August, and September, but that subject seemed reluctant to talk to BATTAGLIA, and would instruct employees at his office to inform BATTAGLIA that he was not at his office. Informant said that BATTAGLIA discussed plans to visit subject in Phoenix sometime after September 17, 1962.

PX T37 advised that subject and BATTAGLIA continue to contact each other, and are believed to meet at a bar in Casa Grande, Arizona, on occasions. Informant said that subject is believed to have either borrowed or "beat subject out of" about \$5,000.00 in the past, and that BATTAGLIA is presently hard pressed for money and attempting to collect this sum from subject.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

H. RE: ANTHONY SCRIVANO

PX T30 advised that subject and SCRIVANO were in constant contact with each other up until SCRIVANO's death. Informant said that SCRIVANO was discussing a deal with [redacted] in New York City, which would involve \$400,000.00. Informant further advised that SCRIVANO received 3 subpoenas from the Internal Revenue Service, just prior to his death, and failed to appear at a scheduled hearing in Phoenix prior to September 14, 1962, at which time [redacted] a Tucson attorney, appeared for him, but did not know where he was.

b6
b7C

On September 14, 1962, PX T37 advised that ANTHONY SCRIVANO, close associate of subject, had been found dead at the Sands Hotel in Tempe, Arizona, on that date. Informant said that SCRIVANO was believed to have taken an overdose of aspirin.

On September 17, 1962, WORTH FARLEY, (NA), Chief of Police, Tempe, Arizona, advised that SCRIVANO's death had been reported to his department at 4:16 P.M., September 14, 1962, after he had been discovered in his hotel room at the Sands Hotel, by a housekeeper. He said SCRIVANO was found, in bed, undressed, with the covers over him, and two empty Anacin bottles beside his bed. He advised there was no indication of violence, and the body was sent to the County Morgue.

[redacted] stated that the following articles were located in SCRIVANO's room at the time of death:

Identification card, with name to notify in case
of emergency - [redacted]
[redacted], telephone [redacted]

b6
b7C

Billfold, with \$1.58

Wrist watch

Dark glasses

Razor

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

Letter addressed to [redacted] Seattle, Washington, personal in nature. Letter mentioned that "Our boy is supposed to come up there next week to see about opening an office." Also stated that SCRIVANO intended to see a doctor about his severe headaches, and was taking Anacin like popcorn.

b6
b7C

FARLEY said that SCRIVANO's car, a 1959 Volkswagon, Arizona license CKU 254, was impounded at the B&B Auto Company in Tempe.

FARLEY further advised that [redacted] was notified of SCRIVANO's death on September 14, 1962, and requested that he be permitted to notify SCRIVANO's wife.

On September 17, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] brothers of the deceased; and (FNU) [redacted] brother-in-law of the deceased, appeared at the office of Chief FARLEY, and were interviewed by FARLEY. Mrs. [redacted] stated that she last saw her husband on the morning of September 13, 1962, when she left their home to go shopping. [redacted] told her that if he was not home for dinner, he might be out of town, as he had to make a trip to Tucson. She said she knew that [redacted] had been suffering from severe headaches, and she had been encouraging him to see a doctor. She knew of no reason why he would have taken his own life.

b6
b7C

On September 17, 1962, [redacted] desk clerk, Sands Hotel, Tempe, Arizona, advised that ANTHONY SCRIVANO checked into this hotel at 11:49 A.M., September 13, 1962 by himself, indicating on his registration card that his address was 109 N. Columbus, Tucson, Arizona; his employment as Phoenix Central Insurance Company, telephone 279-3842; and his car as a Volkswagon, Arizona license CKU 254. She said that SCRIVANO indicated he would be there for two nights, and paid one night in advance. He mentioned that he had to go to Phoenix on business, and went to his room alone. She said that he made no phone calls, and had no charges to his account while there. She stated that he was discovered dead in his room, 218, on the afternoon of September 14, 1962, by a maid, following which a report was made to the Tempe Police Department.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On September 18, 1962, PX T13 advised that records of the Ramada Inn, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed that A. P. SCRIVANO registered there at 2:11 P.M., September 11, 1962, and checked out at 12:09 P.M., September 12, 1962. He was assigned to Room 201, and signed the register with an address of 2727 N. Central, Phoenix, Arizona, telephone 279-3842, and employment as Phoenix Central Insurance. He indicated he was driving a Volkswagon, Arizona license CKU 254. Informant advised that the following local phone calls were made by SCRIVANO:

9/11/62 - 264-5804 (listed to Windsor Factors, Inc., 5104 N. 7th Street, Phoenix)

9/11/62 - 272-1439 (listed to [redacted], Phoenix)

9/12/62 - 279-3842 (listed to [redacted] Phoenix).

b6
b7C

On September 18, 1962, PX T37 advised that SCRIVANO was dealing with Windsor Factors, [redacted] owner, in efforts to establish a new collection agency at Seattle, Washington.

On September 19, 1962, WORTH FARLEY, COP, Tempe, Arizona, advised that the Coroner's report of SCRIVANO's death indicated death due to poisoning by barbituric acids. FARLEY said that an Inquest was scheduled for September 26, 1962.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

I. RE: [redacted] aka
[redacted]

PX T30 advised that subject received several telephone calls during the month of August, 1962, from [redacted] in New York City, who was calling from telephone number PL 5-0076.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

J. RE: [REDACTED]

PX T37 advised that [REDACTED] a Cleveland hoodlum and uncle of [REDACTED] sends a friend of his to Phoenix frequently to check on [REDACTED] and to be certain that [REDACTED] is not associating with subject, or involved in any racket.

b6
b7C

4/12

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

K. RE: [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

PX T30 advised that [REDACTED] of the Wisconsin Cheese Company, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, was at the office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc. in Phoenix on September 5 and 6, 1962.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

L. RE:

PX T30 advised that subject and JOE BASKIN were in frequent contact with Phoenix attorney, during July, August, and September 1962, concerning both business and personal legal problems.

b6
b7C

Ariz

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

M. RE: [REDACTED]

Amir

PX T30 advised that subject has been in frequent contact with [REDACTED] a Tucson attorney, during August and September 1962; mainly concerning subpoenas issued by the Internal Revenue Service to subject, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ANTHONY SCRIVANO, and JOE BASKIN.

b6
b7C

Informant stated that SOBLE appeared at the Phoenix office of IRS in September 1962, to represent SCRIVANO, but that SCRIVANO failed to appear, and [REDACTED] did not know where he was.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

N. RE: [REDACTED]

A 12

PX T30 advised that during September 1962, TONY SCRIVANO and [REDACTED] were in contact with [REDACTED] a Tucson attorney, concerning subpoenas received by them from the Internal Revenue Service.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

O. RE: [REDACTED]

11-12

PX T30 advised that [REDACTED] a Tucson accountant, contacted the Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in September 1962. Informant was of the opinion that [REDACTED] may have been hired by JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. to attempt to straighten out the books of the company.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

V. MISCELLANEOUS

PX T30 advised that subject was taken to the Scottsdale City Hospital, Scottsdale, Arizona, on September 5, 1962, suffering from what informant believed to be a heart attack. Informant said that subject returned to his home, from the hospital, on September 14, 1962, and intends to return to work about September 24, 1962.

On September 7, 1962, PX T38 advised that subject's illness had been diagnosed as a mild coronary. Informant said that subject was also believed to have a peptic ulcer in the upper intestinal tract, and an internal infection.

On September 14, 1962, [REDACTED] Pacific Finance Company, Phoenix, advised that subject was delinquent on his payments for his Falcon car. He said he went to subject's home on this date to collect the past due amount, and subject's wife refused to let him in the house, and further told him that subject was not at home, and she did not know where he was.

b6
b7C

On September 19, 1962, [REDACTED] advised that JOE BASKIN came to his office and made two payments on subject's car.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT

PX T35 advised that subject indicated he could not stop his case now, and possibly considers an appeal to the Supreme Court.

On July 6 and July 13, 1962, [] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, advised SA [] that although the briefs of both parties had been received, this matter had not been calendared for a hearing.

On August 14, 1962, [] Deputy Clerk, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, furnished SA [] one copy of the Appellant's Closing Brief in the matter entitled "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS, et al, Appellees," CCA #17630. This brief was reviewed at Phoenix and forwarded to the Bureau. [] advised that this matter was argued before the Court of Appeals on August 1, 1962, and is presently under submission to the Court.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Phoenix 1, Arizona
September 27, 1962

TITLE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka

CHARACTER: ANTI-RACKETEERING

REFERENCE: Report of SA ROY W. REGER,
dated September 27, 1962,
at Phoenix, Arizona

All sources (except any listed below) used in
referenced communication have furnished reliable information
in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona
September 27, 1962

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
ANTI-RACKETEERING

CHARACTERIZATION OF
INFORMANTS IN REPORT OF SA ROY W. REGER
DATED SEPTEMBER 27, 1962, at PHOENIX:

PX T35 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in California.

PX T36 is a [REDACTED]

PX T37 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in Arizona.

PX T38 is a [REDACTED]

PX T39 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in California.

PX T40 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in California.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions
of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to
your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed
outside your agency.

b6
b7C
b7D

F B I

Date: 9/28/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that subject at home, recuperating from heart attack, and returned to his office at Allied Food Distributors, inc., on 9/24/62 for short period of time, and for short periods on subsequent days.
2. Advised that subject is continuing his paramour, [redacted]
3. Advised that [redacted] a close associate of subject, was concerned about a possible heart attack, but visited a doctor and learned that he is alright.
4. Advised that Allied Food Distributors, Inc., are still having difficulty paying their bills and were contacted by the Telephone Co. regarding a delinquent bill.

b2
b6
b7C

- ③ - Bureau
1 - San Francisco (92-340)(Info)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-28)

REC-60

11 OCT 2 1962

RWR/vlc
(6)

C. C. WICK

EX-108

Approved: E. J. Boyle

Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

54 OCT 4 1962

PX 92-172D
RWR/vlc

5. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., subject's father, brought his Tucson accountant, [REDACTED] to the office of Allied to go over the books of the company.
6. Advised that [REDACTED] has stated that he is in charge of Allied until subject returns to work.
7. Advised that [REDACTED] was in contact with ANGELO MARINO, San Jose, California, concerning a shipment of cheese to Allied.
8. Advised that [REDACTED] is attempting to persuade JOE BASKIN to pay the balance due on her repossessed car so she can get it back. (It is noted that [REDACTED] has been attempting to obtain this money from the subject.

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 10/5/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that [redacted], close associate of subject, was in contact with his girl friend, [redacted] at Pomona, California, telephone number YU 6-7735.
2. Advised that subject is continuing to work at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., on a part-time basis.
3. Advised that an unknown person made a call from Allied to San Diego, California, telephone number CY 8-7129, on 10/1/62, in an attempt to contact [redacted] (ph).
4. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father, intended to come to the office of Allied on 10/2/62, to check on the operation of the company, but changed his plans, and came to this office on 10/3/62.

3 - Bureau (AM)
1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) (AM)
2 - San Diego (92-165) (AM)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - PX 92-28)

REC- 30

92-4494-131

RWR:mss
(8) OCT 12 1962
FBI

EX-102

12 OCT 8 1962

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 10/5/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

5. Advised that subject made arrangements to see his paramour, [REDACTED], on the evening of 10/2/62.
6. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who is residing with subject, has not been attending his classes at the Scottsdale High School.

b6
b7CLEADSAN DIEGO DIVISIONAT SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify the subscriber to telephone number CY 8-7129.

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 10/12/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Evans	
Mr. Malone	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
 AR
 OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father, was at the Office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., Phoenix, on 10/5/62, and was discussing the subject's recent interview by Internal Revenue Agents.
2. Advised that [redacted] attempted to explain to [redacted] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, why Allied had not paid their cheese bill.
3. Advised that the subject and his father were in frequent contact with [redacted] Phoenix Attorney, and [redacted] Tucson accountant, during the week concerning the condition of the business at Allied.

③ - Bureau
 2 - Las Vegas (92-215)
 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (info)
 2 - New York (92-1586)
 2 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)
 (1 - 92-28)

RWR:nwm
 (10)

C. C. Wick

EX-100

REC-51

92-4494-132

18 OCT 15 1962

Approved: 24
 53 OCT 16 1962
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
 b6
 b7C

PX 92-172D

4. Advised that [] was discussing the possibility of leaving Allied, and moving to Las Vegas, Nevada, to go into business with his cousin, [] who operates a restaurant at Las Vegas.
5. Advised that [] from New York City was at the Office of Allied, and talked with a Mr. [] of Tucson, Arizona, concerning the possibility of purchasing 40% of a radio station in Tucson.
6. Advised that the subject has continued his contacts with his paramour, [].
7. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO called the subject from Tucson on 10/9/62 and arranged to come to Phoenix the following day for a meeting with Attorney [] and an accountant concerning the condition of Allied.
8. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO came to Phoenix on 10/10/62 and had a long talk with the subject, postponing their meeting at [] office until 10/11/62. JOSEPH BONANNO indicated that the condition of Allied is in such poor shape that it would be necessary to do one of two things: (1) take bankruptcy, or (2) JOSEPH would put \$30,000.00 into the business to pay outstanding obligations, with the understanding that the business would deal only in Italian foods in the future and get rid of some of the "deadwood".

b6
b7C

LEADS

LAS VEGAS DIVISION

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

Will advise if [] is known in connection with the hoodlum activities.

b6
b7C

NEW YORK DIVISION

PX 92-172D

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

Will advise if is known in connection with hoodlum activities. (See also Phoenix airtel to New York dated 10/3/62)

b6
b7c

F B I

Date: 10/19/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR
OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant has furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, were at the room of [redacted] at the Sands Motor Hotel in Phoenix on 10/12/62;

2. Advised that subject continues his contacts and association with his paramour, [redacted] and arranged to see her on the evening of 10/12/62;

3. Advised subject intended to go to Tucson, Arizona on the weekend of 10/13/62;

- ③ - Bureau
1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (INFO) **REC-109**
1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (INFO)
1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (INFO)
1 - New York City (92-1586) (INFO)
1 - San Francisco (92-340) (INFO)
2 - Phoenix (1-92-172D)
(1-92-28)

RWR/clh
(15) C = Wick

13 OCT 22 1962

196 *Ed Boyle*
Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

60 OCT 25 1962

b2
b6
b7C

4. Advised that [] close associate of subject, intended to make a trip to Las Vegas, Nevada on weekend of 10/13/62 to discuss plans to remodel a restaurant in Las Vegas, not located on "The Strip". (This restaurant probably identical with one owned by [] mentioned in last weekly summary);

5. Advised that subject and JOSEPH BONANNO discussed turning over the entire operation of Allied Food Distributors, Inc. to []

6. Advised that subject talked with the salesman at Allied on 10/15/62, and announced that he was still "Boss" of the operation;

b6
b7C

7. Advised that subject talked with attorney [] in Tucson, and told [] that "The house has been sold";

8. Advised that [], salesman at Allied, called (FNU) MARINO at San Jose, California, and said a check was being mailed to MARINO on 10/16/62 on Allied's account;

9. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO talked with (FNU) [] at Tucson, Arizona, number 325-6166 concerning the sale of a lot in Tucson which BONANNO claimed was worth \$15,000.00, but he would be willing to sell for \$5,000.00. BONANNO indicated to subject that he intended to put this money into the business at Allied, but may not sell the property at this time;

10. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO was still at office of Allied on 10/17/62, and called PETE at Italian Restaurant in Tucson on this date, mentioning Fond de Lac, Wisconsin, but conversation in Sicilian;

11. Advised that subject looking for office space in connection with some business deal with []

12. Advised that subject talked with FRANK (Probably FRANK LABRUZZO in New York City) on 10/18/62, and said that business was bad. Subject indicated he needed a vacation, and might send his wife, [] to New York.

b6
b7C

to live with her family for a while. Subject indicated that if he gets to the Los Angeles area, he would investigate the proposed sale of Dubow Thread Co., 120 East Ninth Avenue, Los Angeles, owned by [REDACTED] which is up for sale for \$100,000.00 and which FRANK is possibly interested in purchasing;

13. [REDACTED] advised a business contact that he was taking over the business at Allied, inasmuch as the buyers of this business had not lived up to their agreement. He said the buyers would, however, keep a partial interest in the business;

14. [REDACTED] talked with [REDACTED] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin on 10/18/62 and told [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] and JOE BONANNO are now running Allied, and that [REDACTED] is no longer employed there. [REDACTED] promised to make a payment on the account with [REDACTED];

15. [REDACTED] talked with [REDACTED] San Jose, California, and attempted to obtain \$2,000.00 worth of credit from [REDACTED];

16. On 10/18/62, JOSEPH BASKIN received a telephone call from JOSEPH BONANNO, using the name of Mr. JONES, and calling from Casa Grande, Arizona, TE 6-9980. BASKIN said he would have subject call J. BONANNO at this number when he came to the office.

b6
b7C

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

10/24/62

REC-45

Director, FBI (92-4494)

134

JUNE

1 - J. D. Donohue
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Reurairtel 10/19/62.


To enable the Bureau to properly evaluate your request to conduct a survey to determine the feasibility of a misur installation in the office of [redacted] in the Central Towers Building in Phoenix, Arizona, additional information is necessary.

Advise the Bureau full details of [redacted] association with the subject and his father, Joseph Bonanno. Also furnish available information concerning [redacted] background and in addition any other information which would justify the installation of a misur in [redacted] office.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the subject of investigation being conducted in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, a nationally prominent hoodlum who was alleged to be a member of the "Commission." In reairtel Phoenix requests authority to conduct a survey to determine feasibility of a misur installation in the office of [redacted]. It was also reported that the subject's furniture is being moved from his present business and that the subject may be a "silent Partner" in [redacted] business. Phoenix instructed to send additional information so this matter may be clarified and the Bureau can render a proper evaluation of their request.

JEK: asg
(5)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____



NOV 2 1962

67-001-30-1321 TYPE UNIT ☐

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 10/19/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) J U N E

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

OO: Phoenix

[] has advised that [] from New York City, is opening an Insurance and Mortgage Office in the Central Towers Office Building in Phoenix.

Informant has previously advised that [] is a close associate of subject and National Top Hoodlum JOSEPH BONANNO, and has been in close contact with them since his arrival in Phoenix.

Informant said that subject's furniture is to be moved from Allied Food Distributors, Inc., to the new office, and that subject is to be a "Silent Partner" in the new business.

Bureau is requested to authorize survey for misur installation at this new office.

3 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

RWR/clh
(4)

REGISTERED MAIL

Let to P
10/24/62 JER/aog

REC-45 92-4494-134

11 OCT 22 1962

Approved: Ed Boyle
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

INT. SEC.

SPEC. MAIL RM

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

F B I

Date: 10/26/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

OO: PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. [] talked with subject and said he had been to the bank and secured a loan to pay the "Big One".
2. Advised that subject continues to contact and associate with his paramour, [].
3. Advised that [], Tucson, Arizona, attempted to contact subject at office of ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC., on 10/22/62.
4. Advised that subject talked with his father in Tucson on 10/22/62, most of the conversation being in Italian. Subject mentioned in English, "a building about 100 feet off the street at Speedway and Apache".

- ③ - Bureau
- 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info.)
 - 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info.)
 - 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info.)
 - 3 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)
(1 - 92-28)
(1 - 92-152)

REC-7

92-4494-135

11 OCT 29 1962

5 NOV 2 1962
RWR/dos
(9)

118

Approved: E. J. Bayler
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172D
RWR/dos

5. Advised that CHARLES BATTAGLIA attempted to contact subject by phone from Tucson on 10/22/62 and left word with [] for subject to call him at CACTUS BOWLING ALLEY.

6. Advised that [] appears to be running the business at ALLIED, and discussed the removal of part of the telephone equipment at ALLIED. Also indicated that [] has left the employment of this company.

7. Advised that subject was meeting with [] in Phoenix on 10/23/62.

b6
b7C

8. Advised that subject contacted [] at New York City on 10/23/62, and told [] that he (subject) has two deals going. Also said that [] plans to return to New York City on 10/24/62.

9. Advised that subject attempted to contact [] at New York City, #347-8794, on 10/23/62.

10. Advised that subject inquired about flights to New York City on AMERICAN AIRLINES on 10/23/62.

11. Advised that subject contacted his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at Tucson on 10/24/62, and said he would be in Tucson the following day.

12. Advised that "CHARLIE" (probably CHARLES BATTAGLIA), attempted to contact [] from Tucson on 10/24/62.

13. Advised that [] an employee at ALLIED, indicated on 10/25/62 that [] was no longer employed by ALLIED.

b6
b7C

14. Advised that [] received a call from [] calling from Ontario, California; indicated that he would be in Los Angeles the following Tuesday night; and asked [] to call him at ANGELO'S PLACE.

PX 92-172D

RWR/dos

15. Advised that subject called JOE BASKIN from Tucson on 10/25/62, and BASKIN gave subject [redacted] telephone number in New York. BASKIN indicated that subject would return to Phoenix later in the afternoon.

b6
b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 10/29/62

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Director, 9/17/62.

On October 10 and 23, 1962, [] Deputy Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, was contacted by SA []. It was ascertained that this matter is still under submission to the court.

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow this appeal.

b6
b7C

REC-1

92-4494-136

- ② - Bureau
- 2 - Phoenix (92-172)
- 2 - San Francisco (1 - 92-340)
(1 - 66-3705-143)

DVE:lms
(6)

EX-108

9 OCT 31 1962

[Handwritten signature]

61
56 NOV 5 1962

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 11/1/62

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) RUC

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Bureau 10/29/62.

On 10/26/62, and 11/1/62, [redacted] Deputy Clerk of the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, Calif., was contacted by SA [redacted]. On the latter date, he made available a copy of the Judgment of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, which was filed on 10/25/62. The ruling of the Court is that the judgment in this matter is reversed and the cause is remanded for further proceedings.

b6
b7C

This decision is being forwarded to the Phoenix Office for analysis and transmittal to the Bureau, in accordance with existing instructions.

This concludes the handling of this matter by the San Francisco Office.

- 2 - Bureau
- 2 - Phoenix (92-172)(ENC - 1)
- 2 - San Francisco
(92-340)(66-3705-143)

DVE:rk
(6)#8

EX - 120

REC - 55

92-4494-137

NOV 2 1962

143
56 NOV 7 1962

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 11/1/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

JUNE

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix 10/24/62.

[redacted] and [redacted] have advised that [redacted]
[redacted] recently came to Phoenix, Arizona, from New York City
where he had been associated in business with [redacted]
at the National Finance Company. [redacted] is a known
associate of subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and
investigation has been requested of New York to determine
hoodlum activities and associates of [redacted]

[redacted] has advised that [redacted] intends to start
a mortgage and insurance business in Phoenix with informant
and subject and that [redacted] will probably assume
operation of ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC., where subject has
been employed and where [redacted] is located.

Informant also advised they intended to locate the
new office at Room 807, Central Towers Building, 2727 N.
Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona.

Subsequent inquiry has revealed this office has not
yet been established and, in fact, may not be located at this
address when established.

The survey was requested in order that Phoenix
might be in a position to establish misur coverage promptly in
event subject moves his office from ALLIED to the new business.

In view of the fact that this has not yet been done,
no survey is anticipated by Phoenix. In event subject moves
his operation to a new office, the Bureau will then be
requested to authorize a survey for misur at the new location.

EX - 120

REC- 55

- 2 - Bureau
- 1 - New York City (Info) (92-1586)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:jph

(4)

NOV 12 1962

92-4494-138
12 NOV 5 1962
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

FBI

Date: 11/9/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

Informant furnished the following information of value during the past week:

1. Advised that the subject continues frequent contacts with paramour, [REDACTED].
2. Advised that subject continues to work out of the Office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona.
3. Advised that on 11/4/62, subject called "SAL" at New York City, telephone number BE 2-2739, and both subject and [REDACTED] talked with SAL. [REDACTED] mentioned he is no longer with Allied and is considering a restaurant-cocktail setup. [REDACTED] indicated that SAL may be coming to Phoenix in a couple of weeks.
4. Advised that the subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO attempted to contact the subject from Tucson, Arizona, on 11/5/62 but was unable to reach him.

- ③ - Bureau
 1 - Los Angeles (92-685)(Info)
 1 - New York City (92-1586)(Info)
 2 - Phoenix (92-172D)
 (1 - 92-28)

RWR:nwm
(7)

[REDACTED]

12 NOV 12 1962

56 NOV 16 1962

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

REC-31

92-4494-139

EX-120

PX 92-172D

5. Advised that [redacted] contacted his girl friend, [redacted] at Ontario, California, #628-4781 on 11/7/62 and told her he planned on moving to Ontario, California, where he may get a job at a cheese factory.
6. Advised that Allied still receives numerous calls from creditors concerning delinquent accounts.
7. Advised that on 11/8/62 the subject talked with his mother and father in Tucson and this conversation was in Sicilian.

b6
b7C

SAC, Phoenix (66-423)

11/9/62

Director, FBI (92-4494) 140

JUNE 1 - J. D. Donohue
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Reuairtel 11/6/62.

Bulet 10/24/62 instructed you to furnish the Bureau full details of [] association with the subject and his father and any other information which would justify the installation of a misur in [] office.

Reairtel requesting authority to conduct a survey to determine the feasibility of a misur installation at Suite B-136, 4747 North 16th Street, Phoenix, Arizona, reports that an informant of your office furnished information that the subject and his father would undoubtedly be in frequent contact with [].

The fact that the subject and his father may be in contact with [] does not in itself justify a misur installation. You should determine how frequently the Bonannos will contact [] and provide the Bureau with this information, as well as the additional information requested by Bulet 10/24/62.

You are reminded that all misurs must be completely justified. Bureau authority not granted to conduct the requested survey. NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the subject of investigation being conducted in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, the nationally prominent underworld figure who has been identified as a member of the "Commission." Phoenix requests authority to conduct a survey to determine feasibility of misur installation at a business address in Phoenix. Phoenix reports that an informant has reported that the subject and his father will undoubtedly be in contact with [] who is going to operate this company. Phoenix has not furnished sufficient justification to warrant a survey. Their request is being denied subject to being resubmitted if additional information is developed.

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
DeLoach
Evans
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

NOV 9 - 1962
JEK: asg
(5) COM 125

NOV 23 1962 MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

F B I

Date: 11/6/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL - REGISTERED MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

J U N E

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

OO - Phoenix

Re Phoenix 1et to Bureau, 11/1/62.

[] has now advised [] is in the process of moving his family and furniture from New York City to Phoenix, Arizona, where he will assume operation of a mortgage and insurance business to be established with informant and subject.

Informant stated that office space has already been obtained in an office building located at 4747 N. 16th Street, Suite B-136, and some of subject's furniture has already been moved from Allied Food Distributors, Inc. to the new location. Informant was unable to advise whether subject intends to spend the majority of his time at Allied or the new location, but stated subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, will undoubtedly be in frequent contact with [] at the new office.

In view of the above, the Bureau is requested to authorize a survey for misur installation at Suite B-136, 4747 N. 16th Street, Phoenix, so an installation can be promptly recommended in event subsequent developments reveal that subject either moves to the new office or is in frequent contact with [] at the new office.

3 - Bureau (AMRM)
1 - New York (92-4494) (Info.) (AMRM) (June)

1 - Phoenix

RWR:bat

(5)

REC-1

92-4494-140

11 NOV 8 1962

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

Per _____

b2
b6
b7C
b7Db6
b7C

F B I

Date: 11/15/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OD: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

Informant furnished the following information
of value during the past week:

1. Advised that subject continues his association with his paramour, [REDACTED] and that JOE BASKIN "Covers" for subject by telling subject's wife he hasn't seen subject for several days.

2. Advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, contacted subject on 11/12/62, following which subject contacted [REDACTED] a Phoenix attorney. subject informed [REDACTED] that JOE had said "The person had it wrong when he called [REDACTED] at 4:00 AM the other morning, and it wasn't as bad as the preliminary report."

3. Advised that [REDACTED] has been in touch with ANGELO MARINO at San Jose, California, concerning payment of a bill owed to MARINO.

- REC-28 92-4494-141
- ③ - Bureau
1 - San Francisco (92-340)(Info)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-28)

RWR/vlc
(6)

C C- Wick

8 NOV 19 1962

60 NOV 27 1962

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

PX 92-172D
RWR/vlc

4. [redacted] advised that subject was in touch with [redacted] at Tucson, discussing whether they should answer the "Papers" they had been served.

5. Advised that subject instructed JOE BASKIN to go to Tucson and pick up a car at 935 S. Carnegie. BASKIN indicated he might send his son, [redacted]

b6
b7C



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.**

To: **FBI, Phoenix (92-172)**

Date: **December 5, 1962**

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO,
aka; AR**

mt
J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

REC-128

FBI File No.

Lab. No.

**92-4494 - 142
D-408184 JC**

Examination requested by: **Phoenix**

Reference: **Letter 11/16/62**

Examination requested: **Document**

Remarks:

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

Enclosures (7) (03, K1 through K4, 2 Lab report)

WUC/LCS (4)

3 DEC 10 1962

Reference
ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

DEC 9 1962

RECORDED
11/26/62
KG

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

No Lab File
File # 92-4494-142
Lab. # D-408184 JC

Examination requested by: Phoenix (92-172) 11/16/62

Examination requested: Document Date received: 11/20/62

Result of Examination:

Examination by: Collier 12/3/62

- 1- NI quest. tw. end. Q3 E K2, 3 & 4.
2- Mc Scrivano end. Q3 E K1. Unexplained. var. and limited quest. however, h/c char. noted indicate quest. end. was not written by Scrivano.

Specimens submitted for examination

SPECIMEN	CHECK #	AMOUNT	DATE	PAYABLE TO	SIGNED	BANK
Q3	28100	\$950.00	8/13/62	1st Insurance & Realty of Arizona, Inc.	Anthony Scrivano Illegible	Valley National Bank, Tucson, Arizona
K1	Three page letter dated 9/13/62, beginning "Dear [] and signed "Tony;" one sheet of paper bearing note beginning "Friday all....." and ending "...1st of week," and seven personal checks bearing known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO					
K2	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN1364422, located in the office of Windsor Factors, Phoenix, Arizona					
K3	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN2112922, located in the office of Mercantile Acceptance Corp., Phoenix, Arizona					
K4	One page of typewriting specimens from an Alder typewriter, SN2169759, located in the office of [], Phoenix, Arizona					

b6
b7C

Q3 end Anthony Scrivano

K1 Anthony Scrivano

Q3 tw end. is 108/in.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4094)

DATE: 11/16/62

ATTENTION: FBI LABORATORY

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

408184

OO: Phoenix

The following items are enclosed:

1. Check #28100 of Tucson Title Insurance Co., payable to 1st Insurance and Realty of Arizona, Inc., in amount of \$950.00, and bearing type-written endorsement "payable to [redacted] only for attorney's fees, 1st INSURANCE & REALTY OF ARIZONA, INC., By;" and handwritten endorsements of ANTHONY SCRIVANO, Secretary Treasurer, and [redacted].
2. Three page letter dated 9/13/62 starting, "Dear [redacted]." and signed "TONY," in known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO.
3. One page handwritten note starting, "Friday..." and ending "...week", in known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO.
4. Seven personal checks, No. 112, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118 and 119, in known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO.
5. One page typewriting specimen from IBM typewriter in office of Windsor Factors, Phoenix, Arizona.
6. One page typewriting specimen from IBM typewriter in office of Mercantile Acceptance Corp., Phoenix, Arizona.
7. One page typewriting specimen from Adler typewriter in office of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

COPY & SPECIMENS RETAINED IN LAB
FOR LAB ACTION & REPORT

3 Bureau (Encl. 13) (RM)

1 Phoenix

RWR:jph

(4)

REC-39

NOV 20 1962

JUN 2 1962

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE

ATTACHED

PX 92-172

The Laboratory is requested to compare the typewritten endorsement on Item #1 with specimens from Items 5, 6, and 7.

It is further requested that the handwritten endorsement of ANTHONY SCRIVANO, Secretary Treasurer, on item #1, be compared with the known handwriting of SCRIVANO in items 2, 3 and 4.

Inasmuch as this examination has been requested by the Internal Revenue Service, as well as being of interest to the Phoenix Office, it is requested that two copies of the Laboratory report be sent to Phoenix so one can be disseminated to IRS.

All items should be returned to Phoenix upon completion of the requested examination.

RECORDED
11/26/62
KG

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;**
AR

No Lab File
File # 92-4494 - 1042
Lab. # D-403184 JC

Examination requested by: **Phoenix (92-172)** **11/16/62**

Examination requested: **Document**

Date received: **11/20/62**

Result of Examination:

Examination by: **Collier**

Specimens submitted for examination

SPECIMEN	CHECK #	AMOUNT	DATE	PAYABLE TO	SIGNED	BANK
Q3	28100	\$950.00	8/13/62	1st Insurance & Realty of Arizona, Inc.	Anthony Scrivano	Valley National Bank, Tucson, Arizona
K1	Three page letter dated 9/13/62, beginning "Dear [redacted] and signed "Tony;" one sheet of paper bearing note beginning "Friday all....." and ending "...1st of week," and seven personal checks bearing known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO					
K2	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN1364422, located in the office of Windsor Factors, Phoenix, Arizona					
K3	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN2112922, located in the office of Mercantile Acceptance Corp., Phoenix, Arizona					
K4	One page of typewriting specimens from an Alder typewriter, SN2169759, located in the office of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona					

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

negatives

F B I

Date: 12/14/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARYInformant furnished the following information of
value during the past week:

1. [redacted] advised that on 12/7/62, the subject contacted [redacted] at Tucson, Arizona, and stated he has some friends from the "East" who were in the restaurant business, and desired to buy a restaurant in Tucson, Arizona. He said the restaurant must have a liquor license, and asked [redacted] to look around for a possible purchase. (This probably refers to SAL PROFACI, subject's brother-in-law, from New York, who is presently visiting subject in Phoenix.)

2. [redacted] advised that on 12/7/62, subject telephoned [redacted] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, and talked only in Sicilian.

③ Bureau

- 1 - Milwaukee (92-144)(Info)
- 1 - New York City (92-1586)(Info)
- 1 - San Francisco (92-340)(Info)
- 2 - Phoenix
- (1 - 92-28)

RWR/vcb
(8)

C.C. Wick

53 DEC 20 1962

Approved: E. J. Boyle
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172D

3. Advised that on 12/7/62, subject received a telephone call from his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, believed to be calling from Tucson, Arizona. This conversation was entirely in Sicilian.

4. Advised that on 12/10/62, ANGELO MARINO of San Jose, California, attempted to contact [redacted] at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in Phoenix and asked JOE BASKIN to have [redacted] call him.

5. Advised that on 12/11/62, JOE BASKIN talked with [redacted] subject's Tucson Attorney, and advised [redacted] that subject has been having trouble with his heart again. He told [redacted] he would have the subject call him. b6 b7C

6. Advised that on 12/12/62, subject was in Tucson, Arizona, and attempted to contact his paramour, [redacted] in Phoenix, through JOE BASKIN. BASKIN said he would have [redacted] call the subject in Tucson at a number, which is a pay phone located at a service station there.

7. Advised that on 12/12/62, [redacted] attempted to contact ANGELO MARINO at San Jose, California, but ANGELO was not in, and [redacted] talked with [redacted] about a shipment.

8. Advised that JOE BASKIN contacted [redacted] on 12/12/62, and informed her that the subject would return to Phoenix that night.

9. Advised that [redacted] JOE BASKIN, and [redacted] were talking at the office of Allied on 12/12/62, and discussed how the "Cheese Company in California" had lied to them about a shipment, saying that the fog had held their truck up, when there was no fog there. b6 b7C

10. Advised that on 12/13/62, subject talked with [redacted] of Tucson, Arizona, concerning the possibility of purchasing a restaurant in the Phoenix Title Building at Tucson, or Mickie's in Tucson. Also mentioned that he would probably go to Tucson to discuss a "Conle of big Deals" with [redacted]. Subject then contacted [redacted] and informed him of the talk with [redacted].

PX 92-172 D

11. Advised that JOE BASKIN represented himself as the ex-father-in-law of [REDACTED] subject's paramour, in talking with Bureau of Medical Economics and stated he wanted to arrange to pay her bill in small weekly amounts.

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 12/17/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Phoenix airtel to Bureau, 12/14/62.

In heading, "WEEKLY SUMMARY, [REDACTED]
in above referenced communication, the heading should
appear as, "WEEKLY SUMMARY, [REDACTED], instead as
set forth above.

b2

- 3 - Bureau
1 - Milwaukee (92-1440) (Info)
1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info)
1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-28)

RWR/vcb
(8)

G. G. Wick

REC- 54

92-4494-151
5 DEC 19 1962

Approved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-2710)


DATE: 12/19/62

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

"JUNE"SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix dated 12/11/62.

 discontinued at 5:00 p. m., 12/14/62.

Bureau property will be retrieved at earliest available opportunity, and Bureau will be advised when retrieved.

(2) - Bureau REGISTERED
1 - PhoenixRWR:JMK
(3)

EX-114

REC-15

92-4494-152
1-3-63

DEC 21 1962

JAN 11 1963

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE 1/18/63	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 11/21/62 - 1/15/63
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		REPORT MADE BY SA ROY W. REGER	TYPED BY vcb
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER, dated 12/3/62 at Phoenix.

-P-

LEADSSAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONAT SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify subscriber to telephone FI 5-5144.

PHOENIX DIVISIONAT TUCSON, ARIZONA

Will identify subscribers to following telephones:

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

COPIES MADE:

- ③ - Bureau (92-4494)
 1 - USA, Phoenix
 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info)
 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info)
 2 - San Francisco (92-340)
 3 - Phoenix (92-172)

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

92-4494-153 REC-45

6 JAN 25 1963

DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT

AGENCY	1 Bureau Div Dept
REQUEST RECD.	0-1-12
DATE FWD.	11/11/63
HOW FWD.	140183
BY	140183

NOTATIONS

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

AX 6-3211	EA 7-5461
AX 8-5316	MA 2-5833
EA 5-3386	MA 4-2376
EA 7-4179	

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report subject's activities.

MILWAUKEE AND NEW YORK CITY DIVISIONS: (INFORMATION)

Information copies are being sent to these offices in view of contacts and associates of the subject in these divisions.

INFORMANTS

Information from files of Valley National Bank, 16th St., and Camelback Office, Phoenix, Arizona, was furnished by [REDACTED].

PX T-23 is [REDACTED]

PX T-30 is [REDACTED]

PX T-37 is [REDACTED]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE

Trash cover on subject's residence produced no information of value during period of this report.

-B*-

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 1/18/63

Office: PHOENIX

Field Office File No.: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject continues to reside at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, but has been notified by landlord to vacate premises by 2/5/63. Subject spends part time at office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in Phoenix, and part time at office of Columbia Financial Company in Phoenix. Both companies reported to be in poor financial condition. Subject's car repossessed by Pacific Finance Co., in Phoenix. Subject continues association with paramour, [REDACTED] who recently moved out of apartment in Phoenix in middle of night, owing rent.

b6
b7C

-P-

DETAILS:

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	2
II. EMPLOYMENT	6
A. R & B Enterprises	6
B. Allied Food Distributors, Inc.	7
C. Columbia Financial Company	9
III. ASSOCIATES	11
A. [REDACTED]	11
B. ANTHONY SCRIVANO (DECEASED)	14
C. [REDACTED]	15
D. JOSEPH BONANNO	17
E. FRANK LA BRUZZO	18
F. SALVATORE PROFACI	19
G. [REDACTED]	20
H. DR. [REDACTED]	21
I. [REDACTED]	21
J. [REDACTED]	21
K. [REDACTED]	21
L. MISCELLANEOUS	22
IV. TRAVEL	24
V. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS	25

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

[redacted] On November 27, 1962, [redacted]
[redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject's brother-in-law, from New York, SAL PROFACI, has been visiting at the subject's home.

[redacted] was also of the opinion that the subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who has been staying with the subject, may have been "kicked out of the house", inasmuch as JOE JR., and another boy were recently seen hiding in the alley behind the subject's house until the subject's wife, [redacted] left the house. One boy was observed with a switchblade knife, and after [redacted] left, both boys entered the house through a bedroom window.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

On November 27, 1962, SAS ROY W. REGER & [redacted] observed two cars parked at subject's residence, 3517 E. Monterosa, in Phoenix. One car was a 1961 Pontiac, Arizona license CGY 831, which is registered to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in Phoenix. The other, which was parked in the carport at subject's residence was a 1961 white Cadillac sedan, Arizona license CRA 200.

b6
b7C

Records of Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, indicated that Arizona license CRA 200 were issued to [redacted] real estate, 22 W. Camelback Rd., Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

On December 12, 1962, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that there has been very little activity around the subject's home recently. He said that on the night of December 11, 1962, the Phoenix Police stopped the subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., in his car, near the house, but he could not notice what disposition was made of this matter.

b6
b7C

On December 12, 1962, Capt. [REDACTED], Phoenix Police Department, advised he could locate no record for an arrest at this time, or questioning of JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., on December 11, 1962.

PX 92-127

RWR/vcb

On December 21, 1962, [redacted] Tucson, Arizona, advised that the subject is again behind in his rent at 3517 E. Monterosa, which was due on December 6, 1962. [redacted] advised that he has written to the subject, but received no reply. He stated that he intends to write another letter to the subject, and advise the subject that he will not renew the lease, which expires in February, 1963.

On December 29, 1962, [redacted] advised he had written to the subject on this date, informing the subject that he did not intend to renew the lease on the house where the subject is now residing, which lease expires on February 5, 1963. He requested the subject to vacate the premises, and arrange to turn over the keys to the house on the morning of February 6, 1963, at 8:00 AM. [redacted] said he sent a copy of this letter to his attorney, [redacted].

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

II. EMPLOYMENT

R & B ENTERPRISES

On November 21, 1962, [REDACTED] Director of Incorporating, Arizona Corporation Commissioner, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the only information in their files concerning this corporation is a notice of publication in a Tucson newspaper, and the original Articles of Incorporation, #56849, dated November 23, 1960. Incorporators are shown as VICTOR J. TRONOLONE and ANTHONY SCRIVANO, and the lawful agent as LAWRENCE P. D'ANTONIO.

On November 21, 1962, [REDACTED] Commissioner, Arizona Corporation Commissioner, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that all corporations are required by law to pay an annual fee. If this is not done, two years after the date of incorporation or date of payment of the last annual fee, a notice of revocation is sent to the lawful agent. [REDACTED] stated that no annual fee was received from R & B Enterprises since it was incorporated, and advised that a notice of revocation will be mailed to [REDACTED] on November 26, 1962.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

On November 21, 1962, [REDACTED] Director of Incorporating, Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the last information in their files concerning this corporation is an amendment, dated July 26, 1962, filed by PAUL PRIMOCK, changing the name of the corporation from San-Nic Importing Co., to Allied Food Distributors, Inc.

b6
b7C

PX T-30 advised that Allied continues to have financial difficulty, and might lose their franchise due to non-payment of bills.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On November 15, 1962, ANGELO ANTONY MARINO, President, California Cheese Co., San Jose, California, was interviewed by SAS [REDACTED] and IRVING J. PROUT. He advised that he knows the subject through business dealings with San-Nic Importing Co., and later with Allied Food Distributors, Inc., with which companies the subject was associated. He said that Allied Food Distributors presently owes his company \$2,659.17, which he has been unable to collect.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

COLUMBIA FINANCIAL COMPANY

On November 21, 1962, [] Director of Incorporating, Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that her files contain no record for this company.

On November 21, 1962, PX T-37 advised that [] has now moved to Phoenix to assume operation of this company. Informant stated that [] has rented a house at [] Informant said that [] intends to go to subject's home for Thanksgiving dinner, where he will meet with subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and SAL PROFACI from New York.

PX T-37 advised that [] wired a former partner in New York City for \$500.00 to put into this business, shortly prior to November 20, 1962.

b6
b7C

PX T-37 advised that Columbia opened a bank account at the Valley National Bank, 16th and Camelback Office, Phoenix, Arizona.

PX T-37 advised on December 6, 1962, that the subject is at the office of Columbia almost every day.

On December 13, 1962, PX T-37 advised that Columbia is delinquent in payment of their office rent, and stated that the subject had been unable to raise any money to put in this business. Informant said that [] who is a partner at this company, has received no wages as yet, and that the company is in very poor financial shape.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date December 10, 1962

Records of Valley National Bank, 16th St., and Camelback Office, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that a checking account, #73-6122, was opened on November 27, 1962, in the name of Columbia Financial Co. (Unincorporated), with address shown as 4747 N. 16th St., Suite 136 B, Phoenix, Arizona, and telephone number 264-6841. Business indicated as "Finance and Insurance", and the co-partners and authorized signers for this account as [redacted] and [redacted]. The account was opened with a \$150.00 cash deposit.

Since opening the account, two checks have been written; one on November 28, 1962, to Walsh Brothers, for \$112.68; the other on November 29, 1962, to Cash for \$20.00. Both checks were signed by [redacted].

b6
b7C

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted], Manager, Valley National Bank, 16th St., and Camelback Rd., Phoenix, Arizona.

On 11/30/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER/vcb Date dictated 12/4/62

~~10~~

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

III. ASSOCIATES

[REDACTED]

PX T-37 advised that subject's paramour, [REDACTED] went to Tucson, Arizona, prior to November 20, 1962, for a physical checkup.

b6
b7C

PX T-30 advised that subject continues to frequently contact and visit his paramour, [REDACTED] at her apartment in the [REDACTED], in Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On the evening of December 10, 1962, SAS ROY W. REGER, and [redacted] observed the following two cars parked on the street in front of the apartment of [redacted]
[redacted]

b6
b7C

1961 green Falcon, Arizona license [redacted]
(Registered to [redacted])

1962 blue Chevrolet Impala, Arizona License
DER 392 (Registered to Allied Food Distributors,
Inc., and being used by the subject.)

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On January 15, 1963, PX T-23 advised he had heard that [] moved from her apartment at the Country Club Apartments, and might be presently residing at 729 E. Weldon, in Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On January 15, 1963, [] Manager, Country Club Apartments, 3030 N. 7th St., advised that about one week ago [] disappeared from her apartment in the middle of the night, and he has no knowledge of her present location. He said that she left owing him some rent.

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

ANTHONY SCRIVANO (DECEASED)

On January 3, 1962, [redacted] Fidelifax Southwest, 700 W. Campbell Ave., Phoenix, Arizona, advised that his company had conducted an investigation concerning the death of SCRIVANO, at the request of an insurance company.

[redacted] exhibited his file on this investigation, which revealed that Dr. [redacted], who conducted the autopsy on SCRIVANO, stated unofficially that he believed SCRIVANO's death to be a probable suicide. He said that the barbituate found in SCRIVANO's body was of a short duration, fast acting type, which would require a large amount. Such a large amount would probably not be taken accidentally, and it would have been extremely difficult to force anyone to take such an amount. Dr. [redacted] reported that he had found absolutely no marks on the body, and no foul play was indicated.

b6
b7C

The file contained no further pertinent information of value.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

[redacted]
[redacted]
On December 31, 1962, New York Office *2Kw* advised that [redacted] was formerly employed by McCabe Assoc., 550 5th Ave., New York City, and the Adams & Sass Insurance Co., 342 Madison Ave., New York City. He was also employed as a professional singer until 1947 under the name of [redacted]. He was also associated with individuals in the mortgage and insurance fields. *Ariz*

The records of the FBI Identification Division revealed that he served in the U. S. Army [redacted] and revealed no arrests for [redacted]. *b6 b7C*

His military record revealed that [redacted] served in the U. S. Army, from September 25, 1940 to June 26, 1945, and was honorably discharged as a Technical Sergeant.

[redacted] was described as being born [redacted] at Leeksville, North Carolina, and was married to his second wife, [redacted], in January, 1959.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On December 6, 1962, [REDACTED] Commissioner,
Real Estate Department of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona, advised
that his records do not indicate that [REDACTED] has made
application for a real estate license in Arizona.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

JOSEPH BONANNO

PX T-30 advised that the subject continues to contact his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at Tucson, Arizona, on frequent occasions, and the conversation is always in Sicilian.

PX T-37 advised that JOSEPH BONANNO spent Thanksgiving Day with the subject in Phoenix.

PX 92-172
RWR/vb

[redacted]
[redacted] PX T-37 advised on November 21, 1962, that [redacted]
[redacted] subject's uncle from New York City, recently visited
the subject in Phoenix, purpose of visit unknown. He said that
the subject took [redacted] to Tucson while [redacted] was in town.

b6
b7C

Ariz.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

~~SALVATORE~~ PROFACI

On November 21, 1962, PX T-37 advised that ~~SAL~~ PROFACI from New York and subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, arrived in Phoenix from New York City on this date, and are visiting at the subject's home. They both intend to remain at the subject's home for Thanksgiving dinner. *AK121*

On December 6, 1962, PX T-37 advised that PROFACI continues to remain at the subject's home in Phoenix, and had an accident in the Chevrolet which the subject usually drives, on the past Sunday. He said that PROFACI was cited for failure to yield the right of way.

On December 13, 1962, PX T-37 advised that PROFACI was considering going into business with [redacted] at the Steer and Stein in Phoenix.

b6
b7C

On December 7, 1962, PX T-30 advised that the subject inquired about purchasing a restaurant with a liquor license at Tucson, Arizona, and indicated that this inquiry was for PROFACI.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

[REDACTED]

PX T-30 advised that the subject was in contact with [REDACTED] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, on December 7, 1962, but he was unable to determine the nature of subject's conversation, inasmuch as the subject talked in Sicilian.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

DR. [REDACTED]

Aviz
PX T-30 advised that the subject contacted his brother-in-law, Dr. [REDACTED], on November 24, 1962, and informed [REDACTED] that he would see him at 8:00 PM.

[REDACTED] *Aviz*
PX T-30 advised that the subject continues to utilize the services of [REDACTED] as his Phoenix attorney, and is in frequent contact with [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] *Aviz*
PX T-30 advised that the subject was in contact with [REDACTED] on November 28, 1962, and discussed some papers on a Title Company deal, which [REDACTED] was supposed to send to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] *Aviz*
PX T-30 advised that the subject continues to contact [REDACTED] at Tucson, and utilize the services of [REDACTED] as his Tucson attorney. Informant stated that [REDACTED] was in Boston, Massachusetts, on November 30, 1962.

MISCELLANEOUS

On January 15, 1963, PX T-23 advised that the following long distance toll charges have been made to Phoenix telephone 279-0210, which is listed for JACK RUTHERFORD, at 3517 E. Monterosa, where the subject resides:

October 6, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, MA 4-2376

October 7, 1962 - Brooklyn, New York, BE 2-2739
(Listed to SALVATORE PROFACI)

October 19, 1962, - Brooklyn, New York, BE 2-2739

October 23, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, EA 6-4093
(Listed to [REDACTED], Catalina Foothills)

b6
b7C

November 4, 1962 - Brooklyn, New York BE 2-2739

November 5, 1962 - Brooklyn, New York, BE 2-2739

November 8, 1962, Tucson, Arizona, 793-1811
(Listed to JOSEPH BONANNO)

November 15, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, 793-1811

November 16, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, 793-1811

November 21, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, EA 5-3386

November 22, 1962 - San Mateo, California FI 5-5144

November 24, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, EA 7-4179

November 25, 1962 - Brooklyn, New York, BE2-2739

November 25, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, AX 8-5316

November 29, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, MA 2-5833

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

November 29, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, EA 7-5461

November 29, 1962 - Tucson, Arizona, AX 6-3211.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

IV. TRAVEL

PX T-30 advised that the subject was in the vicinity of Sedona, Arizona, on November 16, 1962, and November 17, 1962, and was in Tucson, Arizona, on November 23, 1962.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

V. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

PX T-37 advised that the subject went to the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Co., in Phoenix, and attempted to get a loan on his car, to put the money into his new business at Columbia Financial Co., but was turned down for this loan. Informant advised on December 6, 1962, that the subject was subsequently successful in obtaining a \$1,900.00 loan on the Pontiac car at Associated Loan Co., in Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On December 19, 1962, [REDACTED] Arizona Highway Department, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that on November 28, 1962 a new mortgage for \$1,944.00 was recorded against the 1961 Pontiac, which is titled to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., by Associate Consumers Finance Co., Inc., P. O. Box 875, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

On December 17, 1962, [redacted] Pacific Finance Co., 625 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he repossessed subject's 1961 Falcon, Arizona license CRE 941, during the early part of the morning hours on December 15, 1962. He said he had located the car parked in the carport at 3638 W. Diana, Phoenix. (It is noted that this is the residence of [redacted] b6 b7C [redacted] an associate of the subject.)

[redacted] said that the car was brought to his office, and will be held for payment of the balance in full.

On December 17, 1962, SA ROY W. REGER examined the aforementioned car, and nothing of value was noted in the car.

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

12/11/62

92-4494-
Director, FBI (92-2710)

JUNE

1 - J. D. Donohue
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR


Reurlet 12/5/62.

A review of the information furnished by [redacted] during the past 30 days discloses that this misur has not furnished sufficient information to justify its continuance.

This misur should be promptly discontinued. Advise Bureau exact time misur discontinued and when all Bureau property is retrieved. Your efforts should be directed towards developing criminal informants who can provide intelligence information regarding the subject's activities.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the most prominent underworld figures in the United States who has been identified as a member of the "commission." This installation is in the subject's place of business in PX. Bulet 11/14/62 advised PX that this source had not produced any significant information in the past 90 days and they were authorized to continue this source for 30 additional days. In view of the fact that this source has not produced sufficient information to warrant the installation, PX is instructed to discontinue the misur.

JEK:asg
(5)



U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

DEC 10 1962

ORIGINAL FILED IN 92-2710-720

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-2719)

DATE: 12/5/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

J U N E

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix, 11/14/62.

Since the installation of [] on 5/22/62, this source has been continuously of irreplaceable value, not only in determining the activities, whereabouts, and associates of the subject, but also in connection with activities of the subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, a National Top Hoodlum, and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, a Top Hoodlum. Significant information in this regard has been furnished the Bureau by weekly airtel since activation of the misur, and has also been included in reports on the aforementioned individuals

In addition to the above, this source has been extremely valuable in serving as a check on [] and furnishing the basis for pertinent interviews with []

It is strongly recommended that this source be continued for a three month period from 11/23/62, as requested in FD-143, dated 11/7/62.

92-4494-
NOT RECORDED
167 DEC 19 1962

③ - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

RWR/vcb
(3)

let to RF
12/11/62 JEP/aj

DEC 19 1962

10 DEC 7 1962

NINE

ENC. SEC.

ORIGINAL FILED IN 92-2719-10

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

2/21/63

Director, FBI (92-4494)

JUNE

1 - J. D. Donohue
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Reurlet 12/19/62.

Relet reported that [] was discontinued and that the Bureau would be advised when the Bureau's equipment was retrieved. Furnish the Bureau a monthly letter of your efforts to retrieve the Bureau's equipment. The first letter should be furnished by March 1, 1963.

b2

The Bureau recognizes that full security must be assured prior to retrieving misur equipment and you must insure that such security is in effect prior to taking action in this regard.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the son of nationally prominent hoodlum Joseph Bonanno. This installation is in the subject's place of business and discontinued because it did not produce significant information. PX instructed to furnish a monthly letter of their efforts to retrieve Bureau equipment.

JEK:asg
(5)

EX-102

REC-63

92-4494-154

17 FEB 25 1963

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 25
FEB 20 1963
COMM-FBI

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEB 28 1963

2/18/63

AIRTEL

AIRMAIL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTN: IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-28)
(92-172)

SUBJECT: JOSEPH BONANNO, aka (92-28)
AR

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka (92-172)
AR

Internal Revenue Service has requested Identification records of both subjects.

Please send Identification records of JOSEPH BONANNO, FBI #2-534-540, and SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, FBI #988-087-D to Special Agent [redacted] Intelligence Division, Internal Revenue Service, Box 6291, Tucson, Arizona.

4 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-28)
(1 - 92-172)

RWR/ebt
(6)

172-414124
NOT RECORDED
201 FEB 26 1963

6 MAR 1 1963

ORIGINAL FILED IN

b6
b7C

751

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 3/11/63	Investigative Period 1/16/63 - 3/8/63
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: dsn
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

Synopsis:

REFERENCES:

Report of SA ROY W. REGER, dated 1/18/63, at Phoenix.
Phoenix airtels to Los Angeles dated 2/6/63, and 2/28/63.
Phoenix airtel to Newark dated 3/5/63.

- P -

ENCLOSURES:

TO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

LEADS:

THE LOS ANGELES DIVISION

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Approved <i>E. A. Butler</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: ③ - Bureau (92-4494) - Enc. (2) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 2 - Newark (92-778) 1 - New York (92-1586) (Info) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		92-4494-155	REC-9
		12 MAR 14 1963	
		EX-114	
		STAT SECT	

*1 cum Div Dept
0-4-2
3/20/63*

STAT SECT

M. J. ... 10, 2921

Property of FBI — This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

12 215 3 **3 MAR 22 1963**

Em ... 20, 21

PX 92-172

1. Will, at Occidental Life Insurance Company, 1150 S. Broadway, secure details concerning assumption of mortgage by subject, and arrange to be notified concerning payments made on this mortgage. (This lead set out by airtel 2/6/63)

2. Will furnish any information known concerning association of [redacted] with hoodlums in that area. (This lead set out by airtel 2/28/63)

b6
b7C

THE NEWARK DIVISION

AT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

Will, at Bank of Commerce, Southside Office, 1080 Berger Street, secure details concerning certified checks sent to subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and details concerning sale of airport property. (This lead set out by airtel 3/5/63)

THE MILWAUKEE, NEW YORK AND SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONS (INFORMATION)

Information copy sent to Milwaukee in view of information concerning termination of business by Allied Food Distributors, Inc., which has previously had business dealings in Milwaukee area.

Information copy sent to New York in view of report that subject intends to move to the east.

Information copy sent to San Francisco in view of subject's association with brother-in-law in that area.

INFORMANTS:

PX T23 is [redacted]

PX T31 is [redacted]
[redacted]

PX T37 is [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

- B -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

PX T42 is [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona.

PX T43 is [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C
b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Trash cover on subject's former residence, 3517 East Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, produced no information of value during the period of this report.

- C* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER Office: PHOENIX
Date: March 11, 1963

File Number: PX 92-172 Bureau File: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject moved to 6331 East Calle Del Pasiano, Phoenix, Arizona, where he is purchasing home. Allied Food Distributors, Inc., discontinued business in view of financial troubles. Subject reportedly received in excess of \$12,000.00 from sale of stock in motel at Newark, New Jersey. Probation of subject terminated on basis of request made by subject's attorney, [redacted] who stated subject plans to move to the east. Subject continues association with paramour, [redacted] [redacted] who moved to [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

- P -

DETAILS:

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Item</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	2 - 6
II. EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME	7 - 10
Allied Food Distributors, Inc.	7 - 8
Columbia Financial Company	9
Airport Motel	10
III. BANK ACCOUNTS	11
IV. ASSOCIATES.	12 - 18
[REDACTED]	12 - 15
CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.	16
[REDACTED]	17
Miscellaneous	18
V. TRAVEL	19
VI. PROBATION STATUS	20 - 21

b6
b7C

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On January 25, 1963, Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, was observed at subject's home in Phoenix on the morning of January 24, 1963, at which time he was walking with a limp. She said that another neighbor reportedly having seen subject and his wife at St. Joseph Hospital on Wednesday, January 23, 1963.

b6
b7C

She further advised that one of subject's children had mentioned to a neighbor that "They had a nice new home that they were going to move to."

On January 25, 1963, [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that about 6:00 p.m. this date he observed a light blue Chevrolet Impala arrive at subject's home. [redacted] said that subject was driving this car, and was accompanied by his father, JOSEPH BONANNO. They parked the car at subject's home, and entered his house.

On February 4, 1963, Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that a Bekins moving van, with cab number A 3215, was at the subject's residence loading furniture.

On February 4, 1963, [redacted] Assistant Manager, Bekins Van and Storage Company, 4118 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the aforementioned van was making a furniture pickup at 3517 East Monterosa, for JOE BASKIN, with directions to place the furniture in storage.

b6
b7C

On February 5, 1963, [redacted] advised that subject's furniture had been placed in storage, under the name of JOE BASKIN, subject to order of [redacted] [redacted] Subject requested that monthly statements for storage be mailed to 319 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172

On February 5, 1963, records of the U. S. Post Office, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that a change of address, effective February 5, 1963, was furnished by SALVATORE BONANNO, from 3517 East Monterosa, Phoenix, to P. O. Box 2688, Phoenix. These records indicated that this box was rented by BONANNO on September 29, 1962, at which time he furnished his Monterosa residence address.

On February 27, 1963, [redacted] advised that the furniture stored for JOE BASKIN at Bekins had been delivered this date to a house located at 6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona. This furniture was signed for at the McDowell Road office of Bekins, purportedly by BASKIN.

On February 6, 1963, [redacted], Director, Driver's License Bureau, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that WILLIAM BONANNO had renewed his Arizona driver's license on November 8, 1962, showing a residence address of 3517 East Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona.

[redacted] stated that his files indicated a former address for BONANNO at 4301 North 24th Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

On February 6, 1963, [redacted] Manager, Biltwell Apartments, 4301 North 24th Street, Phoenix, Arizona, advised from records that BILL BONANNO (with four in party), rented Apartment 3 from May 18, 1961, to July 11, 1961. The registration card indicates two cars, a light green Ford Falcon, Arizona license CRE 941, and a creme Thunderbird, Arizona license CUK 010. [redacted] said that he has only been manager of the apartments for two months, and that subject is not known to him.

On February 6, 1963, [redacted] Security Realty, 1708 East Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he was in the process of selling a house located at 6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona, to subject, and exhibited a purchase contract, dated

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

January 7, 1963, and signed by SALVATORE V. BONANNO. This contract indicated that a \$500.00 downpayment had been made, with agreement to pay an additional \$1,000.00 down when the deal comes out of escrow with Phoenix Title Company. Total sales price for the house is shown as \$26,500.00, and subject is to assume the existing mortgage of approximately \$25,000.00.

[redacted] stated that the present owner of the house, Mrs. [redacted], has her mortgage with Occidental Life Insurance Company of California.

[redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, who is employed as sales representative for P.B.S.W. Office Equipment Company, advised on February 7, 1963, that he had no information concerning the persons who recently purchased the home of Mrs. [redacted]. He said he would advise when the new family moves into this house.

On February 7, 1963, Mrs. [redacted]
[redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, who is employed in the Engineering Department at Airesearch Company, advised that her husband died last December, and she was forced to put her home up for sale. She stated that a sale was arranged by Security Realty and a contract signed. She originally understood from the Realty Company that the sale was to BILL BONANNO, and when she noticed that the contract was signed by SALVATORE BONANNO, she asked the realtors about this, and they advised her that BONANNO was putting the property in the name of his uncle. They also said that he wanted immediate possession, and would move his furniture up from Tucson. Mrs. [redacted] said she has not seen the new owners, and she declined to permit them to obtain possession until after the contract has gone through escrow, which she understands will probably be around February 20, 1963.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

She said that BONANNO is to assume her mortgage with Occidental Life Insurance Company of California, and that payments on this mortgage are \$222.00 per month. She said she asked the realtors for assurance that BONANNO intended to keep the property permanently, and was assured that he does.

On February 25, 1963, Mrs. [] advised that subject's wife, [], had telephoned her on the morning of February 23, 1963, and asked for the key to Mrs. [] house. She informed [] that the key could be obtained from the Real Estate Company, and further informed her that she could not move into the house until the deal comes out of escrow.

b6
b7C

On February 26, 1963, [] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject's wife, who is pregnant, came to his house on the morning of February 26, 1963, and borrowed some water from his wife. He said it appeared as if she is doing some cleaning at Mrs. [] home, in preparation to moving into the house.

On February 27, 1963, [] advised that a moving van from Bekins was at the house next to his, and it appeared as if subject is moving into this house this date.

b6
b7C

On February 27, 1963, Mrs. [] Airesearch Company, advised that she had been informed by Phoenix Title Company that they received a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000.00 from subject, and that the escrow transaction was completed except for recording. Mrs. [] said that subject and his family were moving into her house at 6331 East Calle Del Paisano on this date. She further advised that she had talked with subject, and he had indicated that he intends to make this address his permanent home. He said that he plans to build a fence around the property.

On February 28, 1963, the records of the Maricopa County Assessor revealed that the legal description of the property located at 6331 East Calle Del Paisano is Hidden Village #9, Lot 405.

PX 92-172

On March 4, 1963, PX T42 advised that subject originally made a payment of \$500.00 to Security Realty, as a downpayment on the house located at 6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona. Security Realty kept \$300.00 of this amount as their real estate charge, and gave the \$200.00 balance to Phoenix Title Company to be applied on the escrow, which is handled at the Christown office.

T42 further advised that a cashier's check, number 6477, on an unknown bank, in the amount of \$1,258.05, was subsequently paid by subject to the Phoenix Title Company, for the balance of the downpayment due, plus escrow charges.

On March 5, 1963, PX T43 advised that cashier's check number 6477, dated February 27, 1963, in the amount of \$1,250.00, had been issued payable to Phoenix Title Company for escrow number 760268, by the main office of Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Phoenix, Arizona. The issuing employee was unable to recall the transaction and the records did not indicate on what basis the check was issued.

On March 6, 1963, [redacted], advised that subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., is presently residing with subject. He also advised that subject is having a fence built around his house, and is also having some cabinet work done inside the house.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said that subject and his family left the house about 5:30 a.m. on this date.

II. EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME

Allied Food Distributors, Inc.

On January 25, 1963, [redacted] Manager, Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, 300 West Osborn Road, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject and JOSEPH BASKIN were at this bank about 1:00 p.m., January 24, 1963, at which time BASKIN made a deposit to the account of Allied Food Distributors, Inc.

On January 28, 1963, PX T37 advised that Allied Food Distributors, Inc., is in very poor shape financially. He said that subject has taken over \$7,000.00 from this business since the Fall of 1962, and that [redacted] is "peevish" because JOSEPH BONANNO will not put anymore money into the business.

b6
b7C

On February 27, 1963, PX T37 advised that a check for \$504.00 on the account of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., signed by [redacted] and JOSEPH BASKIN, and payable to Internal Revenue Service, had been returned by the bank for insufficient funds. Informant stated that subject and his associates are having difficulty in securing funds to make this check good.

On March 4, 1963, PX T42 advised that on February 28, 1963, a representative from the Allied Food Distributors, Inc., had brought a cashier's check on the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, number 6508, in the amount of \$504.54, to the office of Internal Revenue Service in Phoenix. Informant said that this was to replace an NSF check previously issued by this company, for the first quarter withholding taxes for this company.

On March 5, 1963, a pretext inquiry at the office of Abbott Company revealed that the premises located at 319 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, which is occupied by Allied Food Distributors, Inc., was reportedly vacant, and was for rent.

PX 92-172

On March 5, 1963, [] telephone numbers CR 4-1168 and WH 5-8774, advised that he is the owner of the property located at 319 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, and had leased this property to [] about four months ago, on a three-year lease, with rental payments at \$400.00 per month. [] stated that [] Realty had arranged this lease.

He advised that Allied is presently two months in arrears in their rent, and he had been informed by [] that Allied was going out of business, as they just couldn't make it.

b6
b7C

[] stated that a few items are still located in the building including a walk-in refrigerator, and small amount of merchandise, and he is considering filing a lien on this property to secure payment of his past-due rent.

On March 5, 1963, [] Collection Manager, Fletcher-Jones Chevrolet Company, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that his company has two accounts with [] and Allied Food Distributors, Inc., on a 1962 Chevrolet Impala, and a 1962 Chevrolet truck. He said that both accounts are delinquent, and he will probably repossess these vehicles in the near future.

PX 92-172

Columbia Financial Company

On January 28, 1963, PX T37 advised that the Columbia Financial Company is in very poor shape financially; is two months delinquent in their office rent; owes a bill to a sign painter for painting the company name on the office door; and is delinquent in their telephone bill. Informant stated that subject appears to be avoiding contact with [redacted] and [redacted] at this office, and was of the opinion that [redacted] will eventually return to New York.

b6
b7c

PX 92-172

Airport Motel

On March 5, 1963, PX T43 advised that about one month ago, subject went to the 3rd Avenue and Osborn Road Office of Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Phoenix, Arizona, where he requested issuance of six cashier's checks in exchange for six certified checks, payable to SALVATORE BONANNO, in an amount totaling in excess of \$12,000.00. These checks were drawn on the account of Skyway Motel (probably Airport Motel), at the Bank of Commerce, Southside Office, 1080 Berger Street, Newark, New Jersey.

Informant stated that the checks were all in sequence, with the exception of one missing number, and that an employee at the bank declined to issue cashier's checks until after he cleared the Newark checks with the bank.

Informant said that a telephone call was made to the Bank of Commerce at Newark, and it was learned that the checks were good, and represented proceeds from sale of motel property at Newark. It was further learned that the check with the missing number had been issued to JOSEPH BONANNO for his share in the proceeds of the sale.

PX 92-172

III. BANK ACCOUNTS

On March 5, 1963, PX T43 advised that subject continues to maintain a small savings account at the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, 3rd Avenue and Osborn Road, Phoenix, Arizona, but that the balance in this account at present is only about \$15.00.

IV. ASSOCIATES

✓
[redacted] Aka.

On January 25, 1963, [redacted] Manager, Arizona Manor, 2390 East Camelback, Phoenix, Arizona, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that [redacted] is presently employed as a hostess at the Clown's Den, which is a part of Arizona Manor.

On January 30, 1963, [redacted] advised SA DUFFY that [redacted] presently resides at [redacted] and has telephone number CR 4-9662.

b6
b7C

Phoenix Arizona

On January 30, 1963, PX T23 advised that Phoenix telephone CR 4-9662 is a non-published number, connected on January 9, 1963, and listed to [redacted] at [redacted]. Application for service indicates occupation unemployed, with income from stocks. Reference is listed as [redacted], telephone AL 4-6281. Application further indicates former telephone service at Scarsdale, New York, telephone number not recalled.

W.Y.

On the morning of February 3, 1963, SA [redacted] observed a car in the driveway at 1049 East Colter, Phoenix, Arizona, bearing Arizona license [redacted].

Records of the Motor Vehicle Department revealed that Arizona license [redacted] were issued to [redacted] [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1961 Chevrolet coupe.

b6
b7C

On February 6, 1963, Mrs. [redacted] [redacted], Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that she formerly owned the property located at [redacted] in Phoenix, Arizona, but sold this property to [redacted] about the middle of December, 1962. After the sale, while it was still in escrow, she learned that [redacted] had rented the house. Since she had not moved all of her belongings out of the house, she went

PX 92-172

to the house where she talked with a man and woman, identified by photographs as [] and subject. [] introduced herself as Mrs. [], and said that she worked nights at the Clown's Den in Phoenix. She introduced subject as a "friend of the family."

Mrs. [] stated that she returned to the house on about two other occasions, and on at least one of these occasions, again saw subject at the house.

She stated that all of the furniture in the house appeared be to brand new.

b6
b7C

On February 6, 1963, [] Security Realty, 1708 East Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he has known [] for about six months, and has known subject for about two years, having met subject when subject was working at the Romulus Restaurant.

He said that he rented the house at [] to [] with the understanding that she will later purchase the house. He stated that subject had no connection with the rental.

[] said that he also had signed a note for [] to purchase new furniture for the house.

On February 8, 1963, and February 11, 1963, SA [] observed a white Pontiac, Arizona license [], at the residence of [], Phoenix, Arizona.

It is noted that this car is registered to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and is being used by subject.

b6
b7C

On February 11, 1963, Lieutenant [], Phoenix Police Department, advised that he saw subject and [] together, in attendance at the Greyhound Dog Track in Phoenix on the evening of February 7, 1963. He said they gained admittance to the track with passes issued to [] and they used the names of Mr. and Mrs. [].

PX 92-172

On February 26, 1963, Captain [] Phoenix Police Department, advised that he saw subject with [] at the "Big Stick," on the night of February 22, 1963. He said he visits this place frequently, and has seen them together at this place on previous occasions.

He advised that on the night of February 20, 1963, he saw subject at the Clown's Den, where he appeared to be looking for [], who was not working that night.

b6
b7C

On February 27, 1963, Captain [] advised that he saw subject at the "Big Stick," on the night of February 26, 1963, at which time he was talking with the wife of the manager, []. [] said that [] has claimed to be from Los Angeles, California, and has claimed acquaintance with one of the [] brothers. He described [] as white, male, American, aged [] to [] years, 6'1" tall, weight 200 pounds, brown hair.

[] said that subject left the "Big Stick" after 1:00 a.m., February 27, 1963, and proceeded to the Clown's Den, where he picked up []. Subject then accompanied [] to Helsing's Restaurant at Central and Camelback Road.

On March 5, 1963, PX T43 advised that the 1961 Falcon, presently being used by [] was re-financed by a loan from the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, 3rd Avenue and Osborn Road Office, Phoenix, Arizona, in the name of [].

b6
b7C

Informant said that the account is delinquent by one payment at present, and [] has been contacted to bring the account up to date. Informant stated that [] attempted to have his name removed from this contract, but this request was denied by the bank.

On March 5, 1963, SAs ROY W. REGER and [] [] observed a Buick with Arizona license [] parked at the residence of [].

PX 92-172

On March 5, 1963, records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona license [redacted] were issued to [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1960 Buick convertible.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.

ARIZONA

On February 25, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject was at the Beef Eaters Restaurant in Phoenix with BATTAGLIA on the afternoon of February 23, 1963.

PX 92-172

V

[redacted]

On February 14, 1963, San Francisco advised that San Mateo, California telephone number FI 5-5144 is an unpublished number for [redacted], subject's brother-in-law. It is noted that this number was called by subject on November 22, 1962.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

MISCELLANEOUS

On February 8, 1963, PX T31 furnished SA [redacted] the following listings for Tucson, Arizona, telephone numbers, previously called from the telephone of subject's Phoenix residence:

AX 6-3211 - St. Joseph's Hospital;

AX 8-5316 - [redacted] (unpublished);

EA 5-3386 - [redacted]

EA 7-4179 - [redacted]

EA 7-5461 - Tucson Medical Center;

MA 2-5833 - St. Mary's Hospital;

MA 4-2376 - [redacted]

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

V. TRAVEL

On January 21, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject left the Phoenix area on January 17, 1963, and was to return on January 22 or 23, 1963. His destination was unknown, and he didn't even advise his wife where he was going.

PX T37 advised that subject was at his father's house in Tucson, Arizona, on January 23, 1963, and drove his father to Phoenix on the night of January 23, 1963.

On February 18, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject's wife and children were staying at Tucson, Arizona, with subject's father temporarily, and that subject was staying in Phoenix with [redacted] and JOSEPH BASKIN.

b6
b7C

VI. PROBATION STATUS

On January 25, 1963, Honorable ROSS JONES, Judge, Superior Court, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that Attorney [] came to see him about ten days prior to this interview, and requested that his client, WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, be released from probation, inasmuch as he desired to move "back east," and go in business there. JONES said he contacted Judge PATTERSON, who had been the presiding Judge at BONANNO's trial, and PATTERSON offered no objection, following which Judge JONES ordered that subject be released from probation. He did not know subject's exact plans, and suggested that his probation officer, [], be contacted to determine this information.

b6
b7C

On January 25, 1963, [], Probation Officer, Superior Court, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he contacted [] on January 25, 1963, and [] said that subject was now "back east" and scheduled to return to Phoenix on Monday, January 28, 1963. [] claimed that he did not know where subject intended to move, other than he believed it to be either to New Jersey or New York. He said he would determine this from subject on Monday and advise [].

[] also advised [] that he believed subject intended to maintain a residence, and his family, in Phoenix, and would be travelling back and forth between Phoenix and "the east."

On February 19, 1963, records of the Clerk of the Superior Court, Phoenix, Arizona, were examined, and the following Court Order located under Action #39304:

"RE: TERMINATION OF PROBATION
SALVATORE BONANNO
Dated 12/12/62

"It appearing to the Court that the good conduct and reform of the defendant warrants termination of the period of probation, and it further appearing that the ends sought to be attained have been accomplished, and that the ends of justice will be subserved thereby.

PX 92-172

"It is ordered pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 13-1657D that the period of probation in the above entitled case be and the same is hereby terminated and the defendant discharged.

/s/ "W. E. PATTERSON
by ROSS F. JONES"

On February 25, 1963, ROSS F. JONES, Judge, Superior Court, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he intends to contact subject's attorney, [REDACTED] and request him to come to his office. He said he will then determine subject's plans to move back east, inasmuch as it was on this basis that subject was discharged from probation.

b6
b7C

He stated that in event it is determined that subject intends to remain in Phoenix, he will request his probation officer to recommend to Judge W. E. PATTERSON that subject be returned to a probation status.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona

March 11, 1963

Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W.
REGER dated 3/11/63
at Phoenix, Arizona.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Phoenix, Arizona

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

March 11, 1963

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Characterization of informants in report
of SA ROY W. REGER dated March 11, 1963, at Phoenix.

PX T42 is a representative of another
Government agency.

PX T43 is an [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6
b7C
b7D

This document contains neither recommendations
nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the
FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents
are not to be distributed outside your agency.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 2/25/63

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

J U N E

SUBJECT: CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA,
JR., aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING;

JOSEPH BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING;

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka..
ANTI RACKETEERING

(BUDED 3/1/63)

To date, no opportunity has presented itself, with full security, to retrieve [REDACTED]
These matters are being closely supervised by the Phoenix Office.

2 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

GCS:mss
(3)

92-4494-
NOT RECORDED
140 MAR 5 1963

3-5
13 FEB 27 1963

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
F.B.I.

REC-51 103 44 03

RECEIVED
MAR 5 1963

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

SPIC. MAIL PM

6 MAR 8 1963

ORIGINAL FILED IN 92-4494-502

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 4/1/63

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

JUNE

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

Rebulet to Phoenix, 2/21/63; Phoenix let to
the Bureau, 2/25/63.

To date, no opportunity has presented itself,
with full security, to retrieve [REDACTED]

This matter is being closely followed by the
Phoenix office.

36
4-1
EX-100

2 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Phoenix

RWR/vtp
(3)

REC-3

92-4494-156
2 APR 3 1963

6
APR 11 1963

ONE
INT. SEC.
SPEC. MAT.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
ATTN: FBI Laboratory
Electronics Section

DATE: 4/8/63

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

"JUNE"

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

ReBulet to Phoenix, 2/21/63 and Phoenix letter to
Bureau 4/1/63.

With opportunity presenting itself on 4/8/63, the
44A Mate, designated as [redacted] was surreptitiously
recovered by SAS ROY W. REGER and [redacted] without
incident.

b2
b6
b7C

EXP. PROC.

REC- 74

3 - Bureau RM
1 - Phoenix

RWR;rfb
(4)

EX-102

92-4494-157

13
2 APR 12 1963

6th APR 25 1963

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
APR 12 1963
FBI - PHOENIX
SPEC. MAIL RM.

1 - Mr. J. D. Donohue
1 - Mr. Kelly

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

April 23, 1963

Director, FBI (92-4494) - 158 J U N E

REC-25

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: PHOENIX

Reurlet 4-18-63.

Bureau authority not granted at this time to conduct a survey to determine the feasibility of misur coverage at the subject's residence, 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona.

A misur previously utilized in this investigation was of limited value. All misurs must be completely justified.

You should closely follow the subject's activity to determine if a pattern is established disclosing that the subject is visited in his home on a regular basis by the hoodlum element. It has previously been reported that the subject has continued in his relationship with [redacted] his paramour and this may tend to reduce the amount of time he spends at his residence.


b6
b7C

If you develop information which would warrant misur coverage in the subject's residence, you may resubmit your request to the Bureau.

JEK:pew
(5)

NOTE: The subject is the son of Joseph Bonanno, the nationally prominent underworld figure. He has engaged in many business activities with a complete lack of success. Phoenix requests authority to conduct survey of subject's new Phoenix residence. A misur previously used in this investigation at his former place of business was of limited value. The subject is known to remain in regular contact with a paramour. It is recommended that Phoenix's request not be approved at this time until information is developed which would warrant misur coverage in his residence.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____



[Handwritten signatures and initials]

6 MAY 6 1963
MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 4/18/63

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

J U N E

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

Subject, who is the son of National Top Hoodlum JOSEPH BONANNO, recently purchased a home at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona.

Since moving into this home, Subject has had numerous visitors, including several visits by his father.

It is believed that a misur at Subject's residence would prove valuable in developing information concerning the activities, associates, and travel of both Subject and his father.

The Bureau is requested to authorize a survey for a misur installation at Subject's residence.

2 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Phoenix

RWR:SLG
(3)

REC-25

EX-117

12 APR 22 1963

SPECIAL MAIL RM.

REC-11

100-2
100-23-63
5216/100
1-Donohue

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE MAY 9 1963	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 3/8 - 4/30/63
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		REPORT MADE BY SA ROY W. REGER	TYPED BY vcb
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

SYNOPSIS:

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER, dated 3/11/63, at Phoenix.

-P-

LEADS

24
26
27 LOS ANGELES DIVISION

28 AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

29 Will maintain contact with [redacted], Loan Division,
Occidental Life Insurance Co., and arrange to be notified when and
30 how payments are made on the subject's loan. (This lead set out by
airtel on 4/3/63.)

31 NEW YORK DIVISION

AT NEW ROCHELLE, NEW YORK

APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: ③ - Bureau (92-4494) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144)(Info) 2 - New York (92-1586) 1 - San Francisco (92-340)(Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		92-4494-159	REC-30
		MAY 13 1963	EX-115
		STAT. SECT.	

50 MAY 16 1963

1 Comm Div Sent 5-6-63
5/15/63

120153

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

Will conduct credit criminal and indices check
on [REDACTED]

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

1. Will advise if Travelands, Inc., 598 Madison Ave., owned by [REDACTED] is known to be utilized by hoodlum element for travel reservations.

b6
b7C

2. Will arrange any possible coverage of activities of subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, when they go to New York to attend wedding of [REDACTED] to be held at the International Hotel, Idlewild, New York, on 7/6/63.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

Will determine identity of subscribers to telephone numbers MA 4-2376 and 793-1811.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report activities of the subject.

MILWAUKEE AND SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONS: (INFORMATION)

Information copies sent to these offices in view of associates and activities of the subject in these areas.

INFORMANTS

PX T-23 is [REDACTED]

PX T-36 is [REDACTED]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

-B-

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

PX T-37 is [REDACTED]

PX T-42 is [REDACTED]

Information from files of Valley National Bank,
16th St., and Camelback Office Phoenix, Arizona, was
furnished by [REDACTED]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

Information from the files of the Southern
Arizona Bank and Trust Co. Phoenix, Arizona, was furnished
by [REDACTED] 3rd Ave., and Osborn Rd.,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Information from the files of Southern Arizona
Bank and Trust Co., Tucson, Arizona, was furnished by
Mrs. [REDACTED]

-C*-

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: MAY 9 1963

Office: PHOENIX

Field Office File #: PX 92-172

Bureau File #: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject continues to reside at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona, but is delinquent in payments on home, and insurance on home reportedly cancelled. Subject obtained telephone service at home in name of SAM PAUL PROFACI, indicating he was in mortgage and real estate business. Former employees of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., opened new business known as Arizona Importing Co., at 1524 E. Pierson, Phoenix, Arizona. Subject frequently visited at home by father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and continues association with paramour [redacted].

b6
b7C

-P-

DETAILS:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	3
II. EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME	10
A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS	11
B. ARIZONA IMPORTING COMPANY	14
C. TELESCO TERRACE	17
D. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS	18
III. ASSOCIATES	23
A. [REDACTED]	24
B. [REDACTED]	26
C. JOSEPH BASKIN	27
D. [REDACTED]	28
E. [REDACTED]	29
F. [REDACTED]	30
G. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.	31
IV. TRAVEL	32

b6
b7c

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

On March 7, 1963, [] Loan Division, Occidental Life Insurance Co., 1151 South Broadway, Los Angeles, California, advised that Loan #95182 concerns loan on the property located at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona. [] stated this was a new account which was handled at the request of Phoenix Title and Trust Company.

b6
b7C

He indicated that monthly payments on the account are \$221.00 per month, due on the first of each month, and said that the payment for March 1, 1963, had not been received.

He noted that the file on SALVATORE and [] BONANNO of 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, was opened on March 6, 1963, in his office, and as of January 30, 1963, the outstanding indebtedness on the property was \$24,706.10.

On March 8, 1963, a 1962 light Blue Chevrolet Impala, Arizona license DER 392 was observed in the carport at the home of the subject, 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona. This is identical with the car which has recently been used by subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO.

On March 8, 1963, [] [] Scottsdale, Arizona, who lives directly across 64th St., from the subject's home, advised that he has noticed no unusual activity at the subject's home, other than the fact that they are building a wood fence around the back yard of the property. He said that subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., appears to be residing with the subject.

On March 9, 1963, Dr. [] advised that about noon, subject arrived at his home in an old model Cadillac, but he was unable to determine the license number.

b6
b7C

[] further advised that a man later entered subject's house, who was driving an old model car with Arizona license [].

Records of Motor Vehicle Division revealed that Arizona license [] was issued to [] [], Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1949 Chevrolet.

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

On March 12, 1963, DR. [] advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO was visiting subject on this date at subject's home.

On March 13, 1963, Mrs. [] Phoenix, Arizona, was shown photos of the subject, his relatives, and associates. She advised that she has observed the subject, his wife, and children, and that on March 12, 1963, she observed subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at subject's house during the day. She said there has been very little activity at the subject's house, and that subject and his family appear to be gone from the house a large portion of time during the day.

b6
b7C

On March 20, 1963, PX T-37 advised that JOSEPH BONANNO and his wife were visiting the subject at his home on this date, and probably came to see subject's son which was born at St. Joseph Hospital in Phoenix.

On March 22, 1963, [] Phoenix, Arizona, who is employed by Avis Rent-A-Car, 1244 S. 24th St., Phoenix, advised that at 4:46 PM, March 13, 1963, an individual using the name of WILLIAM BONANNO, and accompanied by a short, "squatty" person rented a 1963 Cadillac convertible, VIN 63FOS4705, with Arizona license DHK 438, signing rental contract #4215821.

According to the terms of the contract, the car was not to be moved out of the State of Arizona, and was to be returned by March 20, 1963. The renter used Avis International Credit Card #060263, issued to Salvatore V. BONANNO, 1847 E. Elm, Tucson, Arizona, and stated that this card belonged to the man with him. He exhibited Arizona Driver's license #30483, issued to WILLIAM BONANNO, and requested that the bill be sent to JOE BASKIN, Office Manager, Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona.

She advised that the car had not been returned as of this date, and that an unknown party who answered a telephone call to 1847 E. Elm, Tucson, stated he had never heard of Bonanno.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On April 1, 1963, [] Car Control, Avis Rent-A-Car, advised that the car was returned at 5:00 PM, March 23, 1963, with 775 miles having been put on it. He said that charges were made on the credit card, previously exhibited by BONANNO.

On March 25, 1963, DR. [] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, arrived at subject's home about Thursday, March 21, 1963, with his wife, in a blue Cadillac, with black top, and Arizona license CHV 209. JOSEPH remained at the house until about Saturday, March 23, 1963, and was believed to have left in the Cadillac. JOSEPH's wife remained at the house, and is still there.

On March 28, 1963, DR. [] advised that on this date he observed a white car with Arizona license DEY 634 at the subject's residence between about noon and 1:00 PM but could not see the occupant.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona license DEY 634 were issued to Hertz Rent-A-Car, Tucson, Arizona, for a 1963 Pontiac convertible.

On April 8, 1963, [] Hertz Rent-A-Car, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the aforementioned car had been turned in to Hertz at 24th St., in Phoenix, at 9:45 AM, April 6, 1963, by an individual who said he was an employee of BONANNO. She stated that payment for the rental of this car was charged to a Hertz Credit card.

On March 30, 1963, DR. [] advised that the subject, who has not been observed at his home for the past several days, was observed arriving at home on the morning of March 30, 1963, in a car with Arizona license DHM 434. He said that the subject appeared very "stiff" when he got out of the car, as if he had been drinking a long time.

PX 92-172

RWR/vcb

Dr. [] said that the subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, had been at the subject's house on March 28, 1963, and March 29, 1963, but had not been observed there on March 30, 1963. He did not observe the means of transportation which subject's father used.

He further advised that a man driving an old Pontiac, and having Arizona license [] was observed at subject's home on March 29, 1963, and again on March 30, 1963. It is noted that this license was issued to []

b6
b7C

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona license DHM 434 were issued to Avis Rent-A-Car, 139 N. Scott St., Tucson, Ariz., for a 1962 Chevrolet.

On April 3, 1963, [] Car Control, Avis Rent-A-Car, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject rented a 1962 Chevrolet convertible, Arizona license DHM 434, on March 23, 1963, using an Avis International Credit Card. He returned this car on April 2, 1963.

On April 1, 1963, Major [] Arizona Highway Patrol, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that his wife has observed the following cars parked at the subject's home:

1955 Pontiac [] registered to []
[] Phoenix, Arizona.

1962 Chevrolet [] registered to []
[] Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

1959 Pontiac, [] registered to []
[] Phoenix, Arizona.

1963 Cadillac, DHK 438, registered to Avis Rent-A-Car, 1244 S. 24th St., Phoenix, Arizona.

Major [] also advised that both he and his wife have heard what they believe to be a short wave radio receiving set being operated in the subject's house.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On April 8, 1963, DR. [redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that the Chevrolet with Arizona license [redacted] re-appeared at the subject's home on April 6, 1963, but he did not see the subject at the house until the night of April 7, 1963. He said he saw an individual, believed to be subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at the subject's house about this same time.

b6
b7C

He advised that he also saw a car with Arizona license [redacted] at the subject's house on this date.

It is noted that Arizona license [redacted] were issued to [redacted].

On April 11, 1963, DR. [redacted] advised that he had just observed subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO at subject's home. He said they were leaving the house with a small crippled man, in a blue Pontiac.

On April 11, 1963, PX T-23 advised that on March 15, 1963, a telephone with number 945-1218, was connected at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona. This is an un-listed, private line, in the name of SAM PAUL PROFACI. A \$50.00 guaranteed deposit was received, and application for service indicated that PROFACI was self-employed in mortgage and real estate business. He further indicated previous service for 7 years, at 242 Bay 10th St., Brooklyn, New York, Number BE 2-2739, and gave his wife's name as [redacted].

b6
b7C

Previous investigation has revealed that Brooklyn Telephone number BE 2-2739 is listed to SAL PROFACI 242 Bay 10th St., Brooklyn, New York, and is still a working number.

On April 22, 1963, PX T-23 advised that the following toll charges were made to telephone number 945-1218:

3/31/63 - Tucson, Arizona, No. MA 4-2376

4/9/63 - Tucson, Arizona, No. 793-1811.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On April 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that the insurance on subject's home at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano had been cancelled by the firm of Cash, Sullivan and Cross.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

II. EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME

A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

On March 11, 1963, PX T-37 advised that the subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO are intending to put some more money into Allied Food Distributors, Inc. He said that they are presently attempting to stall the creditors, and have signed an \$18,000.00 chattel to [redacted] [redacted], a cheese manufacturer from California.

[redacted], Scottsdale, Arizona, office phone CR 4-1168, who is owner of the property occupied by Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and located at 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, advised as follows on March 8, 1963:

b6
b7C

On March 7, 1963, he talked to [redacted] about his plans for vacating the property occupied by Allied Food Distributors, Inc., or paying the back rent due, which is \$800.00.

[redacted] stated that he is owner of this business in name only, and actually has nothing to say about the operation of the business, or financial arrangements. He stated that BILL BONANNO bought the business some time ago, and is responsible for these matters.

He advised he has told BILL that it will require \$50,000.00 investment in the business in order to put it back on an operating basis. BILL said he intends to go to Tucson, Arizona this next weekend, will see about raising this money, and will let [redacted] know the following Monday.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said he will contact [redacted] on Monday, and let him know whether the business will continue. He said that in the event it discontinues, he will probably go in the grocery business with his brother, and would be interested in starting a new grocery store. He evidenced interest in possibly purchasing other property owned by [redacted], which is located at 7th St., and McDowell Rd., in Phoenix, for a new grocery store.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

[] said that he will start eviction proceedings against Allied if some definite arrangements are not made the following week.

On March 14, 1963, [] advised that he had contacted [] and JOE BASKIN at the office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and they had stated they are attempting to "refinance" the business and start operating again. They said they would have the back rent by noon March 14, 1963. [] stated that he did not hear from them by noon, went to the office, and they were not there. He said he then immediately contacted his attorney who is writing a letter to [] this date, instructing him to vacate the premises within five days.

b6
b7C

On March 21, 1963, [] advised that the Allied Food Distributors, Inc., were vacating the premises located at 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, on this date, and that the moving was being handled by a truck rented from Rental City.

On March 26, 1963, PX T-36 advised that a request was received from Allied Food Distributors, Inc., to disconnect their telephone service on this date. Informant stated that a bill in excess of \$258.00 is presently owed for telephone service, and a representative at Allied said they were unable to pay this bill at present, but would do so when they could.

Date 4/3/63

Files of the Valley National Bank, 16th Street and Camelback Office, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed the following information:

On March 22, 1963, subject purchased a cashier's check, in the amount of \$897.76, payable to Grande Cheese Company, for Allied Food Distributors (Invoice No. 4587F). This purchase was made with nine one hundred dollar bills.

This information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, directed to [redacted] Manager, Valley National Bank, 16th Street and Camelback Office, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 4/2/63 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER:JMK Date dictated 4/2/63

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

B. ARIZONA IMPORTING COMPANY

On April 2, 1963, PX T-23 advised that a telephonic request was received by the Mountain States Telephone and Telgraph Co., Phoenix, Arizona, on March 20, 1963, for telephone service at Arizona Importing Company, 1524 E. Pierson, Phoenix, Arizona. The calling party identified himself as [redacted], who stated he would be the responsible party. He indicated that he had previous service in Phoenix at WI 3-3591, and furnished as a reference, his home telephone YE 9-2833. He further stated that he was formerly employed by F & M Cigarette Co.

b6
b7C

Informant stated that telephone service was installed as requested on March 20, 1963, with assigned number 274-4676.

Informant further advised that [redacted] has had residence telephone service at [redacted] Phoenix, number YE 9-2833, since June, 1962, and prior service at WI 3-3591 from 1957 to June, 1962, at which time his employment was shown as a salesman for Arizona Cigarette Service. His paking habits have always been satisfactory.

b6
b7C

PX T-37 advised that the Arizona Importing Co. is merely a change in name from Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and that the same employees from Allied are connected with the new company, including JOE BASKIN, [redacted] and subject. He said the new company intends to handle nothing but cheese and cheese products. He said that the subject has no official connection with the company, but will probably derive an income from the company as he did with Allied.

Date 4/2/63

Files of the Valley National Bank, 16th Street and Camelback Office, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed the following information:

On March 29, 1963, a checking account was opened with a deposit of \$117.90, in the name of Arizona Importing Company, 1524 East Pierson, Phoenix, Arizona, telephone number 274-4676. Sole owner and authorized signer for checks is shown as [redacted], who furnished reference as 35th Avenue and Bethany Home Office of Valley National Bank. Type of business is indicated as wholesale foods.

Files indicated that inquiry conducted at 35th Avenue and Bethany Home Office revealed that [redacted] has a personal account there, showing residence address as [redacted] Phoenix; wife - [redacted] and employment as Allied Food Distributors.

b6
b7c

This information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted], Manager, Valley National Bank, 16th Street and Camelback Office, Phoenix, Arizona.

On 4/2/63 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER:JMK Date dictated 4/2/63

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On April 3, 1963, [] owner, [] Shangri-La, 1575 E. Camelback Rd., Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he is the owner of the property located at [] in Phoenix, and has this property rented to [] who operates Aristocrat Floor Covering Company.

[] said that [] sub-leased a part of the property about one month ago to some company reported to be engaged in the food business.

[] stated that an office at the rear of the property is leased by him to [] manager of A. L. GREENBAUM CO., of Los Angeles, which company is engaged in the business of floor tile.

On the afternoon of April 29, 1963, the 1962 blue Chevrolet with Arizona license [] which is currently being used by the subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, was observed at the office of Arizona Importing Co., 1574 E. Pierson, Phoenix, Arizona, by Capt. [] and [] of the Phoenix Police Department.

b6
b7C

C. TELESCO TERRACE

On March 8, 1963, SA [] examined the records of the Pima County Recorder, Tucson, Arizona, which revealed the following information:

Liber 1964, Page 67, under date of July 16, 1962, in consideration of \$10.00 and other valuable considerations, the Trans West Trust Inc., conveyed to SALVATORE V. BONANNO and [] (H & W) Lots 1 to 12 inclusive, of Telesco Terrace. [] signed as President and [] as Secretary for Trans West Trust, Inc. A recording fee of \$1.75 was paid, but the conveyance bore no federal tax stamps.

b6
b7C

Liber 2043, Page 9, under date of January 14, 1963; in consideration of \$10.00 and other valuable considerations, SALVATORE V. BONANNO and [] his wife, conveyed lots 1 to 12 inclusive of Telesco Terrace as follows:

4/10 interest to []
(husband and wife)

3/10 interest to CHARLES BATTAGLIA and []
BATTAGLIA (husband and wife)

b6
b7C

3/10 interest to the Apache Realty and Development Corporation.

This conveyance was notarized by []

[]

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

D. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

Date April 15, 1963b6
b7C

Mr. [REDACTED] Treasurer, Bank of Commerce, Newark Branch, made available duplicate certified check vouchers of six certified checks issued by the Bank of Commerce, Southside Branch, Newark, New Jersey, in favor of SALVATORE BONANNO, January 14, 1963.

Details of these checks are as follows:

Check No. 6486 drawn on the account of Airport Motel, Incorporated, in favor of SALVATORE BONANNO in the amount of \$2,000.

Check No. 6487 drawn on the account of Airport Motel, Incorporated, in favor of SALVATORE BONANNO in the amount of \$2,000.

Check No. 6488 drawn on the account of Airport Motel, Incorporated, in favor of SALVATORE BONANNO in the amount of \$2,000.

Check No. 6489 drawn on the account of the Airport Motel, Incorporated, in favor of SALVATORE BONANNO in the amount of \$2,000.

Check No. 6490 drawn on the account of the Airport Motel, Incorporated, in favor of SALVATORE BONANNO in the amount of \$2,000.

Check No. 6491 drawn on the account of the Airport Motel, Incorporated, in favor of SALVATORE BONANNO in the amount of \$2,437.50.

On 4/8/63 at Newark, New Jersey File # NK 92-778

by SA [REDACTED] :tdf /vcb Date dictated 4/10/63

b6
b7C

Date April 18, 1963

Records of Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, 313 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed the following information:

The following cashier's checks, issued by this bank on January 24, 1963 to SALVATORE BONANNO, were cashed at the indicated places:

#4260, amount of \$2,000, cashed on March 1, 1963 at Head Office, Southern Arizona Bank & Trust Company, Tucson, Arizona.

#4261, amount of \$2,000, cashed on March 7, 1963, at same place.

#4262, amount of \$2,000, cashed on March 7, 1963 at same place.

Records revealed that the following Cashier's Checks, issued on January 24, 1963 to SALVATORE BONANNO, have not yet been cashed:

#4263, amount of \$2,000.

#4264, amount of \$2,000.

#4266, amount of \$2,437.50.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to , Manager, Southern Arizona Bank & Trust Company, 3rd Avenue & Osborn Road Branch, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 4/15/63 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/slg Date dictated 4/15/63

Date 4/24/63

Records of Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Main Office, Tucson, Arizona, revealed that the following cashier's checks were issued by that office:

#529445, dated March 7, 1963, in amount of \$5,196.58, payable to Internal Revenue Service, and endorsed by Internal Revenue Service, #114001831, and issued to SALVATORE BONANNO;

#529450, dated March 7, 1963, in amount of \$1,604.00, payable to Internal Revenue Service, and endorsed by Internal Revenue Service, #426001381, and issued to SALVATORE BONANNO;

The above information is confidential and can be obtained only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted], cashier, Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, 150 North Stone Avenue, Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 4/17/63 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/dsn Date dictated 4/22/63

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

III. ASSOCIATES

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

A. [redacted]

PX T-37 advised that [redacted] is now dating [redacted] (PH), who claims that the INS is investigating him as a former member of the Fascist Party in Italy, and is worried about being deported.

b6
b7C

Informant said that [redacted] is very desirous of leaving the subject, but is afraid of him. She claims not to have had sexual relations with the subject for over a month. She also claims that the subject promised to divorce his wife and marry her after their baby was born. *NI/*

Informant said that the subject is drinking very heavily recently, and is going on "crying drunks". During these drunks, he is destructive around [redacted] house, where he is spending most of his time, and actually punches holes in the walls with his fist. On one occasion, he got out a gun, which he keeps at [redacted] house, and asked [redacted] to shoot him. He also talks of suicide.

b6
b7C

Informant further advised that subject steals money from [redacted] purse, and goes through this purse at her house continually.

Informant stated that [redacted] perjured herself in testimony given to Internal Revenue Service, by telling them that her trip to New York with subject was made on credit cards, whereas it was actually paid for in cash.

Informant further stated that an older man, (FNU) [redacted], who is in the wine business in San Francisco, is a frequent visitor at Arizona Manor in Phoenix and is very friendly with [redacted] and has given her money and gifts.

b6
b7C

At 11:09 AM, March 11, 1963, SA ROY W. REGER observed a 1962 Chevrolet, Arizona license [redacted] at the residence of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

It is noted that this car is registered to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and is alternately used by the subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO.

On April 16, 1963, SA [] observed a car with Arizona license [] in the drive-way at the residence of [] Phoenix, Arizona, at 5:50 AM. It is noted that this is the Chevrolet registered to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., which is used by subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and was observed at subject's residence, 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona.

On April 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [] the illegitimate son of subject and [] was baptized at St. Mary's Church in Phoenix, on April 21, 1963, with both subject and [] present at the baptism.

b6
b7C

On April 23, 1963, at 6:00 AM, SA [] observed the car which the subject has been driving, a 1962 Chevrolet with Arizona license [] parked at the residence of [], Phoenix, Arizona.

On April 26, 1963, at 9:05 AM, the 1962 Chevrolet, with Arizona license [] which is used by subject and his father, was observed in the carport at the home of [] Phoenix, Arizona, by SAS ROY W. REGER and [].

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

B.

Ariz.

On March 20, 1963, PX T-37 advised that the subject is considering going into the mortgage and investment business with whose wife formerly worked as a waitress at the Romulus Restaurant. He said that claims to be a nephew of ALBERT ANASTASIA.

On April 1, 1963, CAPT. Phoenix PD, advised that subject was observed at 11:00 PM, March 28, 1963, at RESTAURANT, 44th St., and Indian School Rd., driving a 1963 white Pontiac convertible, Arizona license DEY 634.

b6
b7C

On April 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that had recently written a bad check for \$1,500.00 and was having financial difficulty in the operation of his restaurant.

He said that had recently bought out the interest of in Restaurant, and is now sole owner. He said also continues to work for Berkshire Mortgage Co., in the Mayer Central Building.

He advised that subject continues to frequent Restaurant.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

C. JOSEPH BASKIN

Ariz

PX T-37 advised that subject continues to depend very heavily on JOE BASKIN, and is lost without JOE around. BASKIN reportedly made the statement that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, told him (BASKIN) that he should take very good care of himself, as it was important to JOSEPH BONANNO that BASKIN stay well.

On April 3, 1963, [redacted] Collection Manager; Southwestern Investment Co., 823 N. 1st St., Phoenix, Arizona, advised that a repossessed 1957 Cadillac, SN 5762091218, Arizona license CHV 209, was sold by his company to Arizona Auto Brokers in Phoenix, who in turn sold this car, for cash, to [redacted] son of JOSEPH BASKIN.

b6
b7C

On April 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that JOSEPH BASKIN was again confined in the Scottsdale Hospital with heart trouble, and was in bad shape. Informant stated that subject attempted to talk BASKIN out of going to the hospital.

Informant advised that BASKIN has a short wave radio, tuned to Phoenix Police Department broadcasts, in his Cadillac.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

D. PAUL PRIMOCK

Ariz.

On March 11, 1963, PX T-37 advised that PAUL PRIMOCK, subject's attorney, suffered a heart attack on Saturday, March 9, 1963, and is in serious condition at a hospital.

On March 20, 1963, PX T-37 advised that PRIMOCK died on this date.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

E. [REDACTED]

Ariz

b6
b7C

On April 9, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [REDACTED] is reported to have recently obtained \$12,000.00 from SAL PROFACI, the brother of subject's wife, and intends to start a restaurant next door to the Fogcutter in Scottsdale, Arizona, where he will sell pizza, sandwiches, beer and wine. He said the subject presently has no interest in this transaction, but will probably attempt to work his way into the business.

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

F. [redacted]

On April 21, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject and his wife appear to be friendly with [redacted] who is staying at Mountain Shadows in Scottsdale, Arizona. He said that subject has been playing golf with [redacted]

On April 29, 1963, [redacted] Manager, Mountain Shadows, Scottsdale, Arizona, advised from records that they received a letter from [redacted] owner, Travelands, Inc., 598 Madison Ave., New York City, requesting reservations for [redacted] on April 16, 1963. Records of Mountain Shadows indicate that [redacted] was a guest at Mountain Shadows from April 16, 1963 to April 21, 1963. He furnished his address as [redacted] New Rochelle, New York and indicated that his wife was the owner of a travel agency.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

G. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.

On March 22, 1963, Lt. [REDACTED] Maricopa Co. SO, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., subject's brother, had been arrested by the MCSO for car theft, and possible burglary, and was confined at the Juvenile Detention Center. He gave his address as [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Scottsdale, Arizona.

On April 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, had not been informed about the recent arrest of JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., on car theft charges.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

IV. TRAVEL

PX 92-172
RWR/vcb

On March 2, 1963, SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ observed the subject at E. 6th and Cherry Sts., Tucson, Arizona, driving a 1960 Buick convertible with Arizona license CRB 398.

Records of Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona license [redacted] were issued to [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1960 Buick convertible.

On April 9, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject and his father, Joseph BONANNO, plan on attending the wedding of [redacted], sister of subject's wife; which will be held at the International Hotel, Idlewild, New York, on July 6, 1963.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

PHOENIX, ARIZONA
May 13, 1963

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. REGER,
dated May 9, 1963, at Phoenix,
Arizona.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

5/23/63

EX-112
REC-73

Director, FBI (92-4404) -160

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AP

Re Phoenix airtel 5/15/63.

Bureau approval granted to anonymously mail a copy of the article which appeared in the "Arizona Republic," Phoenix, Arizona, on 5/12/63, which was forwarded in reairtel. This mailing should be to Salvatore Profaci, [redacted] brother. The New York Office should furnish Phoenix with the address of Salvatore Profaci.

An anonymous handwritten note should accompany the article. It should read as follows:

[redacted] husband is a rat like all Bonannos."

A commercially purchased envelop and stationery should be used. All precautionary steps should likewise be taken to insure this mailing cannot be traced to the Bureau.

The Bureau should be advised when the mailing has been made. Any tangible results from this mailing should be brought to the Bureau's attention.

2 - New York (92-1586)

NOTE: See Evans to Belmont memo, same caption, 5/12/63. JEK:asg.

1 - Disruption - Criminal Intelligence file

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

JEK:asg
(7)

317

MAY 31 1963



MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: May 17, 1963

FROM : C. A. Evans

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Casper _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Gale _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holmes _____
 Gandy _____

In line with our efforts to disrupt the leaders of organized criminal activity, our Phoenix Office has requested authority to anonymously mail a copy of a newspaper article pertaining to the attempted suicide of [redacted] the wife of William Salvatore Bonanno, to the Profaci family in New York.

William Salvatore Bonanno is the son of Joseph Bonanno, who has been identified as a member of the "commission," and although residing in Tucson, Arizona, still controls a segment of New York City rackets.

Our informants have reported that the attempted suicide is a direct result of William Salvatore Bonanno's treatment of his wife. Bonanno has continued his illicit relationship with his paramour, by whom he had a child in January, 1962.

Salvatore Profaci, the brother of [redacted] is considered by our sources to be the strongest of the Profaci relatives and the apparent leader in the family, succeeding the deceased underworld figure Joseph Profaci.

The information regarding [redacted] attempted suicide could cause a rift between the Profaci and Bonanno families, two of the most prominent underworld groups. This matter could be taken as a personal insult by the Profacis in view of the close relationship existent in the Profaci family.

ACTION

If approved, the Phoenix Office will be instructed as to the manner in which the newspaper article, with a provocative letter, will be mailed. Instructions will also be given regarding appropriate precautions to insure that the matter will in no way be identified with the Bureau. Attached for your information is a copy of the newspaper article.

Enc.

ENCLOSURE

EX-115

REC-62

12 MAY 20 1963

JEK:asg
(6)

Mrs. Rosalie Bonanno Admitted to Hospital

SCOTTSDALE—Mrs. Rosalie Bonanno, of 6331 E. Calle del Paisano, wife of Salvatore Bonanno, last night was in Baptist Hospital recovering from what Scottsdale police reported was an overdose of barbituates.

Mrs. Bonanno is the daughter-in-law of Giuseppe Bonanno of Tucson and the niece of Joe Profaci, numbered among the top echelon of the crime syndicate in the United States.

Scottsdale police reported Mrs. Bonanno was incoherent when admitted to the hospital Friday night.

Officers said she had told them that she had taken a number of pills because she just wanted to sleep.

The officers reported Mrs. Bonanno said she and her 30-year-old husband were having difficulties.

In January, 1962, her Mrs. Bonanno husband was given three years' probation in Maricopa County Superior Court after being convicted of drawing on an account containing insuf-



Bonanno



ficient funds. Probation was canceled in December 1962, after Bonanno made restitution. The judge who signed the probation cancellation said at the time that Bonanno's attorney made representations to the court that Bonanno was leaving Arizona to go into business back East.

Bonanno's whereabouts yesterday were not learned.

92 - 4494 - 160

ENCLOSURE

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 5/13/63

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Re report of SA ROY W. REGER, dated 5/9/63, at
Phoenix.

There is enclosed for all offices receiving above
referenced report one copy each for each copy of the above
report received, form FD 323, to be attached to the back of
this report.

*1 cc to Bureau
of 5/13/63
1 cc to 1581*

*ENCLOSURE
DETACHED & FILED
IN 56-159
MAY 15/23/63*

92-4494

NOT RECORDED

9 MAY 17 1963

MB

- ② - Bureau (Encl. 3)
- 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Encl. 2)
- 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - New York (92-1586) (Encl. 2)
- 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR/ach
(7)

MAY 22 1963

LEI

REC'D MAY 30 1963

F B I

Date: 5/15/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

For the information of the Bureau and New York, on 5/10/63, [] contacted SA ROY W. REGER and advised that JOE BASKIN, a close associate of subject who is presently confined at the Baptist Hospital in Scottsdale, Arizona, received a telephone call from [] subject's wife, who indicated that she might attempt suicide due to the poor treatment by her husband.

Informant said he went to subject's home, and the children advised him that their mother was asleep. He also learned that both [] and JOE BASKIN had unsuccessfully attempted to locate subject, who was at the time with his paramour, [].

Informant was advised to return to subject's home immediately and determine if [] had, in fact, attempted suicide. He subsequently advised that [] had taken an overdose of sleeping pills, and was unconscious. He arranged to have her taken immediately to the hospital in Scottsdale, Arizona, where she was treated. It was informant's belief that she would have died in event she had not received this immediate treatment.

- ③ - Bureau (Enc 1) ENCLOSURE
1 - New York (Info) (92-1586) (Enc 1)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - [])

RWR/rfb
(6)

REC-108

92-4494-161
24
MAY 16 1963

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

PX 92-172

Informant stated that subject was located later in the evening and told [] at the hospital that she should do a better job next time. He said that subject then went to his home and took his children to the residence of [] to care for them while [] was in the hospital.

b6
b7C

Subject returned his wife from the hospital on 5/11/63 but let her out of the car and drove off to see [].

On 5/13/63 [] was returned to the hospital, having suffered a relapse due to the overdose of barbituates, but again returned home on 5/14/63.

Informant stated that [] mother in New York immediately proceeded to Phoenix by plane, after reading an AP news story of this event and is presently staying with []. They have stated that [] and the children will return with Mrs. [] to New York and have indicated a possible permanent separation from subject. It is also reported that [] brother, SAL PROFACI, will fly to Phoenix and it is anticipated that some trouble might result.

b6
b7C

Informant further stated that [], an acquaintance of subject, stated that subject has overdone things and is now at the end of his rope. [] indicated that there will probably be plenty of trouble from the PROFACIs now.

Informant was of the opinion that if [] leaves subject permanently, subject will attempt to persuade [] to leave the United States with him, especially in view of the fact that subject allegedly has a passport to Italy and other European countries.

The Phoenix PD has been notified of possible trouble in the vicinity of subject's home.

Enclosed for the Bureau and New York is a newspaper article concerning the attempted suicide, which appeared in "The Arizona Republic" newspaper, Phoenix, Arizona, 5/12/63.

It is suggested that the Bureau authorize New York to anonymously mail this article to the PROFACI family in New York.

F B I

Date: 5/29/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONNANO, aka;
AR
OO: Phoenix

Rebuairtel to Phoenix, 5/23/63 and New York
radiogram to Phoenix, 5/27/63.

Mailing made at Phoenix 5/29/63.

New York advise Bureau and Phoenix of any tangible
results.

③ - Bureau
2 - New York (92-1586)
2 - Phoenix

RWR/ijc
(7)

REC-62

EX-117

MAY 31 1963

C. C. Wick

56 JUN

Approved: _____

5-263

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

F B I

Date: 6/20/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

On 6/19/63, [] advised that subject's paramour, [] is definitely desirous of discontinuing all relationship with subject and returning to her home in Germany with her children. [] has indicated that she knows enough on subject to "Send him away", and would probably be willing to furnish such information to a law enforcement agency if she could receive assurance that her return to Germany with her children could be effected.

It is noted that [] is a German alien and has three children. Two of these children are by her former husband, from whom she is divorced, and were born in the United States. The other child is an illegitimate son of [] and the subject, although the Arizona birth certificate indicates the name of the father as WILLIAM SALVATORE SCHMID.

Informant has described [] as a pathological liar and he is of the opinion that [] may not possess sufficient information concerning subject which would serve as the basis for any successful prosecution but that she could possibly have such information.

3 - Bureau
2 - Washington Field REC-91
2 - Phoenix
(1 - [])

RWR/rfb

[]

92-4494-163
JUN 22 1963

JUN 26 1963

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

b2

PX 92-172

Washington Field is requested to determine through contact with the State Department whether there would be any known difficulty from the United States or German governments in effecting the return of [redacted] and her children to Nuremburg, Germany.

It is believed that the Phoenix Office could utilize any assurance of no difficulty in an interview with [redacted].

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 6/25/63

ST
Transmit the following in _____

Via AIRTEL

AIR MAIL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
SAC, Chicago (92-765)
SAC, New York (92-1586)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

On 6/24/63, [] advised that [] has been picking up Subject's mail since Subject left Phoenix, but has given no indication as to what he is doing with this mail.

Informant stated that on the night of 6/22/63, [] advised informant that he had just received a long distance telephone call from Subject, concerning a hospital bill. [] claimed that he did not know where this call originated, but stated he is of the belief that Subject is either in Chicago or New York. [] also said he doubts if Subject will ever return to Phoenix to live, and believes that Subject intends to move to either Chicago or New York, inasmuch as he has no way to make a living in Arizona.

Informant advised that in his opinion, both Subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, will attend the wedding of [], the sister of Subject's wife, which is reportedly to be held at International Airport, Idlewild, New York, on 7/6/63. He said that if they do not attend, this would indicate a very definite serious split between the PROFACI and BONANNO families.

3 - Bureau
2 - Chicago
2 - New York
1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info)
4 - Phoenix (1 - 92-28)
(1 - [])

REC-91

92-4494-164
JUN 27 1963

RWR:SLG

CC - Wick
56 JUL 1 1963
192

b2
b6
b7C
b7D
7

PX 92-172
RWR:SLG

Chicago and New York requested to continue to be alert for presence of Subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, in your Divisions.

[redacted] New York requested to arrange coverage of [redacted] marriage on 7/6/63, if possible, and determine if Subject and JOSEPH BONANNO appear.

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 6/28/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

A I R T E L

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (92-1586) (P)

SUBJECT : WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO aka
AR
(OO: PHOENIX)

Rerep of SA ROY W. REGER, 5/9/63, Phoenix, and
Phoenix airtel, 6/25/63, captioned as above.

Mr. [redacted] Banquet Manager, International
Hotel, New York International Airport, NYC, advised on 6/25/63,
that Mrs. [redacted] Brooklyn, NY,
BE 2-2739, on 5/29/63, had made reservations at the above
hotel for a wedding reception to be held on 7/6/63. This
reception was for [redacted]

b6
b7c

Mr. [redacted] stated that this reception was to be
held in the Starlight Gardens with 200 people guaranteed
but 250 people expected. SAL PROFACI, brother of [redacted]
gave a \$250.00 deposit; affair to cost approximately \$3,600.00.

Mr. [redacted] advised that the reception would commence
at approximately 7:00 PM with dinner to be served at 8:00 PM.
He stated that SAL PROFACI had made his own reservations for a
photographer, music, flowers and gave no indication that a
guest list would be made available to the hotel staff prior
to the reception.

Review of case entitled "SALVATORE PROFACI, JR.;
AR" (NY 92-2431, Bufile 92-6208) reflects informant information

- ③ - Bureau (92-4494)
- 2 - Phoenix (92-172)
- (1 - 92-28)
- 1 - New York (92-638)
- 1 - New York (92-1586)
- RAA:RM
- (8)

REC-52

EX-102

25 JUN 29 1963

92-4494-165

56 JUL 5 1963

Approved: [Signature] Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

[CC - Wick]

NY 92-1586

indicating there is to be wedding between [redacted]
and one [redacted] in NYC. Information reflects [redacted]
[redacted] resides [redacted], Tampa, Florida.

b6
b7C

NYO will cover wedding reception and will be alert
for subject and father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and other members of
BONANNO "family" and JOSEPH MAGLIOCCO "family", formerly the
JOSEPH PROFACI "family".

F B I

Date: 6/28/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) AND SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

FROM: SAC, WFO (92-408) RUC

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka

AR

00: PHOENIX

Re Phoenix airtel 6/20/63. Facts refel discussed hypothetically by SA [] with [], Washington Field Office, INS, 6/27/63, who stated there appears to be no bar to return to Germany by [] with all three children on basis information available. He recommended local INS office file on [] be reviewed to verify her permanent resident status and determine if she is regarded in good standing as resident alien in U. S. Each alien required furnish local INS Alien Address Report Card, January 1st of each year. He stated question of American passports for children within province of Department of State but children are all dual nationals under German law and German Consulate would undoubtedly include all three on [] German passport for travel to Germany. He noted possibility divorce settlement between [] and first husband might raise problems.

Facts also discussed 6/27/63 by SA [] with Mrs. [], Chief of Washington Passport Agency, Passport Office, Department of State, who advised U. S. passport could be issued without question to older of two children by first husband to include the younger, and another U. S. passport could be issued to illegitimate child, provided [] has children with her and presents proper birth certificates reflecting birth in U. S. Need two passports because third child has different surname and not brother to others. Above obtains unless Passport Office at time of issuing passports had lookout cards re first two children based upon court order obtained by [] first husband barring their departure from U. S. because of visitation rights, etc.

Since [] resides Phoenix, passport applications would be filed - USDC, Phoenix. Clerks of USDC have instructions to accept applications in such situations without requiring spouse to produce written approval of other party to allow children to get passports, as was rule up to about three years ago. Clerk of USDC might

③ Bureau C.-C. Wick

2 Phoenix (92-172) 4/11

App WFO:

KJH:VIM Special Agent in Charge

Sent

12 JUL 1 1963

M

Per

WFO 92-408

question [] re such situation but would have to forward applications to Passport Agency in Los Angeles or San Francisco for issue.

Mrs. [] stated there is no bar to all three children being included on German passport of mother by German Consulate since there is exemption to U. S. Travel Control Regulations allowing such children to depart U. S. without U. S. passports, although INS at port of departure might question [] re birth data on children, but they could depart legally.

b6
b7C

LEADS

PHOENIX OFFICE

At Phoenix, consider having [] local INS file reviewed for background data and her present status.

Consider obtaining copy of divorce decree to ascertain exact arrangements made re children.

NA 6/29/63
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
JUN 29 1963

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

7/8/63

7
URGENT 6/29/63 7-39 PM MST AJ
TO DIRECTOR, FBI /92-4494/ AND
SAC, NEW YORK CITY /92-1586/
NEW YORK CTY VIA WASHINGTON
FROM SAC, PHOENIX /92-172/ 1-PG

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, AKA. AR. OO PHOENIX.
INFORMANT ADVISED THAT SAL PROFACI TELEPHONED SUBJECT-S
PARAMOUR, [REDACTED], AT THE CLOWN-S DEN, WHERE SHE IS
EMPLOYED IN PHOENIX, FROM NEW YORK ON NIGHT OF JUNE TWENTY-
EIGHT LAST, AND TOLD HER SUBJECT-S WIFE, [REDACTED] ON EDGE OF
HAVING NERVOUS BREAKDOWN.

SAL ASKED [REDACTED] IF SUBJECT HAD PREVIOUSLY FORCED [REDACTED]
TO ACCOMPANY SUBJECT ON VISIT TO [REDACTED] AT HER PHOENIX RESIDENCE
AND [REDACTED] REPLIED THAT HE HAD.

SAL STATED SUBJECT QUOTE IS HERE ENQUOTE, BUT IS DOING
NOTHING TO HELP SITUATION BETWEEN HE AND HIS WIFE. SAL SAID
HE WOULD TELEPHONE [REDACTED] AGAIN ON NIGHT OF JUNE TWENTYNINE
AND TALK TO HER FURTHER.

NEW YORK BE ALERT FOR TROUBLE BETWEEN PROFACI AND BONANNO
FAMILIES AND CONTINUE ATTEMPTS TO VERIFY PRESENCE OF SUBJECT
AND HIS FATHER, JOSEPH BONANNO, IN NEW YORK CITY.

ENDLAND ACK PLS 6-7

WA---10-42 PM OK FBI WA RL FOR RELAY

REC-74 92-4494-167
12 JUL 1 1963
9/AS
RELAYED TO NY

b6
b7C

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

7
URGENT 7-1-63 1-09 PM MST DDS
O DIRECTOR, FBI /92-4494/ AND SACS NEW YORK CITY/92-1586/
AND MILWAUKEE /92-144/

FROM SAC, PHOENIX /92-172/

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, AKA. AR. OO PHOENIX.

RE PHOENIX TEL TO BUREAU AND NEW YORK CITY JUNE TWENTY
NINE LAST.

INFORMANT ADVISED THAT ON NIGHT OF JUNE TWENTY NINE
LAST, SUBJECT-S PARAMOUR, [REDACTED], RECEIVED ANOTHER
TELEPHONE CALL FROM SAL PROFACI AT HER PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT
AT THE CLOWN-S DEN, PHOENIX,. PROFACI INDICATED HE IS NOW
CONVINCED SUBJECT HAS BEEN LYING TO HIM, AND SUGGESTED [REDACTED]
RETURN TO HER HOME IN GERMANY AS THERE MIGHT BE QUOTE TROUBLE
END QUOTE.

b6
b7C

IMMEDIATELY THEREAFTER [REDACTED] RECEIVED CALL FROM SUBJECT,
WHO STATED HE WAS IN MILWAUKEE, AND REQUESTED HER TO TELEPHONE
HIM THERE TUESDAY NIGHT AT NUMBER PR SIX ZERO THREE ONE ZERO.

MILWAUKEE DISCREETLY VERIFY SUBJECT-S PRESENCE THAT AREA,
AND ATTEMPT DETERMINE WHETHER ACCOMPANIED BY FATHER, JOSEPH
BONANNO. ALSO ATTEMPT TO VERIFY MEANS AND TIME OF DEPARTURE.

END AND ACK PLS

8 IS THIS RELAY NY YES

4-11 PM OK FBI WA NH FOR RELAY

3-12 PM OK FBI MI TJC

THU CLEAR

EX-102

REC-53 92-4494-168
JUL 3 1963

F B I

Date: 7/5/63

Transmit the following in AIRTEL
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

To: Director, FBI (92-4494)
SAC, Phoenix (92-107)

From: SAC, Milwaukee (92-144) P

Subject: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO,
aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Phoenix tel to Bureau 7/1/63; Milwaukee tel to Phoenix, 7/1/63,
and Phoenix tel to Milwaukee 7/3/63.

Referenced Phoenix tel to Bureau, 7/1/63, states Subject's paramour
[redacted] was to call Subject in Milwaukee 7/2/63 at
PR 6-0310.

Milwaukee exchanges have no exchange starting with prefix of "PR."
The only exchanges which would come close and still have the first
prefix of "6" are BR 6-0310 and GR 6-0310. BR 6-0310 is listed to
[redacted] Milwaukee indices negative re
[redacted]. This address located in the Negro district. GR 6-0310 is
listed to Elaine's, 7010 W. Greenfield. This is a women's apparel
shop.

On 7/2/63, PCI [redacted] hostess at Gallagher's Steak House,
which is operated by [redacted] top hoodlum in the
Milwaukee area, advised that she has not seen nor has she heard
that Subject is in Milwaukee.

On 7/2/63, [redacted] PCI, who [redacted] on
[redacted] Street, advised that he has not heard nor has he seen Subject
in Milwaukee.

[redacted] who is familiar with the operations of [redacted]
hoodlum attorney here in Milwaukee and whom Subject normally

- 3 Bureau (AM)
2 Phoenix (AM)
1 Chicago (92-765) (Info) (Regular Mail)
1 New York (92-1586) (Info) (AM)
1 Milwaukee ABK:bs (8)

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

62 JUL 10 1963

b6
b7Cb2
b6
b7C
b7D

13 JUL 6 1963

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

MI 92-144

contacts when he comes to Milwaukee, has failed to reflect that Subject in contact with [REDACTED].

Detective [REDACTED] Fond du Lac, Wisc., PD, advised on 7/2/63 that Subject is well known to him and that after contacting his sources in Fond du Lac, Wisc., he has been unable to ascertain that Subject has been in the Fond du Lac, Wisc., area.

Detective [REDACTED], Special Squad, Milwaukee PD, was requested to be alert for Subject's presence in the Milwaukee area. On 7/3/63, Detective [REDACTED] advised that he had made several discreet inquiries of his sources, and none of them were able to verify Subject's presence in Milwaukee.

With regard to flights leaving Milwaukee that would make connections with American Airlines Flight 965 leaving Chicago, Ill., on 7/4/63, it is to be noted that North Central Airlines has hourly flights leaving Milwaukee for Chicago. However, Milwaukee will attempt to ascertain whether or not Subject takes any flights out of Milwaukee. Phoenix will be kept advised.

2

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE 7/8/63	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 5/1/63 - 6/26/63
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONNANO, aka		REPORT MADE BY SA ROY W. REGER	TYPED BY dsn
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

SYNOPSIS:

REFERENCE:

Report of SA ROY W. REGER, dated 5/9/63, at Phoenix.

- P. -

ENCLOSURES:

TO THE BUREAU




Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

LEADS:

THE ALBUQUERQUE DIVISION

AT LORDSBURG, NEW MEXICO

Will, through contact with local law enforcement officials and other logical sources, attempt to determine if subject and CHARLES JOSEPH

APPROVED 	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: 3 - Bureau (92-4494) - Enclosure 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona 2 - Albuquerque 1 - Chicago (92-765) - (Info) 2 - El Paso (92-126) 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 3 - New York City (92-1586) 2 - San Diego (92-165) 2 - San Francisco (92-340) 3 - Phoenix (92-172) 1 cum Div Dep 0-4-2 1123163 95-		92-4494-170 JUL 11 1963 REC-64 EX-102	
			

PROPERTY OF FBI.—This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

1cc DD

63 JUL 25 1963

PX 92-172

BATTAGLIA, JR., are known in that area. (This lead was set out by airtel on 6/14/63.)

THE CHICAGO DIVISION (INFORMATION)

One copy of this report is designated for the Chicago Office for information in view of report subject may be considering moving to Chicago or New York.

THE EL PASO DIVISION

AT EL PASO, TEXAS

Will exhibit photographs of subject and father, JOSEPH BONANNO, to airline employees at airport and determine if they are known by name or photograph as regular customers of these airlines. (This lead was set out by airtel on 6/14/63.)

THE LOS ANGELES DIVISION

AT ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify subscriber to telephone YU 2-2984, which number was called from subject's telephone on 5/5/63.

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Will maintain contact with [REDACTED], Occidental Life Insurance Company, 1151 South Broadway, and arrange to be notified when and how payments are made on subject's loan.

b6
b7C

THE NEW YORK CITY DIVISION

AT BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Will determine subscriber to telephone BE 6-1965, which number was called from subject's telephone on 5/22/63.

Will be alert for appearance of subject at residence of SAL PROFACI. (This lead was set out by airtel on 6/18/63.)

- B -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

Will furnish any information received concerning [redacted]
[redacted] subject's wife, who is presently visiting the
PROFACIS. (This lead was set out by teletype on 5/28/63.)

AT IDELWILD, NEW YORK

Will attempt to arrange coverage of wedding (or reception)
of [redacted] to (FNU) [redacted] at International Hotel.
on 7/6/63, and determine if subject and/or his father
JOSEPH BONANNO appear. (This lead was set out by airtel
on 6/25/63.)

b6
b7C

AT NEW YORK CITY

Will identify subscriber to telephone number PL2 - 2030, and
determine if one (FNU) [redacted] is at this number. (This
lead set out by airtel on 6/14/63.)

THE SAN DIEGO DIVISION

AT SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Will attempt to identify [redacted] (phonetic), who is alleged
to be owner of a fleet of fishing boats, and thereafter inter-
view him concerning his relations with subject, and knowledge
of subject's activities. (This lead was set out by airtel on
6/13/63.)

THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify subscriber to telephone number
LO 6-3255, which number was called from subject's telephone
on 5/15/63.

- C -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

THE PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

Will develop background information concerning Mrs. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] in effort to determine any
association with subject or his wife, noting that telephone
listed to [REDACTED] was called from subject's telephone on
5/17/63 and on 5/27/63.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report activities of subject.

INFORMANTS:

Information from files of the Bank of Scottsdale,
Scottsdale, Arizona, was furnished by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

PX T23 is [REDACTED]

PX T37 is [REDACTED]

PX T44 is [REDACTED]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

- D* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: July 8, 1963

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

Bufile: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject continues to reside at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona, but possibly considering move to Chicago or New York City. Arizona Importing Company discontinued business, and subject presently unemployed. JOE BASKIN, former close associate of subject, died following heart operation. Subject left Phoenix 6/5/63, reportedly for one month trip on errand for father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and believed to be in Brooklyn, New York. Subject's wife attempted suicide with overdose sleeping pills, and thereafter took children to New York City, with her mother, contrary to directions of subject and his father that she should not leave Phoenix. Subject continues association with paramour [redacted], who has indicated she would like to leave subject and return to Germany, but is afraid of subject.

b6
b7C

- P -

DETAILS:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	2
II. EMPLOYMENT	3
III. FINANCES	4 - 5
IV. ASSOCIATES	6 - 12
A. [REDACTED]	6
B. JOSEPH BASKIN	7
C. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.	7
D. [REDACTED]	7 - 8
E. [REDACTED]	8
F. [REDACTED]	9
G. [REDACTED]	9 - 10
H. [REDACTED]	10
I. [REDACTED]	10
J. JOSEPH BONANNO	10
K. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.	11
L. TOLL CALLS FROM SUBJECT'S PHONE	11 - 12
V. TRAVEL	13 - 14
VI. MARITAL DIFFICULTY	15 - 16
VII. PROBATION STATUS	17 - 18

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On June 5, 1963, Dr. [redacted]
[redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised
that he has noticed very little recent activity at
subject's residence.

He said that on the evening of June 4, 1963,
a car with Arizona license [redacted] was parked in front
of subject's home.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division revealed
that Arizona license [redacted] was issued to [redacted] and
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona,
for a 1963 Dodge two-door hardtop.

The Phoenix City Directory revealed that
[redacted] is employed as a salesman for Capitol
Glass and Mirror Company.

Subsequent periodic contacts with Dr. [redacted]
revealed that no activity has been noted at subject's
home.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

II. EMPLOYMENT

ARIZONA IMPORTING COMPANY

PX T37 advised that the Arizona Importing Company, 1524 East Pierson, Phoenix, Arizona, which succeeded Allied Food Distributors, Inc., went out of business in May or June, 1963, and all merchandise, including a walk-in refrigerator, has been sold.

He said that [REDACTED], who was acting as manager of this company, is now employed at Dew Drop Inn on West Bethany Home Road in Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

Informant said that subject has had no employment since this date, and has furnished no indication of anticipated future employment.

III. FINANCES

On April 24, 1963, [] Loan Division, Occidental Life Insurance Company, 1151 South Broadway, Los Angeles, California, advised SA [] that his office is in receipt of only one payment on subject's account, Loan Number 95182, which was entered on their ledger as of April 10, 1963. [] advised this payment was made by a cashier's check, Number A25007, dated April 5, 1963, drawn on the Guaranty Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, in the amount of \$221.00, payable to the Occidental Life Insurance Company. [] advised that this payment represented a March, 1963, payment, and that the April payment had not been received.

b6
b7C

On May 9, 1963, [] Guaranty Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that Cashier's Check Number A25007, described above, was issued to [] as remitter.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date June 6, 1963

On June 3, 1963 records of the Bank of Scottsdale, 553 North Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed the following information:

On May 2, 1963 a checking account was opened at this bank by S. V. and [REDACTED] 6331 Calle del Paisano, Scottsdale, Arizona, telephone WH 5-1218, with a deposit of \$2,240.00, which consisted of the following checks:

Cashier's check Number 4263 on Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Main Office, Phoenix, Arizona, in amount of \$2,000.00.

Check Number 6477 on account of [REDACTED] drawn on Arizona Bank, Downtown Branch, Tucson, Arizona, in amount of \$240.00.

The new account signature card reveals BONANNO's employment as Allied Food Distributors, and maiden names of both parents as LA BRUZZO and [REDACTED]. It further indicates previous banking account at the Bank of Tucson.

Records indicate that this new account was referred by [REDACTED], former President of the Bank of Scottsdale.

Records also indicated that no additional deposits have been made to this account, and the present balance was \$256.57.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED] President, Bank of Scottsdale.

On 6-3-63 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER /c.jk Date dictated 6-3-63

IV. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted]

At 6:00 a.m., on the following dates, SA [redacted] observed the car being used by subject, with Arizona license [redacted] at the residence of [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona; *Ger. 4*

b6
b7C

May 9, 1963
May 13, 1963
May 15, 1963
May 24, 1963
May 27, 1963
May 31, 1963
June 3, 1963

On June 5, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject left \$450.00 with [redacted] before he left town, and instructed her to make a payment on subject's home out of this amount.

On June 17, 1963, PX T37 advised that [redacted] received a \$100.00 money order from subject from Brooklyn, New York. Informant stated that [redacted] is becoming increasingly dissatisfied with her association with subject, due to the beatings she receives from him and his lack of financial assistance to her. Informant was of the opinion [redacted] would discontinue her association with subject entirely if she were not afraid of physical harm to herself and children, and could be assured that she would be able to return to her home in Germany with her children.

b6
b7C

Informant further advised that [redacted] is still employed as a waitress at the Clown's Den on East Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona, but is not making sufficient money to completely support herself and her children from this source.

PX 92-172

B. JOSEPH BASKIN

PX T37 advised that subject visited JOE BASKIN at Room 102, Baptist Hospital, Scottsdale, Arizona, on May 7, 1963, and again on May 8, 1963.

Informant advised that BASKIN died at this hospital following a heart operation on May 15, 1963.

C. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.

On May 13, 1963, PX T44 advised that [redacted] wife of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., was emotionally upset following the report of [redacted] attempted suicide, and was extremely angry with subject. She reportedly wanted to go to Phoenix to "raise hell" with subject, but was talked out of making this trip by her husband.

b6
b7C

On May 14, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject was believed to have met BATTAGLIA at Erby Derby's in Phoenix, Arizona, on this date.

This informant also advised that subject claimed to have made a trip to Lordsburg, New Mexico, with BATTAGLIA during the early part of June, 1963, purpose of this trip is unknown.

D. [redacted]

On May 13, 1963, Dr. [redacted], Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that a car with Arizona license [redacted] was at subject's house on this date.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division revealed that this license was issued to VINCENT MARCANTONIO, 3242 East Heatherbrae, Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1955 Chevrolet sedan. It is noted that this car is used by [redacted].

On June 24, 1963, PX T37 advised that [redacted] has been picking up subject's mail since subject has been gone, but has given no indication as to what he is doing with this mail.

Informant said that on June 22, 1963, [redacted] received a long distance telephone call from subject, from an unknown location, and that [redacted] has indicated he does not believe subject will ever return to Phoenix to live, inasmuch as he cannot make a living in Arizona. [redacted] was of the belief that subject will move to either Chicago or New York City.

b6
b7C

Informant stated that [redacted] is still employed at the Steer and Stein in Phoenix, but is considering moving to California.

E. [redacted]

On May 6, 1963, Dr. [redacted] [redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that on May 5, 1963, a car with Arizona license [redacted] was parked at subject's home.

b6
b7C

It is noted that this license is registered to [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

Dr. [redacted] subsequently advised that subject was observed driving this car for several days.

PX 92-172

F. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Scottsdale, Arizona, was interviewed on June 13, 1963, by SAs ROY W. REGER and [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] said that he is a brother-in-law of [REDACTED], and that he first met subject at the time subject became associated in business with [REDACTED] at the Romulus Restaurant.

b6
b7C

He said he has since been socially acquainted with subject, and subject's associates, but had no information concerning any illegal activity on their part.

[REDACTED] advised that he had no knowledge of where subject is at present, or as to his present employment.

He said he has met subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, but could furnish no information as to his activities or present whereabouts.

He advised that he has also met CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., a friend of subject's, but knows nothing concerning BATTAGLIA's activities.

[REDACTED] said he is acquainted with [REDACTED], whom he described as subject's "girl friend", but said he did not know of [REDACTED] being engaged in any illegal activity.

b6
b7C

G. (FNU) [REDACTED]

On May 7, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject's sister-in-law, [REDACTED] who lives in Brooklyn, New York, is to be married to (FNU) [REDACTED] with the wedding or reception to be held at the International Hotel, Idelwild, New York, on July 6, 1963.

PX 92-172

Informant said that [] formerly resided in Tucson, Arizona, and was acquainted with subject in the past. He also stated that [] mother still resides in Tucson, and that [] his wife-to-be, and his mother are all considering moving to Phoenix following the wedding.

b6
b7C

H. (FNU [])

PX T37 advised that on June 12, 1963, subject received a telegram from Hertz Company in New York City, instructing subject to contact [] at New York, telephone number PL 2-2030.

I. []

Ariz
On June 13, 1963, PX T37 advised that two "tough-looking" men, who appeared to be angry at subject, one of whom identified himself as [] (phonetic), and said he owned a fleet of fishing boats in San Diego, California, went to [] home, and inquired as to subject's whereabouts. [] informed these men that she did not know where subject was.

b6
b7C

J. JOSEPH BONANNO

On May 7, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject had received a telephone call from his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, who was calling from a pay telephone in Tucson, Arizona. The details of the conversation were not known to the informant.

On May 14, 1963, Dr. [] advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father, arrived at subject's house this morning, driving the Chevrolet with Arizona license []

PX 92-172

K. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.

On June 5, 1963, PX T37 advised that JOE BONANNO, JR., subject's brother, recently was involved in an automobile accident, and was required to pay \$205.00 for repairs to the other car, or have his license revoked.

Informant stated that subject's mother sent this money by JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., to [redacted] in Phoenix, Arizona, to handle this matter.

Informant advised that JOE, JR., is presently residing with his mother at Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

L. TOLL CALLS FROM SUBJECT'S PHONE

Listings for the following Tucson telephone numbers called from subject's telephone in Phoenix, Arizona, have been determined from previous investigation:

793-1811 - JOSEPH BONANNO (Subject's father)

MA 4-2376 - [redacted] (Accountant
for subject and father)

On May 27, 1963, PX T23 advised that the following long distance toll charges have been made to Phoenix telephone number 945-1218, which is listed to SAM PAUL PROFACI, at subject's residence:

April 16, 1963 - Brooklyn, New York - BE 2-2739
(Listed to SAL PROFACI)

April 22, 1963 - San Mateo, California -
no number indicated.

April 26, 1963 - Tucson, Arizona - 793-1811
(3 calls) (Listed to JOSEPH BONANNO)

PX 92-172

May 3, 1963 - Brooklyn, New York - BE 2-2739
(Listed to SAL PROFACI)

May 5, 1963 - Ontario, California - YU 2-2984

On June 25, 1963, PX T23 furnished the following
list of long distance toll charges to Phoenix telephone
945-1218:

San Francisco, California - LO 6-3255 -
May 15, 1963

Brooklyn, New York - BE 6-1965 - May 22, 1963

Brooklyn, New York - BE 2-2739 - May 25, 1963
(Previously determined to be listed to SAL PROFACI)

Tucson, Arizona - 793-1811 - May 16, 17, 22,
24, 26, 27, 1963 (listed to JOSEPH BONANNO)

Tucson, Arizona - MA 3-5856 - June 5, 1963
(Listed to office of JOE SOBLE, attorney)

Tucson, Arizona - AX 6-0206 - May 18, 1963
(Listed to residence of JOE SOBLE, attorney)

Tucson, Arizona - AX 4-1797 - May 17 and 27,
1963 (Listed to Mrs. [REDACTED] widow
of R. J. CHRISTIE, [REDACTED])

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

V. TRAVEL

On May 1, 1963, SA [] observed subject leaving the home of his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at Tucson, Arizona, in the Chevrolet with Arizona license DER 392.

b6
b7C

On May 21, 1963, SA [] checked the files of the Passport Office, Department of State, Washington, D. C., which disclosed that SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO was issued passport 122135 at Washington, D. C. on June 29, 1956, for proposes travel to Italy for two months to visit relatives and for a honeymoon. In his application dated June 14, 1956, at New York City, he stated his intention to depart from New York City about July 9, 1956, via PAA. This passport was valid for two years' travel to all except the Iron Curtain countries.

Subject stated he was born November 5, 1932, at Brooklyn, New York, and the file indicates his birth certificate has been seen by passport authorities. He gave his permanent residence address as 1847 East Elm Street, Tucson, Arizona, and his mailing address as 1726 DeKalb Avenue, Brooklyn, New York. He listed his father as JOSEPH BONANNO, born in Sicily, Italy, on January 18, 1905, immigrated to the United States in October, 1924, and naturalized before United States District Court Judge EDNY at Brooklyn, New York, about August, 1945, and then residing at subject's permanent address. He listed his mother as FILIPPA BONANNO, born at Tunis, Africa, on December 31, 1905, immigrated to the United States in 1906, and acquired citizenship through the naturalization of her father, and was then residing with her husband. Subject indicated that he had never been married.

Identifying witness to subject's application was [], his aunt, [], Brooklyn, New York, who had known subject for 23 years.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

The files did not reflect that subject is now in possession of a valid American passport, and a stop notice was placed in this connection.

PX T37 advised that subject was leaving Phoenix for about one month on June 5, 1963, on an errand for his father. He was believed to be going either to Chicago or New York City, and was driven to the airport in Phoenix by his paramour, [REDACTED], to catch a 1:15 p.m. flight.

b6
b7C

A check of all flights leaving Phoenix on or around this time failed to reveal subject as a passenger under his true name or known aliases.

PX T37 advised that subject said that when his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, desires to make a trip without being detected, he drives a car to El Paso, Texas, and takes a plane from this city to his destination. He then telephones subject to fly to El Paso and drive the car back to Arizona.

PX 92-172

VI. MARITAL DIFFICULTY

PX T37 advised that on the evening of May 10, 1963, [redacted] the wife of subject, was taken to the Baptist Hospital in Scottsdale, Arizona, after an attempt at suicide from an overdose of sleeping pills. According to informant, Dr. [redacted] indicated that this was due to the poor treatment of [redacted] by the subject.

Informant said that [redacted] family in New York is very upset, and angry with subject, and that [redacted] mother immediately came to Phoenix, Arizona, by airplane to be with [redacted]. It was further believed that [redacted] brother, SAL PROFACI will also come to Phoenix.

b6
b7C

According to informant, [redacted] and her children plan to return to New York City with [redacted] mother, and is considering a permanent separation from subject.

In this event, informant was of the belief that subject might attempt to persuade [redacted] his paramour, to leave the country with him, especially in view of the fact that subject claims to presently have a passport for Italy and other European countries.

On May 28, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject's wife, accompanied by her children, and her mother, Mrs. [redacted] left Phoenix on May 28, 1963, for New York City, contrary to the directions of subject and JOSEPH BONANNO that she should not leave Phoenix.

b6
b7C

Records of American Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that the following passengers on Flight 70 left Phoenix at 10:35 a.m., May 28, 1963, and was due to arrive at Idlewild Airport, New York City at 6:53 p.m., May 28, 1963:

Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] Mrs. [redacted]
and two infants.

PX 92-172

PX T37 advised that subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, will undoubtedly attend the wedding of [REDACTED], subject's sister-in-law, which is to be held at International Hotel, Idelwild, New York, on July 6, 1963. He stated that if they do not attend, it will indicate a definite serious split between the PROFACI and BONANNO families.

b6
b7C

VII. PROBATION STATUS

On May 7, 1963, Honorable ROSS JONES, Judge, Superior Court, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that prior to the death of subject's attorney, PAUL PRIMOCK, he talked with PRIMOCK several times about subject.

He said PRIMOCK claimed to be sorry he ever got "mixed up" with subject, and indicated that subject had lied to him many times.

PRIMOCK informed Judge JONES that subject has a very poor reputation among other Italian-Sicilians in the United States, and that subject was not trusted by them. PRIMOCK indicated that this lack of trust and dislike, included the "criminal" element of this group, as well as the law-abiding element.

PRIMOCK claimed that subject told him he was purchasing a home in Phoenix, Arizona, as investment only, and did not plan to remain in Phoenix. He claimed to be in the process of establishing a business "back East," and was waiting to move there only until his baby was old enough to travel, and until he could secure the necessary financing for the business. PRIMOCK had said that he did not know where this business would be located, or what type of business it was, but would attempt to determine this information.

Judge JONES said he intends to have his probation officer, [redacted] contact subject in the near future, b6 and definitely determine subject's plans. He indicated b7C that he might direct that subject be returned to a probation status if he does not plan on moving out of Arizona, inasmuch as this was the only reason subject's probation was revoked in the first place.

PX 92-172

On May 27, 1963, [redacted] Probation Officer, Superior Court, Phoenix, Arizona, advised he talked with subject on May 25, 1963, and told subject that the Superior Court Judge was interested in his plans.

Subject informed [redacted] that he had intended to move to New York City, but that his plans were changed, and he has now decided to live in Phoenix, Arizona. He said that he does, however, make frequent trips back and forth between Phoenix and New York City, and may still eventually move to New York City.

b6
b7C

[redacted] asked him what his New York City address was, and subject replied that he had no address there at present.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

July 8, 1963

Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W.
 REGER dated July 8, 1963,
 at Phoenix, Arizona.

. All sources whose identities are concealed in
referenced communication have furnished reliable information
in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions
of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to
your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed
outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona

July 8, 1963

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Characterization of new informants in report
of SA ROY W. REGER dated July 8, 1963, at Phoenix,
Arizona.

PX T44 is a source who is familiar with some
of the activities of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., at
Tucson, Arizona.

This document contains neither recommendations
nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the
FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents
are not to be distributed outside your agency.

F B I

Date: 7/8/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (92-1586)(P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO aka
AR"
(OO:PHOENIX)

SAS of the FBI, during the evening hours, Saturday, 7/6/63, did not observe the subject or his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at the wedding reception of [redacted] and [redacted], which was held at the International Hotel, Idlewild Airport, NYC.

SAS observed members of the PROFACI "family" in "La Cosa Nostra", but no known members of the BONANNO "family" were observed.

Outside photographic coverage given reception. Film is being processed by NYO. Bureau and Phoenix will be kept advised.

Phoenix requested to determine if subject and JOSEPH BONANNO presently in your division and notify NYO.

- 4 - Bureau (92-4494)
(1-92-2710)
3 - Phoenix (92-172)
(1-92-28)
1 - New York 92-638
1 - New York 92-2431
1 - New York 92-1586

RAA:jc
(11)

Approved: 347 97m/jam
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

Per _____

58 JUL 17 1963

REC-62

EX-102

92-4494-171
JUL 9 1963b6
b7C

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 92-2710-

F B I

Date: 7/10/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
SAC, CHICAGO (92-765)
SAC, MILWAUKEE (92-144)
SAC, NEW YORK (92-1586)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) P

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: PX

Re Milwaukee airtel to Bureau and Phoenix, copies
to New York and Milwaukee, 7/5/63.

On 7/10/63 [] advised that subject returned
to Phoenix, Arizona, on 7/9/63, and indicated he has been
in Chicago, Illinois; Milwaukee, Wisconsin; Sturgeon Bay,
Wisconsin; and New York City. He said he contacted []
[] while in Milwaukee, and indicated he intends to
"Return to these places" in a couple of weeks.

Subject said he did not attend the wedding of
[] in New York City.

- 3 - Bureau
2 - Chicago
2 - Milwaukee
2 - New York City
3 - Phoenix
(1 - PX [])

RWR:dsn
(12)

REC-136

92-4494-172
JUL 12 1963

60 JUL 19 1963

Approved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per: _____
Special Agent in Charge

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

PX 92-172

He further stated that he has no intentions of going to work, and plans to "loaf," and live off of his savings.

Informant stated subject dropped a roll of quarters in his car, which roll bore the name of Manufacturers Hanover Trust, Brooklyn, New York.

Milwaukee advise any known connections subject might have in Sturgeon Bay.

All offices requested to be alert for subject's return to your areas.

F B I

Date: 8/15/63

Transmit the following in PLAIN
(Type in plain text or code)Via TELETYPE URGENT
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Evans
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) AND SACS, LOS ANGELES,
NEW YORK (92-1586) AND PHOENIX (92-172)

FROM: SAC, WFO (92-408)

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, AKA, AR.

IN CONNECTION WITH INVESTIGATION AT PASSPORT OFFICE,
DEPARTMENT OF STATE, REGARDING SUBJECTS FATHER, JOSEPH
BONANNO, AUGUST FIFTEEN INSTANT, WFO DETERMINED SALVATORE
VINCENT BONANNO WAS ISSUED PASSPORT D ONE ZERO EIGHT FOUR
FOUR FIVE AT LOS ANGELES ON APRIL TWENTYFIVE LAST FOR
PROPOSED TWO MONTHS VACATION TRAVEL TO ENGLAND, ITALY, INDIA,
AND JAPAN. IN APPLICATION APRIL NINETEEN LAST AT PHOENIX
STATED INTENDED DEPART NEW YORK CITY OR LOS ANGELES BY
UNKNOWN AIRLINE ABOUT MAY FIFTEEN LAST, NOT BY ORGANIZED
TOUR. THIS PASSPORT WAS VALID THREE YEARS TRAVEL ALL COUNTRIES
EXCEPT ALBANIA, CUBA, AND THOSE PORTIONS OF CHINA, KOREA,
AND VIET NAM UNDER COMMUNIST CONTROL.

(2-Bureau
2-Teletype Unit
2-Los Angeles (AMSD)
2-New York (SD)
1-WFO

REC-141

EX-103

3 AUG 20 1963

KJH:ljn
(9)

Sent _____ M Per _____

57 AUG 21 1963
Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)WFO 92-408
PAGE TWO

SUBJECT, BORN NOVEMBER FIVE THIRTYTWO AT BROOKLYN, NEW YORK, GAVE PERMANENT RESIDENCE SIX THREE THREE ONE CALLE DEL PAISANO, SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA. STATED LAST MARRIED AUGUST EIGHTEEN FIFTYSIX TO [REDACTED], BORN BROOKLYN, NEW YORK, APRIL FOURTEEN THIRTYSIX, MARRIAGE NOT TERMINATED. REQUESTED WIFE BE NOTIFIED AT HOME ADDRESS IN EMERGENCY. HIS OCCUPATION AS SELF EMPLOYED.

NOTED SUBJECT MAY USE THIS PASSPORT WHENEVER AND AS OFTEN AS DESIRED WITHOUT FURTHER NOTIFICATION TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE OR OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY.

MAIL COPIES TO NEW YORK AND LOS ANGELES FOR INFORMATION IN VIEW OF CURRENT INTEREST IN SUBJECTS FATHER.

NOTED WFO PLACED STOP REGARDING SALVATORE MAY TWENTYTWO LAST BUT SUBJECT ALREADY HAD PASSPORT BUT APPLICATION WAS NOT IN FILE WHEN WFO LAST REVIEWED FILE MAY TWENTYONE LAST.

WASHINGTON CORRESPONDENT NAMED [REDACTED] OF PHOENIX, ARIZONA, GAZETTE TELEPHONED PASSPORT OFFICE AUGUST ELEVEN LAST

b6
b7Cb6
b7C

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)WFO 92-408
PAGE THREE

INQUIRING WHETHER PASSPORT ISSUED SALVATORE ABOUT APRIL
NINETEEN LAST, LOS ANGELES BUT WAS GIVEN NO INFORMATION.
RUC.

APR 19 1968
FBI - LOS ANGELES

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
AUG 24 1963

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Casper _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. DeLoach _____
Mr. Evans _____
Mr. Gale _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Sullivan _____
Mr. Tavel _____
Mr. Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

URGENT 8/23/63 10-08 PM MST AJ
TO DIRECTOR, FBI 92-4494 AND
SAC, LOS ANGELES 92-685
FROM SAC, PHOENIX 92-172

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, AKA, AR. OO PHOENIX.

INFORMANT ADVISED THAT LAST CHECK ISSUED BY SUBJECT
TO OCCIDENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY FOR HOUSE PAYMENT WAS
RETURNED BY BANK FOR NSF.

INQUIRY AT BANK REVEALED CHECK RETURNED AND SUBJECT
STILL HAS NSF IN BANK ACCOUNT.

LOS ANGELES CONTACT INSURANCE COMPANY AND DETERMINE
IF THEY WILL OBTAIN WARRANT FOR SUBJECT, NOTING THAT SUBJECT
PREVIOUSLY CONVICTED PHOENIX FOR BAD CHECK, RECEIVING THREE
YEARS PROBATION, BUT HAS BEEN RELEASED FROM PROBATION.

END AND ACK PLS

REC 14

~~CORRECTION-LINE 6 WORD 5 SHLD BE ACCOUNT~~

WA--1-10 AM OK FBI WA HFL

LA---10-10 PM PESTOK FBI A LA RPR

TU PLS CLEAR

AUG 26 1963

33 AUG 29 1963

347

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date SEP 4 1963	Investigative Period 6/24/63 - 8/26/63
TITLE OF CASE "CHANGED" SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka., B. Bellon		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: mss
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI RACKETEERING	

Synopsis: **SALVATORE V. BONANNO**

The title of this case is "changed" to show the true name of subject as **SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO**, as furnished during an interview with subject, and to include the AKA of **B. BELLON**, which name was used by subject for airline reservations to New York City.

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 7/8/63, at Phoenix.

- P -

Approved Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below
Copies made: 3 - Bureau (92-4494) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 2 - New York (92-1586) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)	<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">92-4494-175</div> <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">MCT-47</div> <div style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">REC-48</div> <div style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">EX-102</div>
	<div style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">14 SEP 10 1963</div>

*1-Dept 1cc D+D
0601 9/18/63 mlak*

*NINE
STAT/SECT.*

Property of FBI — This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

57 SEP 18 1963

★ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1956 O-385319

- A -
COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

LEADS

LOS ANGELES DIVISION

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Will contact the Occidental Life Insurance Company and determine if they will obtain a warrant for subject for Non Sufficient Fund check. (This lead was set out by teletype on 8/23/63).

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Will continue to follow and report activities of subject in this Division.

MILWAUKEE & SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONS (INFORMATION)

One copy of this report is being sent to the Milwaukee and San Francisco Divisions for information, in view of subject's associates and activities in those Divisions.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA


Will continue to follow and report activities of subject.

INFORMANTS

PX T-23 is

PX T-37 is



 has advised that he is now very close to the subject, and that subject appears to trust him completely with all information, except details concerning activities of subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO.

Informant said that subject frequently telephones him

- B -

COVER PAGE

b2
b7D

INFORMANTS (CONTINUED)

from New York, and appears to rely on the informant to carry out subject's instructions in Arizona while he is gone.

During a telephone conversation with subject on 8/24/63, subject indicated that he will probably return to Arizona in September.

Information from the files of Western Union, Phoenix, Arizona, was furnished by [REDACTED] Western Union, Phoenix, Arizona.

Information from the files of the Scottsdale Bank, Scottsdale, Arizona, was furnished by [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

ADMINISTRATIVE

The extended period in this report is due to the inclusion of the period 6/24/63, on which date investigation was conducted by the Los Angeles Office.

- C* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER

Office: PHOENIX

Date: SEP 4 1963

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI RACKETEERING

ARIZ

Synopsis: Title previously carried as WILLIAM SALVATORE ^(D)BONANNO changed to show subject's true name. Subject continues residence in Phoenix, Arizona, which occupied by brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. while subject and wife in New York City. BONANNO, JR. presently under investigation for transmission thefts. Subject presently unemployed but has indicated interest in Tucson Vending Company, which is operated by [redacted] and CHARLES JOSEPH ~~BATTAGLIA~~, JR. Subject issued a Non Sufficient Fund check to the Occidental Life Insurance Company, Los Angeles, California, for last house payment. Subject continues association with paramour, [redacted] and continues to send her money, but not sufficient for support, and [redacted] reportedly has resorted to prostitution to support herself and children. [redacted] interviewed and declined to furnish any information. Subject obtained passport for foreign travel on 4/25/63, for proposed two months vacation. Subject interviewed New York City 8/7/63, and denied any illegal activity.

b6
b7C

- P -

~~CHARLES BATTAGLIA~~

DETAILS:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	2
II. EMPLOYMENT	3
A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.	3
B. TUCSON VENDING COMPANY	3
C. UNEMPLOYMENT	3
III. FINANCES	4 - 6
A. HOUSE PAYMENTS	4
B. PAYMENTS TO [REDACTED]	6
IV. ASSOCIATES	9 - 14
A. [REDACTED]	9 - 12
B. [REDACTED]	12
C. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.	13
D. [REDACTED]	13
E. [REDACTED]	13
F. [REDACTED]	14
G. MRS. [REDACTED]	14
H. LONG DISTANCE CALLS FROM SUBJECT'S HOME.	15
V. TRAVEL	16 - 18
VI. INTERVIEW WITH SUBJECT AT NEW YORK CITY.	19 - 23

b6
b7C

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On the night of July 19, 1963, Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that there was a disturbance at subject's residence, and that a young woman or girl had just run out of the house screaming.

This information was furnished to Sergeant [redacted] Phoenix Police Department, who advised that a patrol car would investigate the matter.

b6
b7C

On July 20, 1963, Patrolman [redacted] Phoenix Police Department, advised that he and Sergeant [redacted] had gone to subject's home on the night of July 19, 1963, where they found subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., another teenage boy, and a teenage girl at the house. He said that there was no disturbance when they arrived, and the boys and girl advised that there had been a minor argument which they had settled. The girl claimed that everything was alright, and said she did not desire to press any charges. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. told the officers that his "parents" were out of town, and he was taking care of the house until they return.

PX T-37 advised that subject continues to maintain a residence at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano in Phoenix, which residence is being occupied only by subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., during subject's absence from Phoenix.

II. EMPLOYMENT

A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

On August 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Phoenix, Arizona, has a \$3,200 overdraft on the former account of Allied Foods, Inc. The informant said that the bank has threatened to bring suit against subject and [] unless this overdraft is satisfied.

B. TUCSON VENDING COMPANY

PX T-37 advised that subject and [] went to Tucson, Arizona, on July 16, 1963, where they contacted CHARLES BATTAGLIA and []. Informant said that subject has indicated he has some interest in the Tucson Vending Company, which is owned by [], and intends to work with BATTAGLIA and [] to build this business up.

b6
b7C

C. UNEMPLOYMENT

On July 10, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject does not intend to work anyplace, and has stated he will live off of his savings.

III. FINANCES

A. HOUSE PAYMENTS

On June 24, 1963, [] Occidental Life Insurance Company, Los Angeles, California, advised SA [] that subject was current in his payments on his real estate loan #95182.

He said that on May 2, 1963, subject paid his April and May payments by a \$442.00 check drawn on an account at the Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona. The June payment in the amount of \$221.00 was paid by check drawn on the same bank.

[] advised that he would notify the Los Angeles Office of any collection problem concerning this account, and the identity of any bank utilized for payments other than the Guaranty Bank of Phoenix or the Bank of Scottsdale.

On July 31, 1963, PX T-37 advised that a check for \$225.00 which subject sent to the Occidental Insurance Company, for his house payment, had been returned by the Bank of Scottsdale for non sufficient funds.

Subject reportedly telephoned his brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., at Phoenix, from New York City, and made arrangements to cover the overdraft.

b6
b7C

Da. August 29, 1963

Records of the Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed that a check in the amount of \$225.00 on subject's account, was returned for reason of non sufficient funds, in July, 1963.

Records indicated a subsequent deposit to this account, in the amount of \$225.00, but further indicated a present balance of slightly less than \$225.00, due to additional checks drawn on this account.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to , President, Bank of Scottsdale.

b6
b7C

On 8/22/63 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER:mss Date dictated 8/26/63

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

B. PAYMENTS TO [REDACTED]

On July 1, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject telephoned [REDACTED] at the Clown's Den in Phoenix on the night of June 29, 1963. He told her he was calling from Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and gave her the number of PR 6-0310 where she could call him. He asked [REDACTED] if \$200.00 would help her any, and she said this would not be near enough. Subject then told her he would probably be back in Phoenix in a few days.

b6
b7C

Subject also told [REDACTED] that he would telephone her again on the night of July 3, 1963. He instructed her to hang up the telephone after he calls, and then call him back at the number previously furnished.

On July 3, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [REDACTED] received a telephone call from subject at the Clown's Den on the night of July 2, 1963, at which time subject said he would return to Phoenix at 11:15 A. M., July 4, 1963.

Informant also advised that [REDACTED] received \$200.00 from JOE SOBLE, attorney at Tucson, Arizona, which money is reportedly from subject. In this connection, subject informed [REDACTED] in a previous telephone call that he was sending her \$200.00 through his "Uncle" (the name by which he refers to [REDACTED]).

b6
b7C

On July 3, 1963, inquiry at the Sky Harbor Airport, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that American Airlines Flight #965 is due to arrive in Phoenix, from Chicago, Illinois, at 11:15 A. M., July 4, 1963.

On August 6, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject telephoned [REDACTED] from New York on this date, and told her he would send her some money via Western Union, in care of his brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.

Date August 21, 1963

Records of Western Union, 328 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that at 3:34 P.M., on August 6, 1963, S. V. BONANNO wired \$300.00 from the G. N. Branch, Ridgewood, New York, which is located at Brooklyn, New York, to JOSEPH C. BONANNO, JR., 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Scottsdale, Arizona, telephone number, WH 5-1218.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted] District Manager, Western Union, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 8/9/63 at Phoenix, Arizona File # 92-172

by SA [redacted] :mss Date dictated 8/15/63

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

On August 26, 1963, PX T-37 advised that during the past week end, [] obtained possession of the 1962 Chevrolet, Arizona License [] from subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. Informant said that JOSEPH JR. was very upset because of this, and contacted [] at the Clown's Den, demanding return of the car to him. [] reportedly refused, whereupon JOSEPH JR. removed a distributor from the car, or otherwise made it inoperable. [] then had the car towed to a service station, and has stated she will not return the car to him, even though she now has a Ford available to her, which Ford is believed by informant to be the car of [].

b6
b7C

Informant advised that subject sent \$200.00 to [] over the past week end, and indicated he would send her an additional \$100.00 through Attorney [] in Tucson.

IV. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted]

On June 26, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [redacted] did not go to work at the Clown's Den on the night of June 25, 1963, and that during this night, subject telephoned the Clown's Den in an effort to locate [redacted]. He informed a waitress there that he would call again the following night.

b6
b7C

On June 27, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject failed to telephone [redacted] on the night of June 26, 1963, and she was very upset because she is completely "broke", and has no money to pay her rent, telephone bill, or buy groceries. Informant said that two of [redacted] children have the measles.

Informant further stated that since subject has been gone from Phoenix, [redacted] has been visiting her frequently, and is believed to have been assisting her financially.

On July 10, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject spent the night of July 9, 1963 with [redacted] at her home, after visiting the Racquet Club and Trader Vic's in Scottsdale with her. (nee) [redacted]

GERMANY
CALIF

On July 15, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject and [redacted] went to the vicinity of Payson or Pine, Arizona, over the past week end, where they rented a cabin. Subject and [redacted] planned to return to Phoenix on July 16, 1963.

Resides [redacted] Phoenix, ARIZ.

On June 29, 1963, PX T-37 advised that on the night of June 28, 1963, SAL PROFACI, subject's brother-in-law, telephoned from Brooklyn, New York, to subject's paramour, [redacted] at her place of employment, The Clown's Den, in Phoenix. He advised [redacted] that subject's wife, [redacted] is on the edge of a nervous breakdown, and asked [redacted] if it was true that subject had previously forced [redacted] to accompany him on a visit to [redacted]. [redacted] replied that it was true, and PROFACI stated subject "is Here", but has done nothing to help the situation between he and his wife. [redacted] also indicated that he would call [redacted] again the following night.

b6
b7C

On July 1, 1963, Informant advised that PROFACI again telephoned [redacted] on the night of June 29, 1963, and asked her if it was true that she refused to break up with

PX 92-172

subject. She replied that it was not true, and PROFACI advised subject had said she refused to leave him. Subject further reportedly said that the reason he had to beat her up so often was because she threatened to divulge information about him to authorities. [] reportedly denied this, and told PROFACI that anything she knew about subject would never be divulged by her.

PROFACI then suggested that [] return to her home in Germany, and said he would attempt to help her return, as he stated there will probably "Be some trouble".

On July 25, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [] received another telephone call from SAL PROFACI, who instructed [] to send him her passport and copies of the birth certificates for her children. PROFACI indicated that subject had been in contact with his wife, [] in New York, but had not accomplished a reconciliation. PROFACI seemed to feel that subject would reconcile things with his wife if [] left the United States.

b6
b7C

On August 16, 1963, [] Stanton, California, was interviewed at the Phoenix Office by SAS ROY W. REGER and [].

[] said he met [] in Germany, when he was stationed there with the Army, and later married her and brought her to the United States.

He said that in 1959, [] filed a suit for divorce from him, charging Incompatibility, and this divorce was made final in 1959, at Florence, Arizona.

He stated that she was awarded their two children, but he was permitted visitation rights if he kept up his alimony of \$100.00 a month, and notified her by letter two weeks in advance of his intended visits. [] said he has not made any alimony payments for the past two years, and [] has not attempted to collect this.

b6
b7C

He advised that the divorce decree has been amended twice; once in Los Angeles, and the last time in Tucson, Arizona, in 1961. The last amendment provided that she could not take the children out of the United States.

[] said he came to Phoenix to see his children, whom he has not seen for two years, but that [] has refused to let him see them.

PX 92-172

He advised that [] told him she was in love with subject, and also said that she intends to move back to Germany with the children. He informed her that he has been to see the Immigration authorities in Los Angeles, and has told them about the divorce decree prohibiting her from taking the children out of the United States.

[] advised that he has never met subject, or subject's father, and knows nothing concerning their activities, but intends to contact them, subject's wife and her family, and the newspapers, in an effort to cause [] as much trouble as he can. He said he also intends to write [] parents in Germany about her association with subject, and her illegitimate child by subject. He advised that [] parents are very fine people, and know nothing about her association with subject, or the child.

b6
b7C

On August 16, 1963, [] Phoenix, Arizona, who has non-published telephone [] was interviewed at her home by SAS ROY W. REGER and []

She declined to answer any questions concerning her association with subject, or knowledge of the activities of subject, his family, or his associates.

She was asked if she intended to go back to Germany, and was reminded of the divorce decree prohibiting her from taking her children to Germany. She indicated that she would like to return to Germany, but would be no more specific in regard to her plans.

She was informed that Agents would be available to talk to her at any time, in the event she decides to furnish any information concerning subject.

On August 19, 1963, Mrs. [] Harbison Company, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that they repossessed a 1961 Falcon Sedan, Arizona License [] which is in the name of []. They said this car was repossessed for the Southern Arizona Bank, and was located at the Clown's Den in Phoenix, where [] is employed. She advised that the only items in the car at the time of the repossession were as follows:

b6
b7C

Arizona Title # [] for 1961 Falcon, in name of [] Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172

Copy of paid receipt from Read Mullan Motor Company dated February 13, 1963, for repairs to the 1961 Falcon, Arizona License [redacted] in the name of [redacted], and bearing the signature of [redacted].

On August 23, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [redacted] has resorted to prostitution in order to support herself and children, inasmuch as subject is not sending her enough money for this purpose. Informant said that one of her "customers" is a neighbor, [redacted] who works for the Arizona Public Service Company, and who has promised [redacted] to obtain her car back for her, and to also take care of several traffic tickets [redacted] has received.

b6
b7C

He said that another customer drives a Ford, with Arizona License [redacted].

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division revealed that this license was issued to [redacted] Phoenix, for a 1962 Ford.

The Phoenix City Directory reveals that [redacted] is employed at Sarge's Cow Town in Phoenix.

B. [redacted]

On June 28, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [redacted] who has been picking up subject's mail at his house, while subject has been gone from Phoenix, is planning on leaving Phoenix to visit in San Jose, California.

ARIZ.

Informant said that [redacted] has instructed [redacted] to pick up this mail while he is gone, and send the mail to subject's mother at Tucson.

On August 24, 1963, PX T-37 advised that [redacted] is still friendly with subject, and may be running errands for subject.

b6
b7C

He said that [redacted] was recently in the area of Ocean-side, California, and that on his return, he sent a message to subject at New York City that "I saw the man and nothing has happened yet".

Informant was of the opinion that this might have referred to subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO.

C. ~~JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.~~

On July 10, 1963, PX T-37 advised that on July 9, 1963, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who is staying with his parents in Tucson, returned the Chevrolet with Arizona License [redacted] to subject in Phoenix.

6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Scottsdale

On August 22, 1963, Detective [redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, Police Department, advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., subject's brother, is presently under investigation by his Department for theft of automobile transmissions. He said that these thefts involve a group of young boys, and that JOSEPH JR. is acting as the "go-between", in that he does not participate in the actual theft, but disposes of the transmissions to a fence in Scottsdale.

D. [redacted]

On June 25, 1963, [redacted] Banquet Manager, International Hotel, New York International Airport, New York City, advised that Mrs. [redacted] Brooklyn, New York, had made reservations at this hotel for a wedding reception to be held on July 6, 1963. This reception was for [redacted] and [redacted]. It is to be held in the Starlight Gardens, with 200 people guaranteed, but 250 expected. A \$250.00 deposit was received from SAL PROFACI, brother of [redacted] with the total cost to be approximately \$3,600.00. It is to commence at 7:00 P. M., with dinner at 8:00 P. M.

It is noted that previous information was obtained by New York City indicating there is to be a wedding between [redacted] and [redacted], Tampa, Florida.

E. [redacted]

ARIZ.
On July 15, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, telephoned [redacted] at the Steer and Stein in Phoenix on the night of July 15, 1963, and talked with both [redacted] and [redacted]. BONANNO reportedly asked them questions as to the whereabouts and recent activities of subject, and also asked them to "Look after" JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., and keep him out of trouble, especially during the coming school year.

F. [REDACTED]

ARIZONA

On July 15, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject's mother, Mrs. JOSEPH BONANNO, telephoned the Steer and Stein in Phoenix on the night of July 15, 1963, and told the bartender to contact [REDACTED] and have [REDACTED] get in touch with subject and his brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. Mrs. BONANNO said that it was extremely important for them to telephone her at Tucson.

MRS.
G. MRS.

[REDACTED]
Tucson, Arizona

CALIF

b6
b7C

It is noted that Tucson telephone toll charges to subject's Phoenix telephone listed calls to the telephone of Mrs. [REDACTED] on May 17, 1963 and May 27, 1963.

The following investigation was conducted by IC [REDACTED]

On July 22, 1963, Mrs. [REDACTED] Credit Bureau of Tucson, advised that the files of this Bureau reflect that Mrs. [REDACTED] resides at [REDACTED] Tucson, Arizona. She is the widow of ROBERT J. CHRISTIE and her source of income is listed as a government pension. They came to Tucson from [REDACTED] Menlo Park, California. The file date is March 7, 1961. There is no derogatory information and the credit rating is satisfactory.

On July 22, 1963, Mrs. [REDACTED] Records Division, Tucson Police Department, and Mrs. [REDACTED] Identification Division, Sheriff's Office of Pima County, Tucson, Arizona, advised that the files of their respective Departments contained no information under the name of [REDACTED].

b6
b7C

The 1960 Tucson City Directory reflects a listing at this address for ROBERT J. CHRISTIE and his wife, [REDACTED]. He was listed as retired at that time. No listings were found for the [REDACTED] in previous issues of the City Directory. The current Tucson telephone directory lists only Mrs. [REDACTED] at this address.

On July 30, 1963, Lieutenant [REDACTED] (NA), Tucson Police Department, advised that the records of the Intelligence Unit contained no information under the name of [REDACTED].

PX 92-172

H. LONG DISTANCE CALLS FROM SUBJECT'S TELEPHONE

On July 25, 1963, PX T-23 advised that the only long distance toll charge to subject's telephone during the last billing period was a call to Brooklyn, New York, on June 7, 1963, number not shown.

On August 23, 1963, PX T-23 advised that the following long distance toll charges have been made to the telephone at subject's residence, telephone number 945-1218.

- July 10, 1963 - San Mateo, California - 345-5144
- July 16, 1963 - Brooklyn, New York - BE 2-2739
- July 17, 1963 - Los Angeles, California, no number
- July 18, 1963 - Brooklyn, New York - no number
- July 30, 1963 - Collect from Bay Shore, New York
- July 31, 1963 - Collect from Bay Shore, New York.

V. TRAVEL

On July 10, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject returned to Phoenix at 4:00 A. M., on July 9, 1963, after a trip to New York, Chicago, Milwaukee, and Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin. While in Milwaukee, subject is believed to have contacted [redacted] an attorney.

Informant advised that subject did not attend the wedding of his sister-in-law, [redacted], at Brooklyn, New York, on July 6, 1963.

Informant advised that subject intends to return to the above places in a couple of weeks.

Informant further advised that on his return to Phoenix, subject had in his possession a roll of quarters, bearing the name of Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company, Brooklyn, New York.

On July 11, 1963, inquiry at Sky Harbor Airport, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that the only plane due to arrive in Phoenix around 4:00 A. M., is Continental Airlines Flight #55, from El Paso, Texas, which is due in Phoenix at 3:25 A. M.

On July 11, 1963, a check of the trip sheets of Arizona Taxicab Company, 1833 W. Spruce Street, Phoenix, revealed that [redacted] a driver, picked up a passenger at 24th Street and E. Van Buren at 5:05 A. M., on July 9, 1963, and discharged this passenger at 10th Street and Colter.

On July 11, 1963, [redacted] driver, Arizona Taxicab Company, advised that he received a call from his office to pick up a passenger at a service station located on the Southwest corner of 24th Street and Van Buren during the early morning of July 9, 1963. He arrived at this station at 5:05 A. M., and saw a light blue car parked at the station with a young teenage boy, and a man who appeared to be in his late 30's, further description not recalled. He said the man took a garment bag, a suitcase, and a box or package out of the blue car, and entered his cab. He heard the young boy remark something about coming up from Tucson.

The man then asked him to go to around 12th Street and Camelback. He proceeded North on 24th Street, following the teenage boy in the light blue car as far as Indian School Road, where this car turned East. He thought it peculiar that the man had not continued in the car with the boy.

PX 92-172

The man then told him to go to 10th Street and Colter, and when they arrived at this location, directed him to a house on the South side of Colter Street, near 11th Street, which had what appeared to be a well in the front yard. The man left the cab at this address.

[redacted] said he would be unable to recognize this passenger, as he had paid no attention to him, and the passenger had not talked with him during the trip, other than to give him instructions.

b6
b7C

On July 17, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject made airline reservations through the Camelback Travel Agency, on American Airlines Flight #962, leaving Phoenix at 8:30 A. M., on July 18, 1963, and arriving in New York City at 4:53 P. M. Informant said that these reservations were made under the name of B. BELLON. Subject indicated he intended to be in New York until September, and was carrying his passport with him.

On July 18, 1963, SA [redacted] observed subject board American Airlines Flight #962 at Sky Harbor Airport in Phoenix, which flight departed at 8:30 A. M.

The New York Office advised that subject was observed at the residence of [redacted], 155-92 Keno Avenue, Hollis, New York, on July 24, 1963, July 25, 1963, and August 6, 1963.

b6
b7C

On July 25, 1963, he was observed leaving this residence in a 1962 Chevrolet registered to [redacted], Brooklyn, New York, and proceeding in this car to the vicinity of Wilson Avenue in Brooklyn. (It is noted that subject's brother-in-law, FRANK LA BRUZZO, operates the Lane Thread Company, 207 Wilson Avenue, Brooklyn, New York).

On August 15, 1963, the Washington Field Office advised that records of the Passport Office, Department of State, Washington, D. C., revealed that SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO was issued Passport #D-108 445 at Los Angeles, California, on April 25, 1963, for a proposed two months vacation travel to England, Italy, India, and Japan. On his application for passport at Phoenix, Arizona, on April 19, 1963, he stated he intended to depart from New York City or Los Angeles about May 15, 1963, by an unknown airline, not by organized tour.

This passport was valid for three years travel to all countries except Albania, Cuba, and those portions of China, Korea, and Viet Nam under Communist control.

PX 92-172

Subject indicated on his application that he was born November 5, 1932, at Brooklyn, New York, and gave his permanent residence as 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Scottsdale, Arizona. He stated he was last married on August 18, 1956, to [REDACTED] [REDACTED], who was born at Brooklyn, New York, on April 14, 1936, and the marriage was not terminated. He requested his wife be notified at his home address in case of emergency, and listed his occupation as self-employed.

b6
b7C

This passport may be used by subject whenever and as often as desired without further notification to the Department of State or other Governmental Agencies.

PX 92-172

VI. INTERVIEW WITH SUBJECT AT NEW YORK CITY

8/8/63

During the evening of August 6, 1963, SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO was observed leaving the residence at 199-52 Keno Avenue, Queens, New York, at approximately 10:15 p.m., driving a white Chevrolet Impala, license number AL 6209. Shortly thereafter BONANNO approached SAs [redacted] inquired as to their identities and their interest in him. SAs [redacted] identified themselves and requested an interview, to which BONANNO consented. At the outset of the interview, SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO was advised that he did not have to furnish any information, that any information he did furnish could be used against him in a court of law, and that he had a right to consult with an attorney.

b6
b7C

BONANNO advised that he did not know the present whereabouts of his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, but that he can always reach his father through his attorney in Arizona. He said that he is not worried about his father, that his father can take care of himself, and that, if his father should ever need his assistance, his father knows where to reach him, SALVATORE, here in New York City. SALVATORE BONANNO stated that his younger brother, who is approximately eighteen years of age, is residing in his house in Tucson and that his father calls his brother and inquiries if everything is alright. On these occasions, according to SALVATORE, his father does not indicate where he is calling from. SALVATORE also indicated that he, too, is in periodic contact with his brother or mother. SALVATORE revealed that his younger brother is now working and has recently completed high school after a layoff of one year. He claimed concern for his brother in that his brother has no idea of his father's notoriety and SALVATORE is worried as to the effect the recent publicity relative to his father will have on the younger brother.

SALVATORE BONANNO advised that his true name is SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO; however, in Arizona he uses the name WILLIAM BONANNO and is, therefore, called by the name BILL. He said that the name WILLIAM is more fitting in the Arizona area than is SALVATORE. He pointed out that, if he were still residing in Brooklyn, New York, the name SALVATORE would be more appropriate. He continued with the information that he married the former [redacted] and that this marriage was not "arranged." He first met his wife when she was approximately sixteen years of age, became romantically serious with her when she was nineteen, and married his wife when she was twenty-three years of age.

b6
b7C

8/6-7/63

NY 92-638

On _____ at _____ File # _____
by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] :llr
by _____ Date dictated _____

BILL BONANNO stated that he was once engaged and almost married an "outsider" but this marriage never came off. He stated his father did not arrange his marriage with the PROFACI daughter, but at the same time did not disapprove. BONANNO stated that his wife and his sister were classmates and it was through this association that he first met his wife, who is now approximately twenty-seven years of age.

BONANNO continued that the recent marital problems with his wife [] are now straightened out. They are back together again, and he added that he was not forced back with his wife by her brothers or his father. BILL BONANNO stated that his father only mentioned to him that he should be honest with himself, his wife, and his children. After some reflection, he decided to mend his ways and return to his wife and family.

b6
b7C

BILL BONANNO further stated that he is not afraid of the PROFACIS, meaning his wife's brothers, because they cannot harm him without harming themselves, and pointed out, that his children, three boys, are also blood relatives of the PROFACIS and that his wife is also their sister.

BONANNO stated that he is not close to any of the PROFACIS and they do not confide in him and claims that he knows nothing regarding their trouble with the GALLO group. BONANNO pointed out that if an organization exists such as the "Cosa Nostra", they would certainly be able to handle and deal with the rebel GALLO group.

BONANNO continued that he is residing here in New York City with his aunt and uncle, Mr. and Mrs. JOSEPH SPADARO, 199-52 Keno Avenue, Queens, New York, and Mrs. SPADARO is his mother's sister. He stated that he is here for a three month period on "vacation", and that his wife came to New York City one month prior to him to attend the wedding of her sister. She was the matron-of-honor and though he was asked to serve as best man, he declined. BONANNO mentioned that he does not attend any more weddings, funerals or engagement parties because the local police and the Federal Bureau of Investigation always take pictures. He added, the next funeral he will attend will be his own. BONANNO further advised that his wife was given permission by him to attend the wedding of her sister and that for a period during her

3.

stay here, she resided with her brother's family with the children, in Brooklyn, New York. BONANNO stated that after he arrived in New York City, he did not care for the situation of his children being exposed to the PROFACIG so, he obtained an apartment at an undisclosed location for his wife and children and away from her family. He advised that his wife just recently developed some sort of allergy and for that reason intends to spend at least one month at an undisclosed seashore or lake resort up until the first or second week of September, 1963. BONANNO stated that his oldest son will begin school this fall and is enrolled in a private Catholic parochial school in Tucson Arizona and if they arrive a week or so late, it will make no difference.

BONANNO stated that he is thirty-one years of age, was born in Brooklyn, New York, and that the reason his father moved to Arizona was because he, SALVATORE BONANNO, developed mastoid trouble and the doctor suggested a dry climate. He stated that he first attended boarding school in Arizona and that his father came out for occasional visits in 1930 and 1940. His father invested in some real estate which in a short period of time greatly increased and so his father decided to move to Arizona and has continued to deal in real estate holdings.

BILL BONANNO claims that he is not a courier or errand runner for his father and is not in New York City to conduct business on his father's behalf. BILL BONANNO stated that he is his own boss and stressed the fact that he takes no orders from anyone except his father and does so only because it is his father whom he respects.

BILL BONANNO stated that the only identification he carries on his person is a 1963 New York State automobile registration for a 1962 Chevrolet Impala, color white, license plate 8L 6209, registered to one MARION D. PASQUALLE, 1726 De Kalb Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, a State of Arizona driver's license, a Selective Service registration card and a draft card reflecting his classification as 1AY.

BILL BONANNO stated that he cannot understand what interest the Federal Bureau of Investigation would have in him. He feels that he is in no way violating any Federal laws. BONANNO stated that he is concerned about his income

tax problems with the Internal Revenue Service as they are presently thoroughly reviewing his finances as a possible fraud violation and that he believes that they are attempting to "frame him." BONANNO advised that if the interviewing agents were in any way affiliated with the Treasury Department, he would merely tell them his name and the name and telephone number of his attorney. He stated that the Internal Revenue agent interviewing him in Arizona is a Mr. [] (phonetic). BILL BONANNO also expressed concern over the impending McCLELLAN Investigating Committee as to whether he or his father may possibly be subject to subpoena to appear before this committee.

b6
b7C

BONANNO continued that approximately a year or so ago a representative of the Tucson Police Department informed him that his chief thought that he should seek residence elsewhere. BONANNO stated that he had obtained legal counsel and instituted a civil rights suit against the City of Tucson and the Police Department, which case he won on appeal and, as a result, he feels that he has somewhat exonerated himself as a questionable character in the Tucson area. BONANNO also stated that as a result of being cleared on this matter on appeal, he was also suspended from two years probation which he was placed on as a result of a conviction on a true name insufficient fund check drawn on a bank in the Tucson area. BONANNO stated that he felt that prosecution on this check case was pressed by Federal authorities, and that they were out to get him on any charge they could. In conclusion, BONANNO pointed out that in view of both his and his father's unpopularity with law enforcement agencies in the Arizona area, they are extremely circumspect in their business dealings and would not consider becoming involved in anything of a questionable or illegitimate nature.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona
September 4, 1963

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Title SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character ANTI RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. REGER,
dated 9/4/63 at Phoenix.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

Date: 9-9-63

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

"CHANGED"

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
William Salvatore Bonanno,
William V. Bonanno, Bill Bonanno,
William Baskin, Bill Bell, B. Bellon,
William Goodyear, Sam Paul Profaci;
AR
OO: Phoenix
BUFILE: (92-4494)

Previous communications in this case have been titled, "WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka; AR."

For the information of the Bureau and all offices, this title is being changed to show subject's true name as SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, and to include all aliases which subject is known to have used in the past.

- | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| ② - Bureau (92-4494) | 1 - Louisville (92-245) |
| 1 - Albany (92-428) | 1 - Miami (92-611) |
| 1 - Baltimore (92-284) | 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) |
| 1 - Boston (92-521) | 1 - Minneapolis (92-171) |
| 1 - Buffalo (92-228) | 1 - Newark (92-778) |
| 1 - Butte (92-27) | 1 - New Haven (92-211) |
| 1 - Chicago (92-765) | 1 - New York (92-1586) |
| 1 - Cincinnati (92-301) | 1 - Oklahoma City (92-195) |
| 1 - Cleveland (92-413) | 1 - Omaha (92-206) |
| 1 - Dallas (92-312) | 1 - Philadelphia (92-802) |
| 1 - Denver (92-149) | 1 - Pittsburgh (92-438) |
| 1 - Detroit (92-521) | 1 - Portland (92-118) |
| 1 - El Paso (92-126) | 1 - St. Louis (92-460) |
| 1 - Honolulu (92-36) | 1 - Salt Lake City (92-379) |
| 1 - Houston (92-230) | 1 - San Antonio (92-102) |
| 1 - Indianapolis (92-328) | 1 - San Diego (92-165) |
| 1 - Jacksonville (92-378) | 1 - San Francisco (92-340) |
| 1 - Kansas City (92-536) | 1 - Springfield (92-370) |
| 1 - Las Vegas (92-215) | 1 - Washington Fld. (92-408) |
| 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) | 1 - Phoenix (92-172) |

RWR/mcl
(41)

MCT-47
REC-50

12 SEP 12 1963

58 SEP 18 1963

EX-112

INDEX

F B I

Date: 9/19/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
SAC, NEW YORK CITY (92-1586)
SAC, LOS ANGELES (92-685)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) -P-

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, Aka;
AR
OO: PHOENIX

Rerep SA ROY W. REGER, Phoenix, 9/4/63, and
Los Angeles letter to Phoenix, 8/28/63.

[REDACTED] Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale,
Arizona, advised he has now located a photograph of the
\$225.00 NSF check on subject's account at this office.

He said this check is Number 151, dated 7/22/63,
payable to SALVATORE V. BONANNO, in the amount of \$225.00.
The endorsement is illegible, but could be FRANK LA BRUZZO,
followed by the number 601-4385. A second endorsement
is by the First National City Bank, location illegible,
to whom the check was returned.

New York requested to contact First National
City Bank; attempt to verify return of NSF check by
subject; and further determine whether they have received
payment for this check.

- ③ - Bureau
2 - Los Angeles
2 - New York City
2 - Phoenix

RWR/vtp
(9)

C. E. Wick

REG-48

92-4494-177
SEP 21 1963

64 SEP 30 1963
Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b6
b7c

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Casper _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Gale _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holmes _____
 Gandy _____

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

URGENT 10-10-63 1:15 AM SAV
 TO/DIRECTOR -4- AND SAC PHOENIX
 FROM SAC NEW YORK 100430

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, AKA, AR, OO: PHOENIX.
 [REDACTED] ADVISED ON OCTOBER 7 LAST OF MEETING
 BETWEEN SUBJECT AND HIS UNCLE FRANK LA BRUZZO. INFORMANT
 ADVISED DISCUSSION IN ENGLISH AND SICILIAN AND AT ONE
 PERIOD DURING SICILIAN LA BRUZZO INQUIRED OF SUBJECT IF
 HE WAS GOING BACK TO AN UNINTELLIGIBLE PLACE. LATER ON
 IN CONVERSATION ARIZONA MENTIONED. INFORMANT NOT IN POSITION
 TO UNDERSTAND CONVERSATION CLEARLY. PHOENIX BE ALERT FOR
 SUBJECT'S POSSIBLE PRESENCE THAT DIVISION.

RECEIVED: 1:23 AM EFH

REC- 53

92 - 4494 - 178

249
 54 OCT 18 1963

22 OCT 18 1963

NEVER

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE 10/17/63	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 7/16/63 - 10/11/63
TITLE OF CASE CHANGED SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka Joe Baskin		REPORT MADE BY SA ROY W. REGER	TYPED BY ebt
		CHARACTER OF CASE <div style="text-align: center;">AR</div>	

SYNOPSIS:

Title is changed to include aka of JOE BASKIN, which name used by subject on Western Union Money Order.

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 9/4/63 at Phoenix.

-P-

LEADS

NEW YORK OFFICE

AT NEW YORK CITY

Will contact First National City Bank and verify return of NSF check of subject, and determine if bank has received payment for this check. (This lead set out by airtel on 9/19/63.)

LOS ANGELES AND SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONS: INFORMATION

APPROVED COPIES MADE: 2 - Bureau (92-4494) 1 - Phoenix 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 2 - New York (92-1586) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW <div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">92-4494-179</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">OCT 21 1963</div> <div style="float: right; text-align: left;"> MCT-1 REC-18 EX-103 </div>
---	---

1 - Dept 10/29/63
 10/29/63
 make 151

STAT. SECT.

PROPERTY OF FBI.—This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

64 OCT 29 1963

PX 92-172

Information copies sent these offices in view of subject's associates, relatives, and activities in these Divisions.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report activities of subject.

INFORMANTS

Information from the files of the Bank of Scottsdale was furnished by W. W. HILL.

PX T-23 is

PX T-36 is

PX T-37 is

[redacted] advised that on 9/9/63, subject had a meeting at the Lane Thread Co., 207 Wilson Avenue, New York City, with FRANK LA BRUZZO, owner of this company, and JOSEPH SPADARO, who is a brother-in-law of JOSEPH BONANNO and a "Button Guy" in the BONANNO family of La Cosa Nostra.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] advised that on 9/23/63, subject had a meeting at the Lane Thread Company with FRANK LA BRUZZO, subject's uncle.

[redacted] advised that subject had a meeting with LA BRUZZO on 10/7/63.

ADMINISTRATIVE

The extended period in this report is due to the inclusion of the period 7/16/63 on which date investigation was conducted by the Los Angeles Office.

B*
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 10/17/63

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File #: 92-4494

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., has been residing at subject's Phoenix residence while subject in New York City, and has had numerous visitors at home. Slot machine and roulette wheel observed at subject's residence. NSF check written by subject on Bank of Scottsdale determined to have been endorsed by FRANK LA BRUZZO, and returned to First National City Bank. Subject sent \$500.00 to paramour, [redacted] via Western Union money order, from New York City. Subject returned to Phoenix 10/10/63, and arranged to have telephone at residence, which had been disconnected for non payment of bill, reconnected in name of SAM PAUL PROFACI. Subject deposited \$4,250.00 consisting of cashier's checks payable to JOSEPH BONANNO, in subject's account at Bank of Scottsdale.

b6
b7C

-P-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. ASSOCIATES.....	2 - 6
A. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.....	2 - 5
B. [REDACTED].....	6
II. FINANCES.....	7 - 9
III. TRAVEL.....	10
IV. TELEPHONE SERVICE AT RESIDENCE.....	11-12

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

DETAILS:

I. ASSOCIATES

A. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.

DOB 6/1/45

PX T-37 advised that during the time subject was in New York City, his Phoenix residence was occupied by his brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who had frequent teenage visitors, and frequent parties at the house. *Adiz.*

On September 2, 1963, [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that several cars, including one from out of state, were parked at subject's residence.

b6
b7C

On September 2, 1963, [redacted] Phoenix Police Department, advised that a patrolman from this department had obtained the following license numbers from cars parked at subject's home on this date:

Arizona [redacted]
Arizona [redacted]
Michigan [redacted]

On September 3, 1963, records of Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed the following registrations for Arizona licenses:

[redacted] - 1963 Chevrolet, two door hardtop, to [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

[redacted] - 1940 Ford sedan to [redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona.

On September 3, 1963, Detroit Office advised that Michigan license [redacted] was registered to [redacted] Detroit, Michigan.

b6
b7C

On September 16, 1963, [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that a teenage boy, name unknown, had told one of subject's neighbors he had visited JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., at subject's home, and had noticed

PX 92-172

a large table in the living room, which when opened, contained a roulette wheel.

[] further advised that JOSEPH JR. has been having numerous teenage visitors at the house recently. He said they have included both boys and girls, and that some of the visitors appear to be spending the night at the house. He said there has been no disturbance at the house recently, which he thought very unusual in view of the congregation of teenagers.

On September 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that there is a roulette wheel in the living room of subject's home, and that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., also has a slot machine in the bedroom at this house.

b6
b7C

On September 19, 1963, PX T-37 advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., had received a telephone call from his mother, - FAY BONANNO, who was believed to be calling from Tucson, Arizona. Mrs. BONANNO requested JOSEPH JR. drive the Chevrolet (Arizona license DER 392) to Tucson on the following Friday night.

Informant said that this car is presently in possession of [], subject's paramour, and that [] has refused to give the car to JOSEPH JR. unless instructed to do so by subject.

PX T-37 advised that BONANNO, JR. was unable to obtain the Chevrolet from [] and had [] drive him to Tucson on September 21, 1963, to deliver the luggage []'s parents to his mother in Tucson.

On September 20, 1963, SAs ROY W. REGER and [] observed cars with Arizona licenses CET 737 and CPL 018 at subject's residence.

Records of Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, reviewed the following registrations:

b6
b7C

[] - 1959 Plymouth, [] and []
[] Chandler, Arizona.

[] - 1960 Falcon, []
[], Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172

On September 24, 1963, DR. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that cars
with the following Arizona licenses were observed at sub-
ject's home on this date:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix,
revealed the following registrations:

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED],
Phoenix, for a 1958 Buick Sedan.

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED]
Phoenix, for a 1954 Ford Station Wagon.

On September 27, 1963, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], Phoenix, Arizona, advised that
a car with California license [REDACTED] was observed at
subject's residence on this date.

San Francisco advised that this license is regis-
tered to [REDACTED], Glendale, Cali-
fornia, for a 1963 Ford.

On October 2, 1963, Captain [REDACTED]
Phoenix Police Department, advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.
[REDACTED] were questioned by Patrolman [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] at 2:15 AM, September 16, 1963, when they were observed
at a used car lot at 1300 E. Camelback Road in Phoenix.
BONANNO claimed they had wanted to look at a car on the lot
which had been advertised for sale in the paper.

An interrogation card was made out which described
BONANNO, JR. as white, male, born June 1, 1945, height 5'9",
weight 130 lbs., hair black, eyes brown, complexion dark.
He claimed to be a student at Catalina in Tucson, Arizona, and
was driving a 1956 Chevrolet, color white and red, with Ari-
zona license [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona,
revealed that Arizona license [redacted] is registered to [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, for a 1956
Chevrolet sport coupe.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

B. [REDACTED] *11/13*

On September 3, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject telephoned [REDACTED] from New York City on this date. He said this call was made to [REDACTED] at a pre-arranged pay telephone booth, located at 7th Street and East Colter in Phoenix, number 279-0850.

Informant advised that [REDACTED] was very angry with subject about the Chevrolet which she is supposed to be using, and which subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., has been attempting to recover from her. Subject reportedly told [REDACTED] that he would telephone his brother and instruct his brother to leave her alone in the future, and to let her use this car.

b6
b7C

PX T-37 advised that on September 20, 1963, subject, using the name of JOE BASKIN, sent \$500.00 via Western Union Money Order to [REDACTED], to be delivered to [REDACTED].

Informant advised that [REDACTED] is still angry with subject because of his failure to furnish her as much money as she needs, and that [REDACTED] is considering taking her son, which subject fathered, to visit subject's mother in Tucson and confront her with the son in order to secure more financial assistance.

b6
b7C

Informant said that [REDACTED] is about three months delinquent in her rent; owes an electricity bill of about \$140.00 and has many other delinquent bills.

[REDACTED] is now of the opinion that subject may have "patched things up" with his wife, and may be planning to desert her [REDACTED] entirely.

II. FINANCES

On July 16, 1963, [] Loan Department, Occidental Life Insurance Company, Los Angeles, California, advised that subject is current with his installment payments, having made his July payment on July 9, 1963.

On August 27, 1963, Mr. [] advised that subject was delinquent for his August payment, which was due on August 1, 1963, and that reminders had been sent to subject on August 13 and August 22, 1963. [] said that the ledger sheet on subject's account did not indicate receipt of any non-sufficient fund check from subject.

b6
b7C

On September 17, 1963, [] advised that subject was delinquent for both his August and September payments, and the company was considering notifying the second mortgage holder, [], of proposed foreclosure action.

On September 20, 1963, [] advised that he had received a Western Union Money Order, No. VY 40739, issued at Brooklyn, New York, in the amount of \$442.00 from subject, and that foreclosure was therefore no longer being contemplated.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date September 20, 1963

Records of the Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed a photograph of Check #151, dated July 22, 1963, payable to SALVATORE V. BONANNO, in the amount of \$225.00, and signed by S. V. BONANNO.

The endorsement on this check is partially illegible, but appears to be by FRANK LA BRUZZO, followed by the Number 601-4358.

A second endorsement is by the First National City Bank, location illegible.

Bank records indicate that this check was returned the First National City Bank because of not sufficient funds.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED], President, Bank of Scottsdale.

b6
b7C

On 9/19/63 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SAS ROY W. REGER & [REDACTED] :mss Date dictated 9/19/63

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 10/16/63

Records of the Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed that on October 10, 1963, subject deposited \$4,250.00 in his account at this bank. This deposit consisted of four cashier's checks; three in the amount of \$1,000.00 and one in the amount of \$1,250.00. These checks were numbered 523112 through 523115; dated January 8, 1963; drawn on the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Tucson, Arizona; payable to JOSEPH BONANNO.

The checks were endorsed by JOSEPH BONANNO by S. V. BONANNO.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to President, Bank of Scottsdale.

b6
b7C

On 10/10/63 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/ebt Date dictated 10/10/63

PX 92-172

III. TRAVEL

On October 10, 1963, PX T-37 advised that subject's mother, Mrs. JOSEPH BONANNO, telephoned [redacted] from New York City, and requested [redacted] to meet subject at the Phoenix airport on his arrival in Phoenix on American Airlines Flight 965 at 11:58 AM.

b6
b7C

Informant stated that subject arrived in Phoenix as scheduled and proceeded directly to the residence of his paramour, [redacted].

PX 92-172

IV. TELEPHONE SERVICE AT RESIDENCE

On September 27, 1963, PX T-23 advised that the following long distance calls have been charged to Phoenix telephone 945-1218, which is listed to SAM PAUL PROFACI at subject's residence:

July 29, 1963	Collect from Queens, New York
Aug 6, 1963	Collect from Lindhurst, New York
August 12, 1963	Collect from Brooklyn, New York

Informant advised that on September 23, 1963, this phone was temporarily disconnected for non-payment of a telephone bill amounting to \$63.83 and on September 27, 1963, was permanently disconnected.

On October 11, 1963, PX T-36 advised that an individual, believed by informant to be identical with [redacted] personally called at the Scottsdale, Arizona office of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company on October 10, 1963, and requested re-institution of non-published telephone service for SAM PAUL PROFACI at 6331 E. Camel Del Paisano in Phoenix.

According to informant, this individual was interviewed by [redacted] who advised the customer he would have to sign a letter if he desired non-published service. The customer replied that he was not PROFACI and when asked his identity, replied that his name was GEORGE SMITH. He said that PROFACI had been out of town, did not know his telephone bill was not being paid, and offered to sign the letter for PROFACI, which [redacted] said would not be acceptable.

[redacted] further reportedly told the customer that before re-instituting service, it would be necessary to pay the delinquent bill, as well as make a \$100 deposit for the new service.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Informant said the customer then left the office, and went to a car parked in front of the office, where he consulted an unknown dark haired man who was sitting in the car. He thereafter returned to the office, and paid the delinquent bill and deposit in cash. A letter was furnished to this customer to be given to [redacted], signed by him, and returned to the telephone company in event he desires non-published service.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Phoenix, Arizona
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
October 17, 1963

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Title SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated
October 17, 1963 at Phoenix, Arizona

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

F B I

Date: 11/19/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

C
[redacted] Manager, Playboy Club, Phoenix, Arizona, has advised that subject is a key holder and has frequented the Phoenix club in the past, in company with his paramour, [redacted], and other known Phoenix associates. *d*

Chicago requested to contact [redacted] Credit Manager, Playboy Club, 232 E. Ohio St., Chicago, Illinois, and determine extent of subject's charges on his account and whether payment of his account is current.

Also, if possible, determination should be made as to location of other clubs frequented by subject, and dates he was there. A stop should be placed in event subsequent charges are received, and the manner in which payments are made on his account. Phoenix and interested offices should be advised where subject has frequented other clubs.

- REC-18 92-4494-180
- ③ - Bureau
 - 2 - Chicago (92-765)
 - 1 - New York (92-1586) (Info)
 - 2 - Phoenix

RWR/mcl
(8)

12 NOV 21 1963

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 11/29/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R M A I L A I R T E L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
AR.
OO: Phoenix

Re Phoenix airtel to the Bureau, Chicago, and New York,
11/19/63.

For infor of New Orleans, subject, who is son of National
Top Hoodlum JOSEPH BONANNO, is key holder in Playboy Club, and
frequented club with paramour last time he was in Phoenix.

[redacted] manager of Phoenix Playboy Club, advised
subject telephoned him from New York City on evening of 11/29/63
and asked [redacted] if he had itinerary of Playboy Club entertainers.
[redacted] replied he did not, and subject said he was attempting to
get a "run down" on one [redacted] who he thought
might be in Playboy Club in New Orleans.

Chicago and New Orleans attempt to identify entertainer by
name of [redacted] and possible reason for in-
quiry by subject.

New York determine if subject is frequenting Playboy Club at
New York City.

Chicago also requested to expedite investigation previously
requested in ref airtel.

- ③ - Bureau
- 2 - Chicago (92-765)
- 2 - New Orleans
- 2 - New York (92-1586)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR/gaj
(10)

REC-40

92-4494-181

12 DEC 2 1963

CC: Wick

Approved: *[Signature]*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

F B I

Date: 11/27/63

PLAIN TEXT

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (92-1586)
SUBJECT: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO aka
AR
(OO: PHOENIX)

Re Phoenix airtel, 11/22/63, advising NY submit report in the above-entitled case.

The NYO is not submitting report in this matter inasmuch as the office of origin (Phoenix) is in possession of all information concerning BONANNO's activities in the NY Division and Phoenix Division.

Information furnished by [] has been disseminated to Phoenix in its entirety and it is left to the discretion of Phoenix as to the reportable value of this information.

REC-40

92-4494-182

③ Bureau (92-4494)

2-Phoenix (92-172)

1-New York (92-1586)

E.C. Wick

JPM:bfn

(7)

Approved: 322

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M

Per

64 DEC 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE 12-17-63	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 10/14-12/6/63
TITLE OF CASE <u>CHANGED</u> SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka Sam Black, B. Bond		REPORT MADE BY SA ROY W. REGER	TYPED BY dos
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

SYNOPSIS:

The title is changed to include aliases of SAM BLACK and B. BOND, which names were used by subject during the period of this report.

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 10/17/63 at Phoenix.

- P -

LEADS

THE CHICAGO DIVISION

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Will contact [redacted] Playboy Club, and determine whether subject is current in his account. Will also attempt to determine whether or not subject has visited other Playboy Clubs than Phoenix, and dates. Stops should be placed on additional charges if possible. (This lead set out by airtel on 11/19/63.)

b6
b7C

APPROVED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW
COPIES MADE: ③ - Bureau (92-4494) (Encl. 2) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Chicago (92-765) 2 - Detroit (92-521) 2 - Las Vegas (92-215) 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 2 - New Orleans 3 - New York City (92-1586) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info.) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: monospace;">92-4494-183</div> <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-family: monospace;">MCT-10</div> <div style="font-size: 1.2em; font-family: monospace;">14 DEC 24 1963</div>

1 Dept
1-cc-bd

NINE
STAT SECT.

PROPERTY OF FBI.—This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

53 JAN 8 1964

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

Will attempt to identify Playboy Club entertainer [redacted] and possible reason for inquiry about this entertainer by subject. (This lead set out by airtel on 11/29/63.)

b6
b7C

THE DETROIT DIVISION

AT DETROIT, MICHIGAN

Will identify subscriber to telephone WO 1-9093.

THE LAS VEGAS DIVISION

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

Will identify subscriber to telephone 735-1122.

THE LOS ANGELES DIVISION

AT FONTANA, CALIFORNIA

Will identify subscriber to telephone 823-4391.

AT SAN PEDRO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify subscriber to telephone TE 3-4342.

THE NEW ORLEANS DIVISION

AT NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

Will determine if Playboy Club entertainer [redacted] [redacted] known at Playboy Club, and possible reason for subject's inquiry about this entertainer. (This lead set out by airtel on 11/29/63.)

b6
b7C

THE NEW YORK DIVISION

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

Will determine if subject is frequenting Playboy Club in New York City. (This lead set out by airtel on 11/29/63.)

B

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

Will contact MANUFACTURERS HANOVER TRUST COMPANY and attempt to determine circumstance of cashing of check endorsed by subject and [] on 11/6/63.

Will contact BANKER'S TRUST, Box 1876, and determine nature of their business with subject and his wife.

b6
b7C

Will determine subscriber to telephone TW 8-8771, which number listed as contact by [] on AAL Flight 965, on 10/16/63, and determine if this passenger could be identical with subject.

Will determine subscriber to telephone SP 6-4143.

Will, through surveillances, confidential sources, and interviews in neighborhoods where subject is known to have resided, follow and report residence and activities of subject in this area.

THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION (INFORMATION)

Information copy is furnished San Francisco in view of activities of subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., in that area.

THE PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

Will interview [] concerning basis for acting as responsible party for payments on subject's residence.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report activities of subject.

b2
b6
b7C

INFORMANTS

[] advised that on 8/30/63, STEVE MAGADDINO conversed with [], PASQUALE NATARELLI, [] and an unknown male (referred to as [], at Buffalo, New York.

MAGADDINO referred to subject as a "Disgraceful Idiot," "Stupid", a "Jerk," a "Liar," and looks like a "Cucumber."

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

He said subject's father claims subject is a genius and that when a father tries to praise his son, it means the son is a "Dishonorable Idiot."

MAGADDINO also indicated that subject may have been made a "Cosa Nostra" member by his father.

[] advised that subject contacted FRANK LA BRUZZO at the LANE THREAD COMPANY, 207 Wilson Avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y., on 10/2/63 and on 10/7/63.

[] advised that on 10/29/63, subject, his mother, JOE NOTARO, JIMMY, and MARIAN were leaving for Canada, and would probably be gone for ten days or two weeks.

b2
b6
b7C

A mail cover on the residence of subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, revealed receipt of a letter from BANKER'S TRUST, Box 1876, New York 17, New York, postmarked at New York City on 9/30/63, and addressed to SALVATORE and [] BONANNO, 1847 E. Elm, Tucson, Arizona.

PX T37 is []

PX T39 is []

PX T45 is []

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

Information from the files of MOUNTAIN STATES TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, Phoenix, Arizona, was furnished by [].

Information from the files of the BANK OF SCOTTSDALE, Scottsdale, Arizona, was furnished by [].

ENCLOSURES

TO THE BUREAU: Original and one copy of letterhead memo characterizing new informants.

D*

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, PHOENIX

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER

Office: PHOENIX

Date: 12-17-63

File Number: PX 92-172

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject in Arizona from 10/10/63 to 10/26/63, and stayed with paramour, [redacted]. Also made trip with [redacted] to Tucson, Arizona, where contacted CHARLES BATTAGLIA and [redacted]. While in Tucson, subject cashed \$19,182.00 check on parents' account, payable to subject, and obtained four Cashier's Checks. Subject frequented Playboy Club in Phoenix with [redacted] and is key holder of Club. Law firm of [redacted] at Tucson has indicated they will be responsible for payments on subject's Phoenix residence, where subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. presently residing. Subject reportedly contemplating sale of Phoenix residence and establishing permanent residence in New York City. COP, Phoenix, Arizona, filed motion in Federal Court for dismissal of suit by subject, and deadline for reply to motion is 1/4/64.

b6
b7C

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	NEIGHBORHOOD	2
II.	ASSOCIATES	
A.	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 200px; height: 55px; display: inline-block;"></div>	3
B.	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 200px; height: 55px; display: inline-block;"></div>	3-5
C.	FRANK LA BRUZZO	5
D.	CHARLES BATTAGLIA	5
E.	TELEPHONE CALLS	6
III.	FINANCES	
A.	HOUSE PAYMENTS	7
B.	BANK ACCOUNT.	8
IV.	MEMBERSHIPS	
A.	PLAYBOY CLUB...	9
V.	TRAVEL	10
VI.	SUIT VS PHOENIX PD	11

b6
b7C

DETAILS:

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On November 7, 1963, the following cars were observed at subject's residence in Phoenix, Arizona:

1954 Ford station wagon, Arizona license
[redacted] - registered to [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona;

1955 Chevrolet, Arizona license [redacted]
registered to [redacted] 326 N. 17th St.,
Phoenix, Arizona.

On November 21, 1963, PX T39 advised that subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., was visiting the [redacted] residence in San Mateo, California. He was believed to have arrived there on the past Sunday, and planned to remain there through Thanksgiving Day.

On November 21, 1963, Dr. [redacted]
[redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that he had seen no one around subject's home for about three days, and believed that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., must have gone out of town. He said that two dogs were in the house, locked up, and no one appeared to be feeding or caring for them, as they barked and howled continuously during the day and night.

On November 21, 1963, Captain [redacted]
Phoenix Police Department, advised that he visited subject's home on this date, accompanied by officers from the Arizona Humane Society. At the time of the visit, a young boy, driving a Falcon with Arizona license CPL-019, was leaving the house with a great Dane dog in his car. This boy claimed he was a student at Arizona State University and that he kept the dog at subject's home only during the day while he was in school. He said the dog belonged to [redacted] who was visiting in California, and who had requested that he keep the dog while he was gone. He stated that the occupants of subject's home had another dog which they recently moved to some unknown place, and that there were no dogs in the house at present.

On November 30, 1963, Dr. [redacted]
[redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that JOSEPH
BONANNO, JR., returned to the residence of subject during
the night of November 29, 1963.

On December 5, 1963, PX T37 advised that subject
is contemplating sale of his Phoenix residence, and may de-
cide to make his permanent residence in New York City.

b6
b7C

II. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted]

PX T37 advised that during the time subject was
in Phoenix, Arizona, between October 10 and October 26, 1963,
he seldom went to his own residence, but stayed at nights
with his paramour, [redacted], at her home.

On the night of October 28, 1963, SA's [redacted]
[redacted] and ROY W. REGER observed [redacted] subject's
paramour, at the Sky Club in Tucson, Arizona, accompanied
by [redacted] and a couple later determined to be (FNU)
[redacted] a student at the University of Arizona, and a [redacted],
employed at the Sky Club.

On November 26, 1963, a 1955 Chevrolet with Arizona
license [redacted] was observed in the driveway of the residence
of [redacted].

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix,
indicated that this license is listed for [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix.

b6
b7C

B. [redacted]

[redacted] CPA, Central Towers, Phoenix,
Arizona, advised on October 23, 1963, that he met subject
at his office on Saturday, October 19, 1963. He said subject
was brought to the office by [redacted] who operates the
UNITED AUTO LEASE for [redacted].

[redacted] said that [redacted] was talking with subject
about leasing some cars and that while [redacted] was present,
subject mentioned he does not have an accountant in Phoenix

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

and would call [] the next time he has some accounting work. [] advised that he does not intend to accept any work from subject.

He said subject mentioned that he is not employed at present and intends to return to New York City soon, where he will probably remain until the latter part of November.

b6
b7C

[] advised that subject was unknown to him prior to this time and said he does not anticipate any future dealings with subject.

On October 23, 1963, [] Manager, UNITED AUTO LEASE, 2727 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, advised that he met subject several months ago at the Clown's Den in Phoenix, but has had no close association with him. APR 2 1964

He said that on Saturday, October 19, 1963, he happened to meet subject on the street and subject asked him what he was doing. He told subject about his auto leasing business and subject suggested they go to [] office to discuss this, as he was interested in leasing a Cadillac and Oldsmobile for some people in Tucson.

[] said he took subject to his office where he introduced subject to [] the owner of the business. He said they discussed terms on leasing cars, but no commitment or contract was made, and subject left the office.

b6
b7C

[] denied knowledge of subject's activities or of any other business or social acquaintance with subject.

On November 1, 1963, [] Manager, UNITED AUTO LEASE, 2727 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, was re-interviewed by SA ROY W. REGER and Detective [] of the Phoenix Police Department.

[] said he had gone to Tucson on October 28, 1963, after receiving a telephone call from [] concerning the leasing of a car to the TUCSON VENDING COMPANY at Tucson.

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

On arriving in Tucson, he went to see [] and arranged to lease a car to the TUCSON VENDING COMPANY. He said he thereafter contacted [] who signed the lease for a two-year period, and he delivered a 1964 brown Oldsmobile two-door hardtop to []

b6
b7C

[] advised that prior to leaving Phoenix, [] had told him she was going there for a few days and asked him to drive her there. He said this could not be arranged, but he did meet her in Tucson and went with her to the Sky Room on Monday night. He stated that she was staying with relatives in Tucson and made no mention as to the nature of her business there.

C. FRANK LA BRUZZO

PX T37 advised that FRANK LA BRUZZO of New York City was attempting to contact subject in Phoenix by telephone on October 23 and October 24, 1963, but subject did not appear anxious to talk with LA BRUZZO.

D. CHARLES BATTAGLIA

PX T37 advised that CHARLES BATTAGLIA attempted to contact subject by phone in Phoenix, from Tucson, Arizona, on October 17, 1963, but was unable to do so.

E. TELEPHONE CALLS

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date December 2, 1963

Records of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that the following long distance phone calls were charged to 945-1218, which is listed to SAM PAUL PROFACI, 6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona:

October 15, 1963 - Detroit, Michigan, Number WO 1-9093

October 19, 1963 - Las Vegas, Nevada, Number 735-1122

October 22, 1963 - Fontana, California, Number 823-4391

October 24, 1963 - Queens, New York, Number SP 6-4143

October 25, 1963 - Tucson, Arizona, Number MA 4-0779

November 6, 1963 - Queens, New York, Number SP 6-4143

November 6, 1963 - Queens, New York, Number SP 6-4143

November 9, 1963 - San Pedro, California, Number TE 3-4342

These records also revealed that Tucson, Arizona Number MA 4-0779 is listed to the Tucson Press Club, Phoenix Title Building, Tucson, Arizona.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to , Vice President and General Manager, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 11/22/63 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/jt Date dictated 11/22/63

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

III. FINANCES

A. HOUSE PAYMENTS

On November 5, 1963, [REDACTED] OCCIDENTAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, Los Angeles, California, advised that the law firm of [REDACTED] Suite 803, Phoenix Title Building, Tucson, Arizona, has been designated as the responsible party for making payments on subject's property at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

B. BANK ACCOUNT

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date November 5, 1963

Records of The Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed the following information from the account of S. V. and [] BONANNO:

b6
b7C

Balance - September 25, 1963 - \$202.26

November 1, 1963 - \$1,230.30

Deposits - October 11, 1963 - \$4,250.00

October 28, 1963 - \$5,000.00

Checks - October 11, 1963 - \$1,250.00

October 21, 1963 - \$50.04

October 22, 1963 - \$274.21
\$385.00

October 25, 1963 - \$490.00

October 28, 1963 - \$5,000.00

October 30, 1963 - \$250.00

October 31, 1963 - \$25.00

\$30.00

\$ 2.50

\$20.00

\$22.50

\$312.00

\$25.00

November 1, 1963 - \$25.00

\$60.00

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [] President, Bank of Scottsdale.

b6
b7C

On 11/4/63 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER / dsn Date dictated 11/4/63

IV. MEMBERSHIPS

A. PLAYBOY CLUB

On November 19, 1963, [] Manager, Playboy Club, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he was formerly manager of the bar at the SANDS HOTEL, Phoenix, and became acquainted with subject, who frequented the bar, at that time.

He said his acquaintance with subject has been limited only to that of a customer, and he has no knowledge of any illegal activities by subject.

b6
b7C

[] stated that subject is a "Key Holder" with the Playboy Club and, when in Phoenix, is a frequent visitor at the club. He has seen subject at this club accompanied by [] and a girl whom he has introduced as his wife. [] identified a photograph of [] as subject's "wife."

He stated that subject was last at the club about three weeks ago, accompanied by []. At this time, [] was attempting to lease a car to [] and [] gained the impression that subject might be in the car leasing business with [].

He said he would prefer that subject would not visit the club, in view of his local reputation, but subject has never caused any difficulty, and there has never been any reason for him to request subject to cease such visits.

[] advised that [] the son of the late JOSEPH BASKIN, who was a close friend of the subject, was also a "Key Holder" with the club for a period of time, but he had taken [] key from him after learning that [] has run up an account amounting to about \$800.00.

b6
b7C

On November 29, 1963, [] Manager, Playboy Club, Phoenix, advised that during the evening of November 29, 1963, subject telephoned [] from New York City and asked [] if he had an itinerary for Playboy Club entertainers. [] replied that he did not, and asked subject why he wanted this information. Subject said that he was attempting to get a "run down" on one [] or

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

[] whom he thought might be presently located at the Playboy Club in New Orleans.

[] said subject did not say why he was interested in this individual, and [] advised that this individual is not known to him.

V. TRAVEL

b6
b7C

On October 16, 1963, Mrs. [] Manifest Section, AMERICAN AIRLINES, 42nd Street and Tenth Avenue, New York City, advised SA [] that AAA Flight 965 from New York City to the West Coast on October 10, 1963, contained approximately 99 passengers, with the only name on the manifest appearing likely to have been used by subject being [] who furnished a contact as TW 8-8771.

PX T37 advised that subject drove from Phoenix to Tucson, Arizona, on October 11, 1963, with his paramour, []. He reportedly remained in Tucson with [] until about October 17, 1963, at which time they returned to Phoenix.

Informant said that subject checked in the HOLIDAY INN using the name of SAM BLACK, but subsequently moved to the CLIFF MANOR MOTOR HOTEL, where he again registered as SAM BLACK. While at the latter motel, he was visited by [].

b6
b7C

On October 14, 1963, subject was observed at the office of TUCSON VENDING COMPANY, 3533 E. Speedway, Tucson, Arizona, in the company of CHARLES BATTAGLIA and [].

PX T45 advised that on October 14, 1963, subject went to the Campbell Avenue Office of the SOUTHERN ARIZONA BANK in Tucson, accompanied by CHARLES BATTAGLIA. Subject determined the amount of money deposited at this bank in the account of his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, following which he presented a check, which had been signed by his mother, FAYE BONANNO, in blank. He filled in this check for \$19,182.00, making it payable to S. V. BONANNO, and cashed the check, obtaining four Cashier's Checks, No. 555446 through 555449. Three of these checks were in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, and the remaining check in the amount of \$4,182.00. At this time, he indicated that his brother would make a large deposit to this account in about three weeks.

PX 92-172
RWR/dos

PX T45 advised that on November 6, 1963, Cashier's Check No. 555448, described above, was negotiated on November 6, 1963, at the MANUFACTURERS HANOVER TRUST COMPANY, New York City, and was endorsed by subject and [REDACTED]

Informant advised that Cashier's Check No. 555446 was negotiated by subject on October 28, 1963, at the BANK OF SCOTTSDALE, Scottsdale, Arizona.

Informant advised that Cashier's Check No. 555449 was negotiated by subject at the Main Office of the SOUTHERN ARIZONA BANK AND TRUST COMPANY in Phoenix, Arizona, on October 20, 1963, where he obtained cash.

b6
b7C

At approximately 2:00 P.M. on October 26, 1963, subject was observed at the Sky Harbor Airport in Phoenix by SA [REDACTED].

A check of all airlines failed to reveal any reservation for subject on outgoing flights for that date.

On October 29, 1963, [REDACTED] AMERICAN AIRLINES, made available the list of passengers for Flight 966 which departed from Phoenix at 2:30 P.M. on October 26, 1963, enroute to Chicago and New York City.

It was noted that among the passengers listed was one B. BOND, who purchased a round trip ticket to New York City, with return space open, and furnished no local contact telephone number.

b6
b7C

On October 31, 1963, SA [REDACTED] exhibited photographs of subject to [REDACTED] who were stewardesses on Flight 966, AMERICAN AIRLINES, on October 26, 1963. Both of these individuals identified subject as passenger B. BOND who went on this flight to New York City.

VI. SUIT VS. PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT

On November 6, 1963, CHARLES THOMAS, Chief of Police, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that his attorneys have filed a motion in U. S. District Court at Phoenix requesting dismissal of the suit brought by subject against THOMAS and others. Chief THOMAS said that subject will have until January 4, 1964 to answer this motion.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona
December 16, 1963

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference: Report of SA ROY W. REGER,
dated 12/16/63 at Phoenix.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Phoenix, Arizona
December 16, 1963

RE: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Characterization of Informants in the report of
SA ROY W. REGER dated December 16, 1963, at Phoenix:

PX T45 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities at Tucson, Arizona.

This document contains neither recommendations nor
conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and
is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be
distributed outside your agency.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.

To: **FBI, Phoenix (62-172)**Date: **January 22, 1964**


Re: **SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka**
AR
OO: Phoenix

mt
J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

FBI File No. **138-92-4494-184**
Lab. No. **D-439552 JC**

Examination requested by: **Phoenix**Reference: **Airtel 1/2/64**Examination requested: **Document**

Remarks:



Enclosures (3) (Q4, 2 Lab report)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

DIVISION
RECEIVED
(4)

FBI

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

**REPORT
of the**



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.**

To: **FBI, Phoenix**

Date:

January 22, 1964

Re: **SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
AR**

FBI File No.

92-4494

Lab. No.

D-439552 JC

Specimens received **1/6/64**

**Q4 Form requesting non-published telephone service bearing the
signature "S. P. Profaci"**

Result of examination:

Because the handwriting and hand printing are not sufficiently comparable, a definite conclusion was not reached as to whether Q4 was written by SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, FBI#988087D, or other writers of specimens previously submitted.

Specimen Q4 is returned herewith. Photographs are retained.

WLC
WLC:kw (4)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Recorded
1/13/64
kw

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
AR
OO: Phoenix

File # 92-4494-184
Lab. # D-439552 JC

Examination requested by: SAC, Phoenix (62-172)

Airtel 1/2/64

Examination requested: Document

Date received: 1/6/64

Result of Examination:

Examination by: Collier

1- N.C. Lm & hp. Q4 by Salvatore Vincent
Bonanno, FBI # 9880870. Not suff. comp. to his
avail. Lm Lm & hp. (Ident. record)
or spec. prev. section.

Specimens submitted for examination

Q4 Form requesting non-published telephone service bearing the signature
"S. P. Profaci"

Indepent
1/20/64
W. C. C. W.

Q4

S P Profeci

↓
calle

↓
R. and

chg

S. P. Profeci

FBI # 988087D

↓
SL DONALD

Q1

Thapa

F B I

Date: 1/2/64

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
Attention: FBI LABORATORY

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) P

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
AR

439552

OO: Phoenix

There is enclosed the original application for non-published telephone service at 6331 E. Calle Del Piasano, Phoenix, Arizona, signed by S. P. PROFACI.

It is noted that this is subject's residence address, and it is believed that the handwriting and signature on this application is that of the subject, who has FBI # 988 087 D.

In the event this application can definitely be established to be in the handwriting of subject, it may be possible to institute felony proceedings against subject, under an existing Arizona state personation statute.

The Laboratory is requested to compare the handwriting on the enclosed application with the handwriting of subject, as contained in his Identification Record, and in specimens of handwriting previously submitted, following which the enclosed application should be returned to Phoenix.

4 - Bureau - Enc. (1) (RAM)
2 - Phoenix

REC-40

RVR:dsn

(6)

JAN 6 1964

Salvatore
11-2-64
W.C. Law

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

COPY & SPECIMENS RETAINED IN LAP
FOR LAB ACTION & REPORT
1-13-64

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Recorded
1/13/64
kw

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: **SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka**
AR
OO: Phoenix

NO LAB FILE

File #
Lab. # **92-4494-184**
D-439552 JC

Examination requested by: **SAC, Phoenix (62-172)**

Airtel 1/2/64

Examination requested: **Document**

Date received: **1/6/64**

Result of Examination:

Examination by: **Collier**

Specimens submitted for examination

Q4 Form requesting non-published telephone service bearing the signature
"S. P. Profaci"

APR 10 1964

8051


ENCLOSURE (1)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) (RAM)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

RE: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
AR

Enclosed is the original application for non-published telephone service at 6331 E. Calle Del Piasano, Phoenix, Arizona, signed by S. P. PROFACI.



ENCLOSURE

92-4494-184

Do not chg tel #.

Phoenix, Arizona

Name

Address

Tel. #

Ret. Pos. #

S. P. Profaci
6331 E. Calle del Paisano
945-1218
411

Mountain States Telephone
Phoenix
Arizona

Gentlemen:

I hereby request that the directory listing to which I am entitled as part of my service under telephone number, _____, located at 6331 E. Calle del Paisano, be omitted from the telephone directory. I further request that the telephone number in connection with the service described above not be furnished by your information operators to anyone who may ask for it, including requests in connection with the completion of local or long distance calls. This treatment of the listing is to be continued until further notice.

Yours truly,

S P Profaci

NA - 11/20/64
88

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JAN 20 1964

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

I NEW YORK

7-55 PM URGENT 1-20-64

DE

TO DIRECTOR

-6-

92-4494 AND PHOENIX 92-172

FROM NEW YORK 92-1586

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO AKA AR.

KEN KIRK

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten mark]

[REDACTED] ADVISED THIS DATE THAT FRANK LA
BRUZZO STATED TO ONE [REDACTED] / LNU/ THAT HE WAS WORRIED ABOUT HIS NEPHEW
BILL, CAUSE HE HAD NOT SEEN HIM FOR SEVERAL DAYS. PHOENIX ADVISE IF
BILL BONANNO IN THAT DIVISION.

END

LRA
WASH DC

JAN 24 1964

269

REC 8

92-4494-185

10 JAN 21 1964

b2
b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JAN 21 1964

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

FBI WAS DC 0724

FBI PHOENIX

10-10 AM URGENT 1-21-64 AR

TO DIRECTOR \92-4494\ AND SAC NEW YORK \921586\

RELAY TO NEW YORK

FROM SAC, PHOENIX \92-172\ \1P\

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, AKA, AR. OO PX.

RE NY TEL JANUARY TWENTY LAST.

INVESTIGATION PHOENIX INDICATES SUBJECT NOT IN ARIZONA
AND BELIEVED TO HAVE RETURNED TO NEW YORK CITY, DATE AND
METHOD OF TRAVEL UNKNOWN.

NEW YORK ATTEMPT LOCATE THROUGH AVAILABLE SOURCES AND
DETERMINE ACTIVITIES SUBJECT THAT DIVISION.

END

WA RM

FBI WASH DC 0724

15

RELAYED TO *[Signature]*

REC-11 92-4494-186

6 JAN 22 1964

57 JAN 27 1964

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE 1/30/64	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 12/7/63 - 1/28/64
TITLE OF CASE <div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 10px; display: inline-block; margin-top: 10px;">SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka</div>		REPORT MADE BY SA ROY W. REGER	TYPED BY dsn
		CHARACTER OF CASE <p style="text-align: center;">AR</p>	

SYNOPSIS:

REFERENCE:

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 12/17/63 at Phoenix.

- P -

ENCLOSURES:

TO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

LEADS:

THE CHICAGO DIVISION

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Will interview Mr. Playboy Club, for details of telephone call received by him from subject's residence 11/18/63.

APPROVED COPIES MADE:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin-bottom: 5px;">3</div> - Bureau (92-4494) - Enc. (2) 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona 2 - Chicago (92-765) 2 - Detroit (92-521) 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 2 - Milwaukee (92-144) 3 - New York City (92-1586) 2 - San Francisco (92-340) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">92-4494-187</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">REC-16</div>	
		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">10 FEB 8 1964</div>		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">EX-115</div>
		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block; transform: rotate(-15deg);"> NOTED STILL SEC. </div>		

59 FEB 13 1964

PX 92-172

THE DETROIT DIVISION

AT BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN

Will identify subscriber to telephone number MI 4-1600, which number was called from subject's residence on 1/5/64.

THE LOS ANGELES DIVISION

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Will advise if individual known as [] can be identified as known associate of subject or CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.

b6
b7C

Will recontact [] Carte Blanche Credit Office, and determine whether civil or criminal action against subject is contemplated by his office.

THE MILWAUKEE DIVISION

AT MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

Will identify subscriber to telephone number AT 1-7383, which number was called from subject's residence on 11/15, 11/20, 11/22 and 11/30/63.

THE NEW YORK CITY DIVISION

AT BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Will identify subscribers to telephone numbers VA 1-8342, and BE 6-1965, which numbers were called from subject's residence.

AT QUEENS, NEW YORK

Will identify subscriber to telephone number SP 6-4143, which number was called from subject's residence.

- B -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

AT NEW YORK CITY

Will follow and report activities and contacts of subject in that area.

THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify subscriber to telephone number 341-0501, which number was called from subject's residence.

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify subscribers to telephone numbers 588-8774 and 333-9122, which numbers were called from subject's residence.

THE PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

Will interview [redacted]
[redacted] for details of call received from subject's residence on 12/2 and 12/6/63.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report subject's activities.

ADMINISTRATIVE:

The Agent observing subject at Tucson, Arizona, on 1/8/64, was SA [redacted]

The Agent observing subject at Phoenix, Arizona, on 1/13/64, was SA GERALD C. SNELL.

- C -

COVER PAGE

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

INFORMANTS:

Information from the files of The Bank of
Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, was furnished by
[redacted] Vice President.

Information from the files of the Mountain States
Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, concerning
long distance calls was furnished by [redacted].

Information from the files of the Mountain States
Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, concerning
application for non-published telephone service by S. P.
PROFACI, was furnished by [redacted] Special Agent,
Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix,
Arizona.

PX T-37 is [redacted]

PX T-46 is [redacted]

[redacted] advised that on 12/17/63, subject met
with FRANK LA BRUZZO, brother-in-law of JOSEPH BONANNO, and
[redacted] (phonetic), at the Lane
Thread Company, 207 Wilson Avenue, Brooklyn, New York.

Informant advised that subject again met with
LA BRUZZO at the Lane Thread Company on 12/23/63 and 12/27/63.

Informant stated that on 1/20/64, LA BRUZZO
indicated he was worried about subject, inasmuch as he had
not seen subject for several days, and subject was supposed
to call him.

On 1/17/64, [redacted] advised that FRANK
LA BRUZZO contacted his wife, [redacted], and requested BILL's
new number. This number was furnished as IV 5-6636.
Informant was of opinion that BILL is identical with subject.

- D -

COVER PAGE

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172

On 1/2/64, CARL A. MUECKE, U. S. Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject came to his office on this date, and said he had just returned to Phoenix and opened a letter received at his home from the Internal Revenue Service. Subject stated that this letter offered him the opportunity to appear for an informal hearing at the Internal Revenue Office, prior to prosecution.

Mr. MUECKE advised that subject said he did not desire to appear for any hearing, inasmuch as the Internal Revenue agents would not pay any attention to what he had to say, and requested that he be allowed to surrender himself in the event an indictment was returned against him.

On 1/3/64, JACK RIGGS, Acting Chief, Intelligence Division, Internal Revenue Service, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that reports on subject had been submitted to the Regional Counsel of Internal Revenue Service at San Francisco, California, with recommendation that prosecution of subject be instituted. He said that the office of the Regional Counsel had sent subject a letter offering him the opportunity to appear before the Internal Revenue Service at Phoenix, and make any statement he desired, which is a routine procedure in cases where prosecution is being considered.

RIGGS advised that if subject declines to appear, the Regional Counsel will review the case, and thereafter refer it to the Department of Justice in Washington, D. C., with a recommendation as to whether prosecution should be instituted. The Department will then also review the case, and thereafter refer it to the U. S. Attorney at Phoenix, with their prosecutive recommendation.

- E -

COVER PAGE

[] advised that on 1/13/64 [] contacted him and advised informant that subject wanted to meet with him on this date. [] then drove informant to the Bali Hi Motel in Phoenix, Arizona, where they met subject and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR. They all then proceeded to the Pancake House, where they held a conference.

Subject told informant that "they" needed a "vehicle" and that "We are taking over 50 per cent of your agency." Informant asked subject how much money he was putting into the agency, and BATTAGLIA replied, "You don't get the drift. We don't pay anything. We just move in, and you are in no position to object. You buck me and you won't find a hole deep enough to hide in." BATTAGLIA then told subject that they ought to give the assignment (to hit informant) to [] at which point [] face reddened and he did not speak. *AR, E.*

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

Informant advised he told subject and BATTAGLIA that he would definitely not permit them to take over half of his agency, but would agree to giving them 50 per cent of any new business they might bring to him.

Subject and BATTAGLIA then mentioned going to Los Angeles to meet with [] either on 1/13/64 or 1/14/64.

Informant said that subject and BATTAGLIA then went to a siding company at 1510 N. 15th Avenue, where they contacted the owner who reportedly owes them money, and told him they were taking over 50 per cent of his business. The owner pleaded with them unsuccessfully, and they told him to get his books in order so they could have an accountant check them.

b6
b7C

AR, E.
Subject and BATTAGLIA further said that they have [] a mortgage investor and Arizona State Legislator, in "Their pocket," and that he will do anything he is told. Subject further stated that "they" were also taking over 50 per cent of the Tovrea Insurance Agency in Tucson, Arizona.

- F -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

[] advised that on 1/20/64, he received a telephone call from [] of Tucson, Arizona, who requested that informant meet him at the Ramada Inn in Phoenix. Informant refused, and told [] to come to his office, which [] did. [] indicated he had recently talked with subject and CHARLES BATTAGLIA, and requested informant to arrange financing of an apartment building, an office building, and some property at Silver Croft Estates, all in Tucson, Arizona. Informant declined to handle this, in view of his recent discussion with subject and BATTAGLIA, and so informed []

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

Informant advised that subject was believed to have left Arizona on 1/13/64 or 1/14/64, and probably returned to New York City.

- G* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: January 30, 1964

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

Bufile: 92-4494

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject returned to Arizona 12/31/63 and in contact with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., and subject's paramour, [REDACTED] who recently had her name changed legally from [REDACTED]. Subject believed to have left Arizona on 1/13/64 or 1/14/64, probably for New York City. Interviews with subject's attorney and former associate of subject revealed no pertinent information of value concerning subject's activities. Suit previously filed by subject against Phoenix PD dismissed in U. S. District Court, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7c

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	2 - 4
II. TELEPHONE SERVICE	5 - 13
III. ASSOCIATES	14 - 18
A. [REDACTED]	14
B. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.	15
C. [REDACTED]	16
D. [REDACTED]	17 - 18
IV. FINANCES	19 - 20
A. DEBTS.	19
B. BANK ACCOUNTS.	20
V. MEMBERSHIPS.	21 - 22
A. PLAYBOY CLUB	21 - 22
VI. SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT.	23

b6
b7C

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On December 6, 1963, [redacted] Occidental Life Insurance, Los Angeles, California, advised that the December payment for the BONANNO property at 6331 East Calle del Paisano, Scottsdale, Arizona, had been received through the law firm of Soble and Alch.

On January 3, 1964, at 1:05 p.m., Dr. [redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that subject had arrived at his home, 6331 East Calle del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona, a short time prior to this call.

b6
b7C

Dr. [redacted] said that several other people were also at subject's home at this time, all of whom are unknown to Dr. [redacted].

He said he could observe five cars parked at subject's home, with the following Arizona licenses:

DER-392, [redacted] [redacted] and [redacted]

He advised that the car with license [redacted] appeared to be filled with clothing, and that the occupant of the car with license DKE-082 was a female blonde.

On January 3, 1964, records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed the following listings for Arizona licenses:

DER-392 - [redacted] (It is noted that this is the car generally used by subject and his paramour, [redacted])

[redacted] - 1955 Chevrolet Sport Coupe, registered to [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

[redacted] - 1959 Chrysler, registered to [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona.

PX 92-172

[redacted] - 1957 Chevrolet, registered to [redacted]
[redacted], Phoenix, Arizona.

[redacted] - 1964 Oldsmobile Holiday sedan, registered
to [redacted] Sun City, Arizona.

Available Phoenix directories failed to reveal
any information concerning [redacted] but revealed
the following information:

[redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix; employed as manager, McCrary's Drug
Company.

[redacted]
Sun City, Arizona.

On January 19, 1964, [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that
JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., with three young boys and four young
girls, were creating a disturbance at the subject's home.
He said that all of these persons were in the yard of subject's
home, and the boys were beating up on one of the girls. He
advised that the girl was screaming and appeared to be
attempting to get away.

The above information was immediately reported to
Sergeant [redacted] and Detective [redacted] Phoenix Police
Department.

On January 20, 1964, [redacted] Phoenix
Police Department, exhibited a police report, made by
Officer [redacted] Badge [redacted] This report indicated that
a call had been received concerning a disturbance at subject's
home, and that at 8:30 p.m., [redacted] accompanied by Sergeant
[redacted] Badge [redacted] and [redacted] Badge [redacted] went to
subject's home. On arrival they observed two cars in the
carport; a 1940 Ford, Arizona license EJE-056, which belongs
to JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.; and a 1955 white two-door Chevrolet,
Arizona license [redacted], which is registered to [redacted].

PX 92-172

The report indicated that the officers were refused permission to enter the house, but observed three boys at the house who identified themselves as JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.; white, male, aged 18; [redacted] white, male, aged 18, [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona; and [redacted] white, male, aged 22, who claimed to be a cousin of [redacted] with address at [redacted] Phoenix. The report indicated that [redacted] told the officers [redacted] was actually his brother, [redacted] JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., told the officers that his brother, BILL BONANNO, was out of town.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

II. TELEPHONE SERVICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date January 6, 1964

Records of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona contain an application for non-published telephone service, which is quoted as follows:

"Do not chg tel #

"Phoenix, Arizona

"Name - S. P. PROFACI
Address - 6331 E. Calle del Paisano
Tel # - 945-1218
Ret. Pos. # - 411

"Mountain States Telephone
Phoenix
Arizona

"Gentlemen:

"I hereby request that the directory listing to which I am entitled as part of my service under telephone number, _____, located at 6331 E. Calle del Paisano, be omitted from the telephone directory. I further request that the telephone number in connection with the service described above not be furnished by your information operators to anyone who may ask for it, including requests in connection with the completion of local or long distance calls. This treatment of the listing is to be continued until further notice.

"Yours truly,

"S. P. PROFACI"

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to _____, Vice President and General Manager, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona

b6
b7c

On 12/31/63 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/ah Date dictated 12/31/63

PX 92-172

On January 22, 1964, the FBI Laboratory reported that because the handwriting and handprinting are not sufficiently comparable, a definite conclusion was not reached as to whether the form, requesting non-published telephone service bearing the signature of S. P. PROFACI, was written by subject.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date December 30, 1963

Records of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that the following long distance telephone charges were made to telephone number 945-1218, which is listed to SAM PAUL PROFACI, 6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona:

Date	Place	Number
11/12/63	Queens, New York	SP 6-4143
11/15/63	Collect from Inglewood, California (2 calls)	
11/15/63	Milwaukee, Wisconsin	AT 1-7383
11/18/63	San Francisco, California	588-8774
11/18/63	Chicago, Illinois (Person to person to Mr. [redacted] at Playboy Club - not in, and talked with Mr. [redacted].)	602-1000
11/19/63	San Francisco, California	588-8774
11/20/63	Milwaukee, Wisconsin	AT 1-7383
11/21/63	San Francisco, California	588-8774
11/22/63	Milwaukee, Wisconsin	281-7383
11/30/63	Milwaukee, Wisconsin	281-7383
12/2/63	Tucson, Arizona	EA 7-2143
12/5/63	Collect from Tucson, Arizona	
12/6/63	Tucson, Arizona (Caller gave name of Mr. [redacted].)	327-2143
12/8/63	San Francisco, California	588-8774

b6
b7Cb6
b7COn 12/27/63 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172by SA ROY W. REGER / dsg Date dictated 12/27/63

PX 92-172

The above information is confidential and can be produced only upon the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED], Vice President and General Manager, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

An examination of available Tucson, Arizona, directories revealed that Tucson number 7-2143 is listed to [REDACTED] Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

Date January 23, 1964

Files of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that the following long distance telephone charges were made to telephone number 945-1218, which is listed to SAM PAUL PROFACI, 6331 East Calle del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number</u>
12/8/63	Collect from Queens, New York	
12/10/63	Brooklyn, New York	VA 1-8342
12/12/63	San Mateo, California	345-5144
12/16/63	Tucson, Arizona	MA 3-5856
12/18/63	San Mateo, California	341-0501 (3 calls)
12/19/63	Collect from Queens, New York	
12/19/63	Tucson, Arizona	MA 3-5856
12/20/63	Queens, New York	SP 6-4143
12/22/63	San Mateo, California	345-5144
12/24/63	Collect from Islip, New York	
12/24/63	Queens, New York	SP 6-4143
12/25/63	San Mateo, California	345-5144
12/31/63	San Francisco, California	333-9122
1/3/64	Tucson, Arizona	793-0194
1/4/64	Brooklyn, New York	232-2739
1/5/64	Birmingham, Michigan	MI 4-1600
1/6/64	Tucson, Arizona	MA 4-0337

On 1/23/64 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER / dsn Date dictated 1/23/64

PX 92-172

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number</u>
1/6/64	Tucson, Arizona	MA 3-5856
1/8/64	Collect from Tucson, Arizona	

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED] Vice President and General Manager, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Previous investigation has revealed the following listings for numbers called from subject's telephone:

TUCSON, ARIZONA

MA 3-5856 - Office of [REDACTED].

793-0194 - Office of [REDACTED] Vending
Machines, 3533 East Speedway.

MA 4-0337 - Non-published number listed to
[REDACTED] 1938 West Lester.
This is the residence of
CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.

b6
b7C

SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA

345-5144 - Non-published number of
Dr. [REDACTED], Brooklyn,
New York.

232-2739 - SAL PROFACI, 242 Bay 10th Street

III. ASSOCIATES

A. [] also known as []

On January 2, 1964, [] Manager, Playboy Club, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject, [] and an unknown blonde female were at the Playboy Club on the night of December 31, 1963. Subject said he had just returned to Phoenix on this date.

On January 3, 1964, PX T-37 advised that [] [] is no longer working at the Clown's Den in Phoenix, due to a reported head injury which causes her to lose her balance. Informant said that [] is undoubtedly being supported at present by subject.

b6
b7C

On January 21, 1964, [] Inspector, U. S. Immigration and Naturalization Service, Tucson, Arizona, advised SA [] that on January 16, 1964, [] applied for a new alien registration card in the name of []. She furnished a copy of a Court Order, dated October 30, 1963, from Pima County Superior Court, changing the names of [] and []

ARIZ.

B. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.

AR. 2.

On the evening of January 8, 1964, a Special Agent of the FBI observed subject, in company with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., and [redacted] at Helsing's Restaurant, North Stone Avenue, Tucson, Arizona. *aka, "Sol"*

On January 13, 1964, PX T-46 advised that during the previous week, subject was observed at the Sky Room in Tucson, Arizona, in the company of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., and [redacted]. On leaving, subject was reported to have asked the manager of the Sky Room, "Are the boys taking good care of your cigarette vending machines?"

At 10:45 a.m., January 13, 1964, subject was observed by a Special Agent of the FBI leaving Helsing's Restaurant, Central and Camelback, Phoenix, Arizona, accompanied by CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., and three unknown men. One of the unknown men was overheard to say on leaving, "They are setting up dealers already."

b6
b7C

BATTAGLIA was observed entering a 1964 Oldsmobile with Arizona license DLU-406 with one of the unknown men. It is noted that this car is registered to United Auto Lease, and is the car which was leased to [redacted] at Tucson, Arizona.

PX 92-172

C. [redacted]

[redacted], attorney, 177 North Church Avenue, Tucson, Arizona, was interviewed on December 11, 1963, by SAs ROY W. REGER and [redacted]

[redacted] advised that he does not represent JOSEPH BONANNO, but has, in the past, written a few letters for BONANNO in connection with real estate matters.

He said that since the death of PAUL PRIMOCK, a former Phoenix, Arizona, attorney, he has represented SALVATORE BONANNO, but that this representation is also in connection with real estate matters. He stated that he has always found SALVATORE reliable in his dealings.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that he has no knowledge of JOSEPH BONANNO's present whereabouts, but that SALVATORE is in New York City, and he receives a telephone call from SALVATORE about once a week. He advised that he has expected SALVATORE to return to Arizona for an unknown period of time.

[redacted] said that in connection with his representation of SALVATORE, he has agreed to be the responsible party for payments on SALVATORE's Phoenix residence. This, however, does not mean that he will guarantee payments on this house.

D. [redacted]

On January 7, 1964, [redacted] Omega Mortgage, Suite 901, Guaranty Bank Building, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he first met subject several years ago in New York City, when [redacted] was in business with [redacted] who claimed to be a life-long friend of subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO. In fact, [redacted] claimed that JOSEPH BONANNO was Godfather to one of his children.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said that about September, 1962, he decided to go to Arizona for reasons of health, inasmuch as he was having severe pains in his shoulders, requiring frequent shots.

He said that the only person he knew in Phoenix was the subject, and for this reason, he contacted subject who met him at the airport on arrival, and arranged motel accommodations at the Sands Motel.

[redacted] said that for the next four or five months he saw subject frequently, during which time subject continually "cried" on his shoulder at subject's inability to conduct a successful business, and even at one time voiced his desire to go into business with [redacted] which [redacted] declined.

[redacted] advised that about December, 1962, he became completely disgusted with subject because of his constant lies about trivial matters, at which time he informed subject that he did not care to have any further association with him. He said he has not even seen subject since this time.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that during the time he associated with subject he never knew subject to engage in any illegal activity, and received no indication that subject was engaged in any "racket" or "hoodlum" operations. He said, however,

PX 92-172

that JOE BASKIN, a former close associate of subject, who is now deceased, often told him that subject was taking, for his own use, all of the money from the food business he was in with [redacted] which resulted in the business going broke. According to [redacted] at the time of BASKIN's death, subject owed BASKIN in excess of \$2,000 in wages due. After BASKIN died, subject wanted to ship his body back to Michigan in a \$20.00 pine box, but [redacted] another associate, paid out of his own pocket for a decent coffin, and burial for BASKIN. AUG 2

[redacted] said that subject is completely worthless, both from the standpoint of honesty and business ability, and he is certain subject does not have the ability and would further not be trusted to engage in any organized hoodlum activity.

[redacted] said that he has never met JOSEPH BONANNO, and knows nothing concerning his activities.

b6
b7C

IV. FINANCES

A. DEBTS

On December 27, 1963, [REDACTED] Carte Blanche Credit Office, Los Angeles, California, advised that his organization had issued two credit cards to BONANNO, both of which are now terminated. Carte Blanche Number 9479055080 was terminated in February, 1961, with a deficit outstanding balance of \$1,200, and account number 9479028368, which apparently was subsequently issued to BONANNO through an administrative inadvertance, was terminated in December, 1961, with an outstanding balance of \$1,383. One of these accounts was under the name of SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, and the other under the initials of S. V. BONANNO.

b6
b7C

B. BANK ACCOUNTS

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date January 2, 1964

Records of The Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed the following information concerning the account of S. V. and [REDACTED] BONNANO:

Balance in account as of December 16, 1963:

\$50.60

1963: Number of checks received October 28 - December 16,

19

b6
b7C

Deposits since October 28, 1963:

None

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED], President, The Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona.

On 12/26/63 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/jt Date dictated 12/26/63

V. MEMBERSHIPS

A. PLAYBOY CLUB

On December 16, 1963, [] Credit Manager, The Playboy Club, 323 East Ohio Street, Chicago, Illinois, advised that subject presently has a bill outstanding in the amount of \$59.20, which bill is past due. He said charges were incurred on October 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25, 1963, at the Playboy Club in Phoenix, Arizona. He advised that records indicate subject has not made any charges at any other clubs throughout the country.

b6
b7C

[] informed that all records are handled by computing machines, and it would be impossible to place a stop on subject's account, or to check on subject's method of payment.

[] furnished an autostat copy of subject's application for a key in the Playboy Club, which contains the following information:

Date - 12/20/62
Name - S. V. BONANNO
Home Address - 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix,
Maricopa County, Arizona
Telephone - CR 9-0210
Firm Name - Allied Food Distributors, 319
W. Jackson Street, Phoenix 3,
Maricopa County, Arizona
Nature of Business - Wholesale food distributors
Position - General Manager
Telephone - AL 4-6281
Years with company - 8 years.
Bank - Southern Arizona Bank and Trust, 3rd
Avenue and Osborn Branch, checking and
savings accounts.

PX 92-172

Charge Accounts - Goldwaters; Grande Cheese Co.,
Fond du Lac, Wisconsin
Credit Cards - Carte Blanche - 94790 28368
Signature - S. V. BONANNO, Gen. Mgr.

[] advised that subject holds Key Number
W-24288.

On December 16, 1963, [] Entertainment
Director, Playboy Club, 323 East Ohio Street, Chicago, Illinois, b6
advised that he has no knowledge of any entertainer, either b7C
male or female, by the name of [] or [].

VI. SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT

On January 8, 1964, records of the Clerk of U. S. District Court, Phoenix, Arizona, under case number 3646, titled "SALVATORE V. BONANNO vs. CHARLES THOMAS, et al," revealed that on November 4, 1963, Judge DAVE W. LING signed a judgement and order that defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff's first amended complaint was granted, and the cause dismissed.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

January 30, 1964

Title SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. REGER
dated January 30, 1964, at
Phoenix, Arizona

All sources whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona

January 30, 1964

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Characterization of informants in the report
of SA ROY W. REGER dated January 30, 1964, at Phoenix,
Arizona.

PX T-46 is a source who is familiar with some
of the activities of subject and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.,
at Tucson, Arizona.

This document contains neither recommendations
nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the
FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are
not to be distributed outside your agency.

DIRECTOR, FBI (92-3835)

1/31/64

SAC, PHOENIX (92-152) - P -

CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.,

aka;

AM

OO: Phoenix

CONSIDERED ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix, 1/21/64.

William Salvatore
The informant approached by subject and SALVATORE VINCENT DOMINIO relative to acquisition of an interest in his business was [redacted]

Informant advised that he definitely rejected subject and DOMINIO and has had no further contact with them.

There has been no indication of any interstate travel in furtherance of this venture, but this possibility has been considered and will continue to receive consideration.

92-4494-
NOT RECORDED

167 FEB 4 1964

③ - Bureau
(1 - 92-4494)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-172)

RJR/sas
(5)

30 FEB 10 1964

200

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE 2/24/64	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 11/13/63 - 2/11/64
TITLE OF CASE SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka		REPORT MADE BY SA ROY W. REGER	TYPED BY a.h
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

REFERENCE:

New York airtel to Phoenix, 1/28/64
 Report of SA ROY W. REGER, Phoenix, 1/30/64
 Phoenix airtel to Los Angeles and New York, 2/10/64

- P -

LEADSLOS ANGELES DIVISION: INFORMATION

Copy sent to Los Angeles for information in view of
 pending investigation being conducted on basis of information
 in this report.

APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE:		92-4494-188	REC-16
③ - Bureau (92-4494) 1 - USA, Phoenix 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 2 - New York City (92-1586) 2 - Phoenix (92-172) Dep't - 3-2088 A - COVER PAGE / IC-00		6 FEB 28 1964	ST-101
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT		NOTATIONS	
AGENCY		STAL SECT. <i>[Handwritten notes]</i>	
REQUEST RECD.			
DATE FWD.			
HOW FWD.			
BY			

66 APR 24 1964

PX 92-172
RWR/ach

NEW YORK CITY DIVISION

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

1. Will conduct background re: Mortgage Real Estate, 140 Cedar Street, NY and Abbey Kaye Exchange Account, 108-08 Queens Blvd., Forest Hills, NY. (This lead set out in New York airtel to Phoenix, 1/28/64.)

2. Will continue to follow and report activity in subject's account at Federation Bank & Trust Company, Sofia Bldg., 43 W. 6th Street, NY.

INFORMANTS:

Information from the files of the Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, was furnished by [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] N. Y. Telephone Co., 4 Albany St., NY, furnished information relative to NY telephone numbers SP 6-4143 and TW 8-8771.

b6
b7C
b7D

[REDACTED] First National Bank, Myrtle Ave. and Bleeker Ave., Brooklyn N. Y., furnished information regarding the BONANNO check dated 7/22/63, in the amount of \$225.00.

The following individuals furnished information regarding SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO's account at the Federation Bank & Trust Co., NY:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

PX T24 is
PX T36 is
PX T37 is

[REDACTED]

- B -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172
RWR/ach

ADMINISTRATIVE:

The extended period of this report from 11/13/63 was necessary to include investigation conducted by the New York office on this date.

- C* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 2/24/64

Office: PHOENIX

Field Office File No.: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject's paramour, [REDACTED], reported to be frequenting the Big Stick Night Club, in Phoenix, Arizona, where she is believed possibly to be hustling. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR. and his wife planning trip to visit subject's wife in New York City around 2/15/64, at time she is expecting a child. Subject and BATTAGLIA reported to have interest in J. Randy Construction Company in Phoenix, Arizona. Subject maintaining a bank account at Federation Bank and Trust Company, New York City, where he listed his business as Mortgage Real Estate, 140 Cedar Street, Suite 816, New York City.

b6
b7C

- P -

DETAILS:

PX 92-172
RWR/ach

On January 31, 1964, [redacted] Special investigations, Phoenix Police Department, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that a Phoenix police officer had been to the Big Stick Night Club in Phoenix, Arizona on an undercover assignment, on the night of January 30, 1964, where he met [redacted]. He said [redacted] was accompanied by a large blonde female, name unknown, and that both were believed possibly to be "Hustling".

b6
b7C

On February 11, 1964, [redacted] owner, Park Central Records, 2713 E. McDowell Rd., Phoenix, Arizona, advised that [redacted] is well known to him as a frequent visitor to the Big Stick Night Club. He described [redacted] as a "Gold Digger".

PX T24 advised that subject's wife, [redacted] is expecting a child about February 15th, and that CHARLES BATTAGLIA, JR. and his wife, [redacted] are planning a trip to New York to visit subject's wife about this time.

PX T37 advised that subject and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR. have an interest in J. Randy Company, an aluminum siding company, which is located at 1510 N. 15th Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

PX T36 advised that Phoenix telephone number 258-4567 is a three line rotary, listed to J. Randy Construction Company, 1015 N. 15th Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona. Service was installed in November 1962, at which time the company was described as a partnership with the responsible parties listed as [redacted] and [redacted].

ARIZ

ARIZ

1Date 2/3/64

Records of the Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed the following information concerning the checking account of S. V. and [REDACTED] BONANNO:

Balance 12/24/63 - \$ 49.60

Balance 1/29/64 - \$152.05

Checks written during period - 6

Deposits - 1/31/64 - \$245.16, consisting of check
from Phoenix Title, 14493,
Trust 26512
1/16/64 - \$100.00 cash

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED], President, the Bank of Scottsdale,

b6
b7C

On 1/30/64 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER/gle/ach Date dictated 1/30/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Date 11/15/63

Mr. [] Chief Clerk, First National City Bank, Brooklyn, New York, advised upon being displayed a verifax copy of a check dated July 22, 1963, payee SALVATORE BONANNO, in the amount of \$225.00, that he had no information relative to the return of this check, marked non-sufficient funds, to their bank.

Information obtained from the above bank reflects that FRANK LA BRUZZO has account number 601-4358 at this bank and also account number 601-4518 for the Lane Thread Company.

The above information is confidential and can be made public only through the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to [] Chief Clerk, First National City Bank, Myrtle and Bleeker Avenue, Brooklyn, New York.

b6
b7C

On 11/13/63 at First National City Bank PX 92-172
Myrtle & Bleeker, Brooklyn, NY # NY 92-1586

by SA [] LW Date dictated 11/15/63

b6
b7C

12/20/63

Date _____

The records of the New York Telephone Company furnished the identities of the subscribers to the telephone numbers set out below:

TW 8-8771

Elmhurst, New York

SP 6-4143

Hollis, Queens, New York

b6
b7C

The above information is not to be made public except in the usual proceedings following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Security Supervisor New York 6, New York.

12/20/63

New York, NY

PX 92-172
NY 92-1586

On _____

at _____

File # _____

SA RICHARD A. ANDERSON/LW

by _____

Date dictated 12/20/63

Date 1/28/64

The records of Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company, 40 Wall Street, New York City, reflect that Salvatore Vincent Bonanno does not have an account at this bank. however, an account was located for one [redacted] and wife [redacted] Holliswood, Queens, New York. This is a regular checking account, number 5-11605, and has been opened since 1948. [redacted] account is maintained at Hanover Branch Bank, 774 Broadway, Brooklyn, New York.

There was no available information at the above bank relative to the cashing of a check by the subject on November 6, 1963, which was also endorsed by [redacted].

The above information is not to be made public except through the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr. [redacted] Investigator, Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company, 40 Wall Street, New York City.

b6
b7C

Manufacturers Hanover Trust
40 Wall Street
On 1/27/64 at New York, New York File # NY 92-1586
PX 92-172
by SA [redacted]/rm Date dictated 1/28/64

b6
b7C

1/28/64

Date

1

Records of the Bankers Trust Company, 485 Lexington Avenue, New York City, reflect their Post Office Box 1876 is assigned for general mail, Grand Central Station, New York.

The above records reflect no accounts at the above bank for SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO also known as BILL BONANNO, his wife, [REDACTED] or his father, JOSEPH BONANNO; nor were any of the above listed on Bankers Trust's mailing list.

The above information is confidential and can be made public only through the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr. [REDACTED], Accounts, Bankers Trust Company, 485 Lexington Avenue, New York City.

b6
b7C

On 1/27/64 at 485 Lexington Avenue,
New York, New York File # PX 92-172
NY 92-1586
by SA [REDACTED] :bfh Date dictated 1/28/64

b6
b7C

1/28/64

Date _____

Records of the Federation Bank and Trust Company, 10 Columbus Circle, New York City reflect that one S. V. BONANNO has a regular checking account at their branch bank, 6 East 45th Street, New York City. BONANNO's address is listed as 140 Cedar Street, New York City, Suite 816.

The above information is confidential and is not to be made public except in the usual proceeding following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr. [redacted] Supervisor, Federation Bank and Trust Company, 10 Columbus Circle, New York City.

b6
b7C

On 1/27/64 at Federation Bank and Trust Company, 10 Columbus Circle, New York City File # NY 92-1586
PX 92-172
by SA [redacted] lor Date dictated 1/28/64

1/28/64

Date _____

Records of the Federation Bank and Trust Company, 6 East 45th Street, New York City, reflect that S. V. BONANNO opened a regular checking account on November 12, 1963, with a deposit of \$5000. BONANNO listed his business as Mortgage Real Estate, 140 Cedar Street, New York City, Suite 816, (RE 2-3497). His account number is 023-853127 and BONANNO was introduced to the bank by [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

The above records reveal the following activity relative to account 023-853127 and show a balance of \$7708.32 on December 20, 1963:

November 12, 1963 deposit \$5000.
November 21, 1963 deposit \$1800.
November 29, 1963 withdrawal \$5200.
December 9, 1963 withdrawal \$125.
December 20, 1963 withdrawal \$1500
December 20, 1963 deposit \$7952.92
December 20, 1963 withdrawal \$219.60

Information received at the above bank reflected that the cancelled checks for this particular branch bank are maintained at the Sofia Building, 43 West 61 Street, New York City.

The above information is confidential and is not to be made public except in the usual proceeding following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr. [REDACTED], Chief Clerk, Federation Bank and Trust Company, 6 East 45th Street, New York City..

On 1/27/64 at Federation Bank & Trust Company, NY 92-1586
SA [REDACTED] lor 6 East 45th Street, New York City PX 92-172
by _____ Date dictated 1/28/64

b6
b7C

1

Date 1/28/64

Records of the Federation Bank and Trust Company, Sofia Building, 43 West 6th Street, New York City reflect the following activity for the account of S. V. BONANNO, # 023-853127.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount of Check</u>	<u>Payee</u>
12/23/63	\$500.00	[redacted] - endorsed by and SALVATORE PROFACI, JR.
12/31/63	\$100.	Playboy Club, Phoenix, Arizona
1/4/64	\$100.	S. V. BONANNO (for deposit only by the Big ...ick (?), Tucson or Phoenix, Arizona)
1/6/64	\$300.	Endorsed by Mrs. [redacted] (on 1/6/64 check cleared Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona)
1/6/64	\$360.	[redacted] (check cleared by Bank of California, Southern California)
1/9/64	\$1000.	[redacted] (check cleared, Union Bank of Arizona)
1/15/64	\$168.95	TWA (back of check numbers, 015 162 392 269 Ident Arizona drivers license # B30483 (Avis 060263) (Check cleared Bank of America, Los Angeles)
1/16/64	\$50.00 \$93.00	[redacted] Exchange Account Incorporated 108-08 Queens Boulevard, Forest Hills 75, New York.

b6
b7C

On 1/27/64 at Sofia Building NY 92-1586
43 West 61 Street, New York City File # PX 92-172

by SA [redacted] lor Date dictated 1/28/64

b6
b7C

NY 92-1536

2

The above information is confidential and is not to be made public except in the usual proceeding following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr. [REDACTED], Assistant Secretary, Records Unit, Federation Bank and Trust Company, 43 West 61 Street, New York City.

b6
b7C

1
PX 92-172
RWR/ach

On January 7, 1964, [redacted], Manager, Playboy Club, 5 East 59th Street, New York City, advised SA [redacted] that he has not, to his knowledge, ever seen subject in the Playboy Club.

On January 24, 1964, Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] New York City, advised that Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] [redacted], Elmhurst, New York, are elderly people, who have not, to her knowledge, ever travelled or are known to anyone in Arizona. She said that subject is not known to her.

b6
b7C

On February 10, 1964, [redacted], Trans World Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that TWA ticket number 015-162-392-269 was issued at Los Angeles, California, and that \$168.95 is the amount of first class fare from Los Angeles to New York City.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona

February 24, 1964

In Reply, Please Refer to.

File No.

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference: Report of SA ROY W. REGER
dated 2/24/64 at Phoenix.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

Tolson _____
 Belmont ☒ _____
 Mohr _____
 Casper _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach ☒ _____
 Evans ☒ _____
 Gale _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holmes _____
 Gandy _____

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

2:59 AM URGENT 2-27-64 JAA
 TO DIRECTOR -4- PHOENIX
 FROM NEW YORK 270605

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, AKA, AR. 00: PX.

INFO REFLECTS SUBJECT ISSUED CHECKS TO ABBE KAYE ACCOUNTS, JANUARY 16, 1964. INQUIRY ABBE KAYE REFLECTS THIS IS DOMESTIC AGENCY AND HAVE SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO AS AN ACCOUNT. RECORDS REFLECT SUBJECT RESIDING 1555 TYLER AVE., EAST MEADOW, LONG ISLAND, NY. SA'S, FBI, OBSERVED SUBJECT ON FEBRUARY 24, 1964, DEPART ABOVE RESIDENCE IN 1964 BLUE CHEVROLET NEW JERSEY LICENSE NUMBER IOS 116. HE RETURNED RESIDENCE APPROXIMATELY TEN MINUTES LATER. SUBJECT AGAIN DEPARTED RESIDENCE IN 1964 CREAM COLORED GRAND PRIX, NY LICENSE NUMBER 6014 RJ. HE TRAVELED TO NYC AND SUBSEQUENTLY OBSERVED IN CONVERSATION WITH JOE NOTARO "CAPOREGIMA" BONANNO "FAMILY" "COSA NOSTRA" AT THE WENTWORTH HOTEL BAR AND RESTAURANT 47TH ST. AND 6TH AVE., NYC. NOTARO AND SUBJECT DEPARTED BAR AND NEXT OBSERVED IN KING RICHARD RESTAURANT WITH UNKNOWN INDIVIDUAL. AGENTS UNABLE TO DETERMINE IDENTITY OF UNKNOWN DUE TO DISCREETNESS OF PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCE. REGISTRANTS TO AUTOMOBILES BEING OBTAINED BY NYO.

RECEIVED:

3:15 AM

REC-23

12-4494-189

ENT

EX-103

Nine

3 MAR 6 1964

CC - MR. EVANS

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 3/9/64

FROM : ^{WBS} SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

SUBJECT: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: PHOENIX

It is suggested that the Office of Origin in this case be changed to New York City, for the following reasons:

(1) Since 6/5/63, subject has been in Arizona only for a total of approximately 39 days, having returned for short periods of time on three occasions.

(2) [] has previously advised that subject contemplates establishing his permanent residence in New York City.

(3) Subject's wife, [] left Phoenix on 5/28/63, following an attempted suicide due to marital difficulty with subject, and went to New York City with her mother. She is not known to have returned to Arizona since this time, and appears to have established permanent residence in New York.

(4) Although subject continues to maintain a Phoenix residence, this house is occupied only by subject's teenage brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., and payments on the property are being made by subject's attorney, [] of Tucson, Arizona.

(5) Information received from Phoenix and New York City informants indicate subject has become increasingly active in matters pertaining to the "BONANNO Family" in New York City, and has failed to indicate any association or activity of such nature in Arizona. *See*

(6) New York City was recently designated as Office of Origin in the case on JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father.

③ - Bureau

(1 - New York City (92-1586))

1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info.)

2 - Phoenix "Bureau designates nyo as

RWR/dsh

(6)

office or origin. Copies transmitted **EX 101**

to offices indicated. Former office

of origin furnish all pertinent serials

to new office of origin."

REC-53

92-4494-190

MAR 13 1964

6/9 APR 6 1964

cc to ny 3/31/64

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

PX 92-172
RWR/dsh

(7) In January, 1964, New York reported the location of a substantial bank account for subject at Federation Bank and Trust Co., 6 E. 45th Street, New York City, where subject listed his employment with MORTGAGE REAL ESTATE, 140 Cedar Street, Suite 816, New York City.

(8) In February, 1964, New York reported that subject is a customer of ABBE KAYS Domestic Agency, where his residence address is listed as 1555 Tyler Avenue, E. Meadow, Long Island, New York. Subject was further observed by BuAgents at this address.

In view of the above, it is believed that more effective supervision of this case can be afforded by the New York Office, where subject appears to have concentrated his activity with other individuals who are subject of continuous investigation by that office.

F B I

Date: 3/30/64

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL URGENT
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (92-1586)

SUBJECT: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka.

AR

Mr. [redacted] Mgr., Wentworth Hotel, 59 W. 46th St., NYC, (protect identity), advised SAS [redacted] on 3/30/64, upon being displayed a photograph of the subject, that he knows him as BILL BOWMAN.

Mr. [redacted] advised from records that the subject registered room 311 on 3/8/64 and departed 3/21/64. He was registered at this time with a female believed by [redacted] to be subject's wife.

Subject's room was reserved for him by one [redacted], and at this time room was reserved under name BILL RUSSO, but name later changed to S. BOWMAN by the subject.

BONANNO on 3/13/64 made a long distance telephone call to Tucson, Arizona, area code 792 number 0580. This call lasted duration of 11 minutes. BONANNO made several local calls and the registrants are being checked by the NYO.

Mr. [redacted] advised that JOE NOTARO has been using the Wentworth Bar as his "hangout," since [redacted] became manager of the hotel approximately 2 years ago and understood he has been there for years prior to his taking over the hotel. He advised that the subject, however, has recently

- COB WICK
2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)
1 - Phoenix (92-152)
1 - New York (92-1288)
1 - New York (92-1619)
1 - New York (92-1586)

EX-103

MCT-22

REC-17

MAR 31 1964

Approved: [redacted]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M Per _____

b6
b7Cb6
b7C

NY (92-1586)

been seen hanging out at the bar. He stated the bar in the hotel is a special concession and not operated through the hotel management. He advised that he was suspicious of NOTARO, the subject, and their friends because "they" were in the bar continually with no visible means of support.

Mr. [] advised that at the present time the subject is not registered at the hotel but would advise the NYO should the subject or any of his friends register.

Mr. [] observed BONANNO and NOTARO together at approximately 11:45am on this date at the bar. He subsequently observed BONANNO departing the hotel and entering Mr. Richards Restaurant, 71 W. 46th St., NYC.

SA [] observed the subject at the above restaurant in conversation with an unknown male individual on this date.

Phoenix obtain registrant above number [] Phoenix also requested to attempt to determine if [] possibly in NYC during period 3/8-21/64.

CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA not observed this date with BONANNO, however, seen by Mr. [] late week of 3/23/64.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin NEW YORK	Date 4/7/64	Investigative Period 1/2 - 4/3/64
TITLE OF CASE SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: btg
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

REFERENCE:

Report of SA ROY W. REGER at Phoenix, 2/24/64.

- P -

LEADS:

KANSAS CITY

AT KANSAS CITY, KANSAS

Identify subscriber to telephone number EN. 2-4189.

LOS ANGELES

AT COVINA, CALIFORNIA

Identify subscriber to telephone number ED. 5-9795.

Approved <i>W B Soyars</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: 3 - Bureau (92-4494) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Kansas City (92-536) 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 3 - New York (92-1586) 2 - San Francisco (92-340) 2 - Phoenix (92-172)		92-4494-192	REC-137
		5 APR 13 1964	

Copy to Dept
cc lead

[Signature]

Property of FBI — This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

215
59 APR 22 1964

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	3
II. ASSOCIATES	
A. 	4
B. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.	5
C. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR.	8
III. TELEPHONE CALLS FROM SUBJECT'S PHOENIX RESIDENCE	9
IV. FINANCES	15
V. TRAVEL	19
VI. INTERVIEW WITH SUBJECT	20

b6
b7C

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On February 27, 1964, New York advised that inquiry at Abbe Kaye revealed it to be a domestic agency where SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO has an account. Records of this agency revealed that subject resides at 1555 Tyler Avenue, East Meadow, Long Island, New York.

On February 24, 1964, New York Agents observed subject departing the Tyler Avenue residence in a 1964 blue Chevrolet, New Jersey license IOS-116. He returned to the residence approximately ten minutes later, and subsequently again departed in a 1964 cream-colored Pontiac Grand Prix, New York license 6014 RJ.

He was observed driving to New York City where he engaged in conversation with JOE NOTARO at the Wentworth Hotel Bar and Restaurant, 47th Street and 6th Avenue. Subject and NOTARO were observed leaving this bar, and proceeding to King Richard Restaurant where they engaged in a conversation with an unknown individual.

PX 92-172

NEW YORK

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

Follow and report activities of subject in that area.

AT BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Identify subscriber to telephone number 443-2211.

AT QUEENS, NEW YORK

Identify subscriber to telephone number SP. 6-4143.

SAN FRANCISCO

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will be alert for subject's appearance at residence of his sister, [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

PHOENIX

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Follow activities of subject in this area.

ADMINISTRATIVE:

The extended period of this report is due to the inclusion of periods of investigation by auxiliary offices.

INFORMANTS:

Information from the files of The Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, was furnished by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Information from the files of the New York Telephone Company was furnished by [REDACTED]

b6
b7C
b7D

B
COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

Information from the files of Mountain States
Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, was
furnished by [REDACTED]

Information from the files of Federation Bank and
Trust Company, New York City, was furnished by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

PX T-37 is [REDACTED]

C*
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 4/7/64

Office: Phoenix

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject cashed two \$10,000.00 checks on own account at New York in February, 1964. Reported residence as 1555 Tyler Ave., East Meadow, Long Island, New York. Subject's paramour, [redacted] planning trip to Germany during Summer of 1964. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., subject's brother, continues to reside at subject's Phoenix residence and made trip to San Francisco, California in March, 1964. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR. traveled to New York on 3/18/64 where contacted subject and JOE NOTARO; also observed together in New York on 3/24/64. Subject interviewed at Phoenix Office on 4/3/64, and stated in Arizona for two weeks to prepare income tax returns and for personal matters. Subject claimed father, JOSEPH BONANNO, is "over the hill and on the downgrade", but declined to say whether he knows father's present whereabouts. Subject said may visit sister in California prior to return to New York.

b6
b7c

- P -

Details:

II. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted]

aka [redacted]

On March 26, 1964, Mrs. [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, telephone AM. 6-5117 advised
that [redacted] continues to reside at [redacted]
and is unemployed insofar as she knows.

Ariz b6
b7C

Mrs. [redacted] said she has seen SALVATORE BONANNO,
whom she identified from a photograph at [redacted] residence
several times in the past, but not recently.

On March 24, 1964, PX T-37 advised that [redacted]
[redacted] is still unemployed and is being supported by subject.
He said that she is planning on making a trip to Germany
during the Summer of 1964, which trip will probably be
financed by subject.

B. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.

On March 24, 1964, JOHN B. DUFFY, Chief of Security, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, advised that [redacted] a freshman at Arizona State University, had been confined in the college infirmary after receiving a telephone call from her former boy friend [redacted] who threatened to kill her. DUFFY said [redacted] reportedly claimed to be calling from JOE BONANNO, JR.'s home.

DUFFY said he subsequently talked to [redacted] on the telephone, and [redacted] denied making a threatening call, and further denied knowing JOE BONANNO, JR.

b6
b7C

On March 26, 1964, [redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, telephone WH. 5-7394, was interviewed at her residence. She stated she has known [redacted] since the 8th grade at Scottsdale High School, and has been dating him for several months. She recently informed [redacted] that she was breaking up with him, which resulted in the telephone threat from [redacted].

She said that sometime last summer, [redacted] took her to the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. at 6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, where she first met JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. Subsequent to this until about two months ago, she returned to JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.'s home several times with [redacted] to attend parties. She said there was always considerable drinking at these parties and use of Benzedrine, but she saw no other illegal activity. She advised the parties were attended by JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., his boy friends, and teen-age girls, all of whom are freshman or sophomores at Scottsdale High School, and whom the boys would pick up on the street; none of whom are known to her by name. *CAL.*

b6
b7C

She said that most of JOSEPH, JR.'s boy friends are 18 years of age or older, and are "drop-outs" from school who are either working or have money available and do not have to work. She advised the two [redacted] brothers stay with JOSEPH, JR. most of the time, and that a boy known only to her as [redacted] frequently stays with JOSEPH, JR. In addition to these, the only other friends

of JOSEPH, JR. known to her are [redacted] who works at Buddy's Coffee Shop in Scottsdale, and [redacted] who claims to be a professional singer.

She said that JOSEPH, JR. has not worked during the time she has known him, and that [redacted] has told her he obtains his money from his family, and from illegal methods. [redacted] has described some of those methods as the stealing of watches and jewelry, which JOSEPH, JR. and his friends reportedly take to Los Angeles, California, where they sell the articles to someone they refer to as [redacted]. [redacted] has further told her that JOSEPH, JR. and his friends engage in the theft of engines and transmissions from cars, but did not say where these are taken.

b6
b7C

She advised that in November, 1963, [redacted] and an unrecalled friend, came by her home in Scottsdale, and showed her a slot machine in their car, which they said they were taking to JOSEPH, JR.'s home. They did not say where they had obtained this, and she has never actually seen the slot machine at JOSEPH, JR.'s home.

She said [redacted] presently lives with his aunt and grandmother at [redacted] in Phoenix, AC12 and has been working at Yates Army and Navy Store in Scottsdale, but is being discharged from this job. She said he has been in frequent trouble with the Phoenix and Scottsdale Police in the past for drunk driving, assaulting an officer, and other unknown charges. She advised he was sentenced to Fort Grant on some of these charges, but only stayed there about six months, being released last May.

b6
b7C

She could furnish no information concerning JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. or SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO; and said JOSEPH, JR. does not discuss his family when other people are around. She said she saw SALVATORE one time only, when she went to his home with [redacted] last summer to see JOSEPH, JR. who was not at home at the time.

On March 27, 1964, [redacted] American Airlines, Phoenix, advised JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. had departed Phoenix via American Airlines flight number 663 at 8:05 PM, March 26, 1964

PX 92-172

for San Francisco, California. She said he was holding return reservations to Phoenix on American Airlines flight number 86, leaving San Francisco at 4:25 PM, March 29, 1964.

C. ~~CHARLES JOSEPH~~ BATTAGLIA, JR.

On March 18, 1964, Bureau Agents observed CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR. arrive at Kennedy International Airport, New York City, New York, via Trans World Airlines flight number 146 at 4:23 PM.

He was subsequently observed entering a taxicab, and proceeding to Wentworth Hotel, 59 West 36th Street, where he was greeted by subject and JOE NOTARO, following which these three were observed entering the lobby elevator at the hotel. N.Y.

Subject, BATTAGLIA, and NOTARO were subsequently observed dining at Mr. Richard's Restaurant, 61 West 46th Street, New York City.

On March 24, 1964, subject and BATTAGLIA were observed departing subject's residence at 1555 Tyler Avenue, East Meadow, Long Island, New York, at 11:02 AM. They proceeded to an address believed to be 737 West Fifth Street, West Babylon, New York, where they entered. They departed approximately 25 minutes later and proceeded to the Wentworth Hotel, 59 West 36th Street, New York City, where they were observed with JOE NOTARO.

III. TELEPHONE CALLS FROM
SUBJECT'S PHOENIX RESIDENCE

Detroit advised that the Bressers Cross Index Directory for the City of Detroit revealed telephone number WO, 1-9093 listed [redacted] Attorney, 2350 First National Building, Detroit, Michigan.

On January 2, 1964, [redacted] Manager, Credit Data Corporation, Detroit, Michigan, advised that [redacted] has been in his files since 1955, and the files contained no derogatory information.

b6
b7C

On January 3, 1964, [redacted] Supervisor, Merchant's Credit Bureau, Detroit, advised their files contained no derogatory information concerning [redacted].

No record was located for [redacted] in the files of the Wayne County Sheriff's Office or the Detroit Police Department.

It is noted the above number was called from subject's Phoenix telephone on October 15, 1963.

On February 18, 1964, Detroit advised the Bressers Cross Index Directory for the City of Detroit revealed that telephone number MI. 4-1600 is listed to Cranbrook Institute, 500 Lone Pine Road, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan.

It is noted this number was called from subject's Phoenix telephone on January 5, 1964.

On February 5, 1964, Las Vegas advised telephone number 735-1122 is listed to Desert Inn Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada. It is noted this number has been previously called from subject's Phoenix residence.

On March 11, 1964, Milwaukee advised as follows:

Telephone number AT 1-7383 is listed to [redacted]
[redacted], Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Records of the Credit Bureau, Milwaukee, revealed that [redacted] is employed as Superintendent of Schools in the City of Greenfield. No arrest record was located for [redacted].

b6
b7C

It is noted the above number was previously called from subject's Phoenix residence.

Date 2/4/64

The records of the New York Telephone Company furnished the identity of the subscriber to the telephone number set forth below as follows:

BE 6-1965

[REDACTED]
Brooklyn, New York
(Connected January 5, 1961)

b6
b7C

The above information is not to be made public except in the usual proceeding following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr. [REDACTED], Security Supervisor, New York Telephone Company, 4 Albany Street, New York 6, New York.

On 2/3/64 at New York City File # NY 92- 1586
by SA [REDACTED] lor Date dictated 2/4/64

b6
b7C

Date 2/4/641

The records of the New York Telephone Company furnished the identity of the subscriber to the telephone number set forth below:

VA 1-8342

Brooklyn, New Yorkb6
b7C

The above information is not to be made public except in the usual proceeding following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr.
, Security Supervisor, New York Telephone Company, 4 Albany Street, New York 6, New York.

b6
b7C

On 2/3/64 at New York, New York File # NY 92-1586
by SA lor Date dictated 2/4/64

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date March 3, 1964

Files of Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed the following long distance toll charges to telephone number 945-1218, which is listed to SAM PAUL PROFACI at 6331 E. Calle Del Paisano, Scottsdale, Arizona:

January 13, 1964 to Brooklyn, New York, 443-2211 from BILL JOHNSON.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted] Vice President and General Manager, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 2/28/64 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER/sas Date dictated 2/28/64

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date March 30, 1964

Records of Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that the following long distance toll charges were made to telephone number 945-1218, which is listed to SAM PAUL PROFACI, 6331 East Calle Del Paisano, Phoenix, Arizona:

<u>Date</u>	<u>City Called</u>	<u>Number Called</u>
2/12/64	Covina, California	ED. 5-9795
2/23/64	Tucson, Arizona	EA. 6-9956 (2 calls)
3/2/64	Kansas City, Kansas	EN. 2-4189
3/6/64	Queens, New York	SP. 6-4143

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Vice President and General Manager, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 3/24/64 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER :btg Date dictated 3/24/64

PX 92-172

IV. FINANCES

On February 28, 1964, Los Angeles advised that [redacted] Carte Blanche Credit Office, stated the matter concerning subject's delinquent bill would be discussed with his staff, and he would advise of their decision.

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date February 25, 1964

Records of The Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed the following information concerning the account of S. V. and [] BONANNO:

b6
b7C

Balance in account as of January 27, 1964 - \$84.36

Deposits: December 24, 1963 - \$245.16

January 16, 1964 - \$100.00

Checks: January 3, 1964, payable to cash, in amount \$150.00, endorsed by bank

January 3, 1964, payable to City of Phoenix, in amount of \$2.50

January 3, 1964, payable to Salt River Project, in amount of \$22.80

January 6, 1964, payable to El Rancho Market, in amount of \$34.41

January 7, 1964, payable to Animal Hospital, in amount of \$26.00, and endorsed by Animal Hospital, Tucson, Arizona

January 14, 1964, payable to Salt River Project, in amount of \$67.69

January 14, 1964, payable to Arcadia Water Company, in amount of \$6.00

All of the above checks were signed by S. V. BONANNO.

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [] President, The Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On 2/25/64 at SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER / dsn Date dictated 2/25/64

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date March 30, 1964

Records of The Bank of Scottsdale revealed no recent activity in the account of S. V. and [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

The above information is confidential and can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED] President, The Bank of Scottsdale, Scottsdale, Arizona.

On 3/25/64 at Scottsdale, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER :btg Date dictated 3/25/64

Date 3/9/64

Records of the Federation Bank and Trust Company, Sofia Building, 43 West 61st Street, New York City reflect the following activity for the account of S. V. Bonanno, # 023-853127:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount of check</u>	<u>Payee</u>
2/17/64	\$10,000	Cash
2/17/64	\$10,000	Cash

Above records indicate that one check was cashed on February 17, 1964 and the other cashed on February 19, 1964 at Federation Bank and Trust Company, 45th Street between 5th Avenue and Madison Avenue, New York City.

Records reflect that on January 31, 1964 Bonanno's balance was \$24,536.37 and on February 17, 1964 the balance was shown as \$4,536.37, checks endorsed S.V. BONANNO.

The above information is confidential and is not to be made public except in the usual proceeding following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to Mr. [redacted] Assistant Secretary, Records Unit, Federation Bank and Trust Company, 43 West 61 Street, New York City.

b6
b7C

On 3/3/64 at Sofia Building
43 West 61 Street, New York
City File # NY 92-1586
PX 92-172
 by SA [redacted] for [redacted] Date dictated 3/6/64

b6
b7C

V. TRAVEL

On February 28, 1964, Los Angeles advised that records of Trans World Airlines as confirmed by [redacted] Passenger Representative, revealed that ticket number 015162392269 was a Trans World Airlines ticket issued for flight number 2 on January 15, 1964 which flight initiates in Los Angeles, destination New York.

[redacted] stated this ticket was issued under the name of Mrs. [redacted], with no further identification; but a review of the manifest for this flight revealed that Mrs. [redacted] did not travel on flight number 2 on January 15, 1964. He said she could have taken any other Trans World Airlines flight either on that day or subsequent days. A review of manifests for all flights to New York on January 15, 1964 failed to reveal any record of travel by Mrs. [redacted]

b6
b7c

PX 92-172

VI. INTERVIEW WITH SUBJECT

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date April 6, 1964

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO appeared at the Phoenix Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation with his attorney, [REDACTED], of Tucson, Arizona at 11:15 AM, April 3, 1964, and was interviewed until 1:42 PM.

b6
b7C

BONANNO said the purpose of his visit to this office was to determine whether the Federal Bureau of Investigation in Phoenix was looking for him to interview him, inasmuch as he has been informed by acquaintances whom he declined to identify, that Agents in New York have been inquiring about his whereabouts.

He declined to answer any questions concerning anyone other than himself, but stated that if the Federal Bureau of Investigation had any questions they wanted to ask about him personally, he would be glad to hear them. He maintained that he had nothing to hide about his own activities and had done nothing wrong.

He said he had come to Phoenix, Arizona about four or five days ago for the purpose of preparing his income tax returns; to see about paying the real estate taxes on his home in Phoenix; and for other personal matters. He indicated he would remain in Phoenix for about two weeks and he may visit with his sister in California prior to returning to New York. He stated he had been in Tucson and would probably make other trips to Tucson before he returned to New York.

During the conversation, BONANNO brought up the fact that the Federal Bureau of Investigation was attempting to find JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., his father. He declined to say whether or not he knew where his father is, but did state his father knew where he was and could locate him in a matter of hours. He said his father had indicated he was "over the hill" and "on the downgrade" and wanted to get away from everything. His father wanted to live the rest of his life without publicity when his whereabouts were known. His father stated if anyone had any specific charges against him, he would be glad to answer them in court. BONANNO denied any knowledge of any illegal activities on the part of his father, and further stated that whatever his father had done as a younger man and before he was born was of no

On 4/3/64 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER and
SA [REDACTED] :btg Date dictated 4/3/64

b6
b7C

concern to him (SALVATORE BONANNO). He claimed the only people that his father was interested in now are his children and grandchildren.

He volunteered information that [redacted] also known as [redacted] plans to make a trip to Germany this summer to visit with her parents. She plans to take her children with her. He indicated they were having some difficulty in obtaining a passport. He also indicated, and it was affirmed by his attorney, that [redacted] [redacted] had been able to secure a change in the court order giving her custody of the children so that she might now take the children from the United States.

b6
b7C

When asked about his present employment, he mentioned that the New York Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation knew all about this and knew the location of his office. He did say he dealt with real estate and investments, but declined to be more specific. He was also asked if he had any business interests in the State of Arizona. He stated he did, but declined to furnish any information concerning these businesses since that had to do "with his tax liability" and he would not discuss anything having to do with his tax liability.

BONANNO stated that he "knew where his next meal was coming from", but he could not sit by and do nothing since he had to earn some money. He stated that by being the oldest son, his father had taken care of him financially. He also stated he would like to do what his father is doing now, that is, to have sufficient income so he would not have to work. He hoped that some day he would be in that position. He hopes to receive a large share of his father's estate upon his father's death. He claimed he had no idea of the extent of his father's wealth and investments and would probably not know until his father died.

He was asked about his knowledge of "La Causa Nostra" or any criminal syndicate, but furnished no answer to this. At the suggestion of his attorney, he asked that the Federal Bureau of Investigation furnish him with a list of written questions setting forth the information the Federal Bureau of Investigation desires of him. When asked if he would answer these written questions, he laughed and stated he might answer one or two of them.

PX 92-172

BONANNO stated that while in Phoenix he could readily be located either at his home in Phoenix or at the home of [REDACTED]. He further stated he could be located within 48 hours at any time through his attorney, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] of Tucson.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona

April 7, 1964

Title	SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, aka.
Character	ANTI-RACKETEERING
Reference	Report of Special Agent ROY W. REGER at Phoenix dated April 7, 1964.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

4/10/64
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
APR 10 1964
TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Evans	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

CODED TELETYPE

FBI NEW YORK

10-22 PM URGENT 4-10-64 DAE

TO DIRECTOR -11- 92-24494 AND PHOENIX 92-172 ND SAN FRANCISCO 92-340

PHOENIX AND SAN FRANCISCO VIA WASHINGTON - ENCODED

FROM NEW YORK 92-1586

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO AKA AR. OO... NEW YORK.

REPXTEL, APRIL THREE LAST.

RETEL REFLECTS SUBJECT TO REMAIN ARIZONA APPROXIMATELY TWO WEEKS
THEN TRAVEL TO SAN FRANCISCO. [REDACTED] ADVISED
SUBJECT NOW "CONSIGLIERI", BONANNO "FAMILY", "COSA NOSTRA", AND
HAD RESIGNED HIS POSITION IN "FAMILY" AS "CAPOREGIMA". PX DETERMINE
SUBJECT-S CONTACTS AND ACTIVITIES THAT DIVISION AND ADVISE SAN FRANCISCO
WHEN AND BY WHAT MEANS SUBJECT WILL TRAVEL TO CALIF. SAN FRANCISCO
DETERMINE ABOVE SUBJECT-S ARRIVAL THAT DIVISION.

ED

WS

FBI WASHDC

REC 30 92-24494-193

EX-102

APR 13 1964

53 APR 20 1964
231

RELAYED TO PK-SH

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

APR 3 1964

TELETYPE

4-3-64 AXR

3-56 PM DEFERRED

TO\ DIRECTOR \92-4494\
NEW YRK \92-1586\
NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON
SAN FRANCISCO \92-340\
FROM\ PHOENIX \92-340\
9- [Signature]

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Evans	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, AKA, AR. O NEW YORK.

SUBJECT APPEARED PHOENIX OFFICE THIS DATE WITH ATTORNEY, [REDACTED]. STATED HE CAME TO ARIZONA ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE DAYS AGO TO PREPARE INCOME TAX RETURNS AND OTHER PERSONAL MATTERS.

b6
b7C

SUBJECT SAID HE WILL PROBABLY REMAIN IN PHOENIX AND TUCSON FOR ABOUT TWO WEEKS, AND MAY VISIT HIS SISTER IN CALIFORNIA PRIOR TO RETURNING TO NEW YORK.

SUBJECT DECLINED TO SAY WHETHER HE KNOWS LOCATION OF HIS FATHER, JOSEPH ^OBANNO, BUT SAID HIS FATHER COULD ALWAYS LOCATE HIM IN MATTER OF HOURS. SAID HIS FATHER IS QUOTE OVER THE HILL AND ON THE DOWNGRADE UNQUOTE, AND DESIRES TO LIVE REMAINDER OF HIS LIFE WITHOUT PUBLICITY WHICH ACCOMPANIES HIM WHEN HIS WHEREABOUTS KNOWN. *K*

DETAILS OF INTERVIEW BEING RECORDED IN REPORT WHICH IS IN PREPARATION.

~~CORR PARA 1 LINE 3 WORD 3 SHOULD BE DAY AND WORD 6 SHLD BE PREPARE~~
END

REC-134 72-4494-194

WA OS FBI WASH DC

RELAY

53 APR 23 1964
SF GGG SSM

EX-103

25 APR 17 1964

RELAYED TO *NY*

FBI SAN FRAN

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

APR 18 1964

TELETYPE

FBI WASH DC 0724

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

FBI PHOENIX

1017 AM MST URGENT 4-18-64 RAF

TO DIRECTOR /92-4494/ AND NEW YORK /92-1586/ VIA WA RELAY

FROM PHOENIX /92-172/ /P/

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANN, AKA. AR. OO - NE YORK.

RE NYC RADIGRAM APRIL SEVENTEEN LAST.

\RECORDS TWA, PHOENIX, REFLECT ONE W. BELL⁰N WAS PASSENGER ON b6 b7C
TWA FLIGHT ONE FOUR SIX ON APRIL FIFTEEN LAST. FLIGHT DEPARTS PHENIX
FOR NEW YORK NINE AM. RESERVATION MADE ON APRIL FOURTEEN FOR ONE WAY
TICKET AND NO CONTACT ADDRESS LISTED. SUBJECT-S ATTORNEY,
TUCSON, ADVISED ON APRIL SEVENTEEN LAST THAT SUBJECT WAS GRANTED FIVE
DA\ EXTENSION ON INCOME TAX MATTER AND WILL THEREFORE BE RETURNING TO
ARIZONA IN NEAR FUTURE. NEW YORK REQUESTED TO ADVISE OF ANY INFO
RE SUBJECT-S RETURN TO PHOENIX. AM COPY SAN FRANCISCO.

END

WA ~~HOLD FOR CORR~~

~~CORR LINE THREE WORD SEVEN --- BELLON----~~ CORR LINE TWO

~~LAST WORD ---PHOENIX----~~

WA JS

BFBI WASJ DC FOR RELAY

\5

REC-22

92-4494-195

EX-102

4 APR 20 1964

2.5
APR 22 1964

RELAYED TO NY

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

APR 17 1964

TELETYPE

*Accounting to [unclear]
W. J. [unclear]
Additional to [unclear]
no action to be taken
no [unclear] info.*

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

FBI NEW YORK

339 PM URGENT 4-17-64 JLW

TO DIRECTOR 92-4494 4 AND PHOENIX 92-172 AND SAN FRANCISCO 92-340

PHOENIX AND SAN FRANCISCO VIA WASHINGTON - ENCODED

FROM NEW YORK 92-1586 2P

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO AKA, AR

OO - NEW YORK

BONANNO OBSERVED WITH JOSEPH NOTARO, "CAPOREGIMA", AND TWO UNKNOWN MALES WENTWORTH BAR, NYC, AFTERNOON APRIL SIXTEEN LAST. SUBJ AND NOTARO INTERVIEWED BY SAS. BONANNO STATED HE ARRIVED NYC LATE APRIL FIFTEEN LAST AND WAS EXTREMELY INQUISITIVE AS TO HOW FBI KNEW HE HAD RETURNED TO NYC. ADVISED HE DID NOT TRAVEL TO CALIFORNIA TO VISIT SISTER, [REDACTED] MEETING WITH SUBJ WAS BY CHANCE AS SAS WENT TO ABOVE BAR FOR DAILY OBSERVATION OF NOTARO. SUBJ ADVISED SAS HE MET WITH HIS FATHER, JOSEPH BONANNO, AND MOTHER END OF MARCH IN NYC. BONANNO INQUIRED OF SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] IF HE, SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] WOULD GO WITH HIM, BONANNO, TO MEET HIS FATHER AT AN UNDISCLOSED RENDEZVOUS IF HE, SUBJECT, COULD GET THE OKAY FOR THIS MEETING. SUBJ ADVISED THIS MEETING WOULD HAVE TO BE ON BONANNO TERMS AND THAT HE, BONANNO, WOULD

END PAGE ONE

REC-4172-4494193

8 APR 23 1964

EX-103
9- [unclear]

RECEIVED TO [unclear]

68 APR 27 1964

PAGE TWO

HAVE TO "REACH OUT" FOR THE GO AHEAD. WHEN ASKED WHAT THE TERMS WERE
BONANNO STATED "I WILL HAVE TO FIND OUT." SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED]
ADVISED HE, [REDACTED] WOULD FIRST HAVE TO KNOW TERMS OF MEETING.
BONANNO INDICATED HIS FATHER PRESENTLY IN THE UNITED STATES.,
HOWEVER, ALSO IMPLIED THAT HE HAD BEEN TRAVELING THROUGHOUT
EUROPE. BONANNO INTERVIEWED AT LENGTH. AGREED TO MEET TWO PM, APRIL
TWENTY NEXT, WHEN SUBJ WILL ADVISE IF HIS FATHER WILL MEET WITH SPECIAL
AGENT [REDACTED] SUBJ STATED HE USED NAME OF BELLIN /PH/ ON RETURN
FLIGHT TO NYC BUT WOULD NOT DISCLOSE AIRLINE. PHOENIX CONTACT PERTINENT
AIRLINES TO DETERMINE FLIGHT AND CARRIER USED. ABOVE FOR INFORMATION
SAN FRANCISCO. DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS. AIRMAIL COPY PHOENIX NINETTWO
DASH TWENTYEIGHT.

~~CORR P 1 L 7 ³/₄ - - - - LAST WD "CATHERINE"~~

P 2 L 5 WD 1 HOWEVER

END

OS FBI WS WASH DC

b6
b7C

RECEIVED ALBUQUERQUE

APR 21 3 51 PM '66